

# CourtSmart AI


Empowering Justice with Data-Driven  
Insights and AI Precision.

## Authentication

Username:


Password:

Get OTP



# CourtSmart AI

Empowering Justice with Data-Driven  
Insights and AI Precision.



## Validation

OTP

Get Started



# CourtSmart AI

Turning legal chaos into clarity with a sprinkle of fun!

## Three Step Guide To Clarity



Upload case files, legal docs, or notes.



Watch as our AI extracts key data and builds insights



Get court room-ready strategies and presentations

Got case files piling up? Upload your documents, and let our AI agents get to work!

Upload Documents

Please upload Pdf or Json files

## Citations (1950-2023)

- ♦ (CIVIL APPEAL /1528/1970)
- ♦ (CIVIL APPEAL /1188/1977)
- ♦ (WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) /559/1994)
- ♦ (CRIMINAL APPEAL /1078/2000)
- ♦ (CRIMINAL APPEAL /1427-1428/2017)
- ♦ (CRIMINAL APPEAL /771/2024)

# Citations

(CIVIL APPEAL/3/1948)

(CIVIL APPEAL /44/1949)

(CRIMINAL APPEAL/2/1950)

(CIVIL APPEAL/34/1950)

(CIVIL APPEAL /1727/1969)

(CIVIL APPEAL /1153/1975)

(CIVIL APPEAL /819/1975)

(CIVIL APPEAL /1171/1973)

(CRIMINAL APPEAL/62/1953)

(CIVIL APPEAL/214/1954)

(WRIT PETITION (CIVIL)/40/1955)

(CIVIL APPEAL /2147-2148/2004)

(CRIMINAL APPEAL /2271/2010)

(CRIMINAL APPEAL /1842/2010)

(CIVIL APPEAL /998/2007)

(CIVIL APPEAL /323/1957)

(CIVIL APPEAL /167/1958)

(CIVIL APPEAL /700/1963)

(CIVIL APPEAL /606-610/1963)

(CIVIL APPEAL /1795/1986)

(CIVIL APPEAL /1793-1798 (NT)/1974)

(CIVIL APPEAL /824/1986)

(CIVIL APPEAL /5656/1990)

(CIVIL APPEAL /14735/2015)

(WRIT PETITION(CRIMINAL) /67/2014)

(CIVIL APPEAL /7209-7210/2015)

(TRANSFERRED CASE (CIVIL) /29/2021)

(DIARY NO. /21994/2022)

(REVIEW PETITION (CIVIL) /526/2023)

(CRIMINAL APPEAL /1173/2024)

For more visit : <https://digiscr.sci.gov.in/>



## Courtroom Report: Droher Carnival - Doctors and Citizens Assembly

### Courtroom Report

#### Case: Droher Carnival - Doctors and Citizens Assembly

#### Summary:

The Joint Platform for Doctors filed a petition challenging the order issued by the Commissioner of Police, Kolkata, which prohibited public gatherings on the day of their planned event, the "Droher Carnival - Doctors and Citizens Assembly." The assembly was organized as a response to a horrific incident affecting the medical community and the public.

#### Key Points:

##### 1. \*Initial Denial of Permission\*:

- The petitioners requested permission to hold the assembly, but the Kolkata Police denied their request.
- The refusal was justified by concerns over potential disruption to the safety and security of participants and the general public during the ongoing Pujo Immersion Carnival.

##### 2. \*Prohibition Order\*:

- The Commissioner of Police issued a blanket prohibition on public assemblies in Kolkata for that day, citing the necessity to prevent disturbances during the Pujo celebrations.

##### 3. \*Petitioners' Arguments\*:

- The petitioners argued that the blanket prohibition violated their fundamental rights under Articles 19(1)(a) and 19(1)(b) of the Indian Constitution, which guarantee the freedom of speech, expression, and peaceful assembly.
- They contended that the order was arbitrary, excessive, and unconstitutional, as it failed to consider the specific circumstances of their planned assembly.

##### 4. \*Emphasis on Democratic Rights\*:

- The petitioners highlighted the importance of peaceful protests in a democracy and emphasized that public safety concerns could have been addressed through specific conditions rather than a complete ban.

##### 5. \*Relief Sought\*:

- The petitioners urged the court to set aside the prohibition order and allow the assembly to proceed in accordance with constitutional principles and the right to dissent.

#### Legal Issues:

- Whether the blanket prohibition order is a reasonable restriction under Article 19(2) of the Constitution.
- Whether the rights of the petitioners to peaceful assembly and expression were disproportionately restricted.

#### Conclusion:

The court is requested to assess the balance between maintaining public safety and upholding the petitioners' fundamental rights. This case highlights the need to ensure that constitutional freedoms are not overridden by administrative orders without sufficient justification.

This report summarizes the petitioners' arguments and the legal issues for the court's consideration.

# Results



PRECEDENT REPORT




EVIDENCE SUMMARY



INCONSISTENCY FLAGGING



DYNAMIC STRATEGY



COURT ROOM REPORT





## Generated Response:

Based on the document you provided regarding the petition filed by the Joint Platform for Doctors challenging the order issued by the Commissioner of Police, here is a summary of the key points:

1. The petitioners sought permission to hold the "Droher Carnival – Doctors and Citizens Assembly" in response to a horrific incident but were initially denied by the Kolkata Police.
2. The refusal was based on concerns that the demonstration could disrupt the safety and security of participants and visitors during the ongoing Pujo Immersion Carnival.
3. The Commissioner then issued a blanket order prohibiting public assemblies in Kolkata on the same day, citing the need to prevent disturbances during the Pujo celebrations.
4. The petitioners argued that the refusal and subsequent order violated their fundamental rights under Articles