Your Paper Title Here

CPSC-298 Wikipedia Governance Research Project

Your Name
Your University
Your City, USA
your.email@university.edu

ABSTRACT

[Write your abstract here. It should answer: What did you study? How did you study it? What did you find? Why does it matter?]

KEYWORDS

Wikipedia, governance, [add your keywords here]

1 INTRODUCTION

[Start with a compelling example or statement about Wikipedia governance]

[What is the specific problem or question you're investigating?]

[Why is this important? Who cares about this problem?]

This paper investigates the following research questions:

(1) Your first research question
(2) Your second research question
(3) Add more as needed

The main contributions of this work are:

- First contribution - e.g., novel analysis of X
- Second contribution - e.g., findings about Y
- Third contribution - e.g., methodology for Z

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 reviews related work on Wikipedia governance. Section 3 describes our data and methods. Section 4 presents our findings. Section 5 discusses implications and limitations. Section 6 concludes and suggests future work.

2 RELATED WORK

[Brief paragraph introducing the landscape of related research]

2.1 Wikipedia Governance and Community Culture

Reagle's seminal work on Wikipedia culture [1] examines the collaborative practices and governance mechanisms that enable Wikipedia's success. [Add more discussion of related work here. Use [] to reference papers in your references.bib file]

2.2 etc

2.3 Our Work in Context

[Explain how your work differs from or builds upon existing research. What gap are you filling?]

3 METHODOLOGY

[Brief paragraph outlining your overall approach]

3.1 Data Collection

[Describe what data you collected, from where, covering what time period, etc.]

3.2 Data Processing

[Describe how you cleaned, filtered, or transformed your data]

3.3 Analysis Methods

[Describe your analytical approach. What techniques did you use? Why?]

3.4 Ethical Considerations

Optional: Include this if your project involves human subjects data, user behavior analysis, or if your institution requires ethics discussion. For basic Wikipedia article analysis, this may not be necessary - just ensure proper citation in your Data Collection section.

Example: All data consists of publicly available Wikipedia content accessed in compliance with Wikimedia's Terms of Use.

4 RESULTS

[Brief paragraph introducing your main findings]

4.1 [Finding 1 - descriptive title]

[Present your first main finding]

4.2 [etc]

[Present your second main finding]

5 DISCUSSION

5.1 Interpretation of Results

[What do your findings mean? How do they answer your research questions?]

5.2 Implications

[What are the broader implications of your work? For Wikipedia? For research? For practice?]

5.3 Limitations

[Be honest about limitations: data constraints, methodological issues, scope boundaries, etc.]

5.4 Future Work

[What questions remain? What should future research investigate?]

6 CONCLUSION

[Restate the problem you investigated]

[Summarize your approach and key findings]

[Emphasize your main contributions]

[End with a forward-looking statement about the importance of your work or future directions]

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank ... for ....

REFERENCES

[1] Joseph Michael Reagle. 2010. *Good Faith Collaboration: The Culture of Wikipedia.* MIT Press.

A AI USAGE DOCUMENTATION

A.1 Literature Review

[Describe how you used AI agents for literature review. Reference your .prompt.md file.]

Example: We used an AI agent workflow (see the file literature-review.prompt.md) to systematically process research papers. The agent extracted summaries, methodology descriptions, and key findings from papers in our bibliography.

A.2 Data Analysis

[If you used AI for data analysis, code generation, or statistical work, document it here]

A.3 Writing Assistance

[Document any AI assistance in writing: brainstorming, editing, restructuring, etc.]

Example: We used Claude/ChatGPT to help with [specific task, e.g., "improving clarity of the abstract" or "suggesting visualizations for our data"].

A.4 Code Development

[If AI helped you write code for data collection or analysis, document it]

A.5 Verification

[How did you verify AI-generated content? What human oversight did you apply?]

All AI-generated content was reviewed, verified against primary sources, and edited by the human author(s). Factual claims were cross-checked with original papers and data.