School of Computing and Information Systems The University of Melbourne COMP90042

NATURAL LANGUAGE PROCESSING (Semester 1, 2022)

Workshop exercises: Week 6

Discussion

- 1. Give illustrative examples that show the difference between:
 - (a) Synonyms and hypernyms
 - (b) **Hyponyms** and **meronyms**
- 2. Using some Wordnet visualisation tool, for example,

http://wordnetweb.princeton.edu/perl/webwn and the Wu & Palmer definition of word similarity, check whether the word *information* is more similar to the word *retrieval* or the word *science* (choose the sense which minimises the distance). Does this mesh with your intuition?

- 3. What is **word sense disambiguation**?
- 4. For the following term co-occurrence matrix (suitably interpreted):

	cup	not (cup)
world	55	225
not(world)	315	1405

- (a) Find the Point-wise Mutual Information (PMI) between these two terms in this collection.
- (b) What does the value from (a) tell us about **distributional similarity**?
- 5. In the 09-distributional-semantics iPython notebook, a term-document matrix is built to learn word vectors.
 - (a) What is the Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) method used for here? Why is this helpful?
- 6. What is a word embedding and how does it relate to distributional similarity?
 - (a) What is the difference between a **skip-gram** model and a **CBOW** model?
 - (b) How are the above models trained?

Programming

- 1. Consider the iPython notebook <code>08-lexical-semantics</code>. Repeat the exercise about word similarity from the Discussion problems above (Q2); confirm that you get the same answer. Now try the Lin similarity do you get the same result? Why or why not?
- 2. Use the 09-distributional-semantics iPython notebook to find some interesting collocations, using PMI.
 - (a) Write a wrapper function which finds the 10 collocations with the greatest PMI, amongst all of bi-grams in the collection. (Note that you might want to be careful about your strategy for doing this in a very large collection!)

(b) NLTK has an in-built method collocations () (of a Text object) — does it come up with the same collocations as PMI? Why do you think this is the case?

Catch-up

- What is **information**, with respect to **entropy**? How might we calculate the information of a word in a corpus? How might we calculate the information of a (textual) message with respect to the information in a corpus? (There are many different ways!)
- What is **WordNet**? What is a **synset**?
- What is the **cosine similarity** and how is it calculated?
- What is **entropy** and how is it calculated? What is entropy attempting to measure?
- What is a term-document matrix? How is it different to an inverted index?
- What is a TF-IDF model? What are its intuitions and how do they appear in a typical model (formula)?

Get ahead

- Choose an individual word and consider its different synsets in Wordnet.
 - Find a number of instances of that word in a corpus. Assign each token to its sense. Is one sense more frequent than the other senses?
- Build a system which attempts to use the Lesk strategy of WSD based on the Wordnet bindings in NLTK. Choose some word(s) in some sentence(s) and observe its output — does the correct sense get returned? Why or why not?
- In the notebook 09-distributional-semantics:
 - Try doing the same calculations on the collection without the SVD method. How much time does the truncation step save?
 - Try different values for truncating the decomposition; at what point do the results seem to become noticeably worse?
 - How important is the TF-IDF step? Try the retrieval without it; do the results change? What if you omit it from only the document matrix, or only the query vector?
 - Try to find some queries where the results are different with and without the TF-IDF transformation.