

a) The cross-entropy loss is defined by $L_{CE}(y, \hat{y}) = - \sum_{w \in \text{vocab}} y_w \log(\hat{y}_w)$.
 Since y_w is a vector full of zeros except for the correct word where it is 1, we have $y_w = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } w \neq o \\ 1 & \text{if } w = o \end{cases}$, so all the terms in the sum are equal to 0, except the term for $y = o$. So we are left with: $-\sum_{w \in \text{vocab}} y_w \log(\hat{y}_w) = -\log(\hat{y}_o)$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{b. (i)} \quad \frac{\partial J_{\text{naive-softmax}}(v_o, 0, U)}{\partial v_o} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial v_o} \left(-\log \left[\frac{\exp(\mu_o^T v_o)}{\sum_{w \in \text{vocab}} \exp(\mu_w^T v_o)} \right] \right) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial v_o} \left(-\mu_o^T v_o + \log \left(\sum_{w \in \text{vocab}} \exp(\mu_w^T v_o) \right) \right) \\ &= -\mu_o + \frac{\sum_{w \in \text{vocab}} \mu_w \exp(\mu_w^T v_o)}{\sum_{w \in \text{vocab}} \exp(\mu_w^T v_o)} \\ &= -\mu_o + \sum_{w \in \text{vocab}} \mu_w \frac{\exp(\mu_w^T v_o)}{\sum_{z \in \text{vocab}} \exp(\mu_z^T v_o)} \\ &= -\mu_o + \sum_{w \in \text{vocab}} \mu_w \hat{y}_w \\ &= \underline{U^T(\hat{y} - y)} \end{aligned}$$

(ii) The gradient computed is equal to zero when $\hat{y} - y \in \text{Ker}(U)$
 (iii) The gradient is the difference **expected - observed**. Therefore, by subtracting this vector from the vector v_o , we perform a **gradient descent** which will bring v_o closer to its observed value and not the current expected value
 (iv) Let's say we have $x = \alpha y$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

Then $\|x\|_2 = |\alpha| \|y\|_2$ and so $\frac{x}{\|x\|_2} = \alpha \frac{y}{\|x\|_2} = \frac{\alpha}{|\alpha|} \frac{y}{\|y\|_2} = \text{sign}(\alpha) \frac{y}{\|y\|_2}$

with $\text{sign}(x) = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } x > 0 \\ -1 & \text{if } x < 0 \end{cases}$.

This means that by normalizing the vectors we can now only have values of α such that $|\alpha| = 1$ (so +1 and -1). We lose the information regarding the magnitude of α .

$$\begin{aligned}
 c) \frac{\partial J_{\text{naive softmax}}(v_c, 0, u)}{\partial \mu_w} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu_w} \left(-\mu_0 v_c + \log \sum_{z \in \text{vocab}} \exp(\mu_z^T v_c) \right) \\
 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu_w} (-\mu_0 v_c) + v_c \frac{\exp(\mu_w^T v_c)}{\sum_{y \in \text{vocab}} \exp(\mu_y^T v_c)} \\
 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu_w} (-\mu_0 v_c) + v_c \hat{y}_w
 \end{aligned}$$

So if $w \neq 0$: $\frac{\partial J_{\text{naive softmax}}(v_c, 0, u)}{\partial \mu_w} = v_c \hat{y}_w$

And if $w = 0$: $\frac{\partial J_{\text{naive softmax}}(v_c, 0, u)}{\partial \mu_0} = -v_c + v_c \hat{y}_0 = v_c(\hat{y}_0 - y_0)$

Since for $w \neq 0$, $y_w = 0$, we can conclude that in any cases

$$\boxed{\frac{\partial J_{\text{naive softmax}}(v_c, 0, u)}{\partial \mu_w} = v_c(\hat{y}_w - y_w)}$$

d) The derivative of $J_{\text{naive softmax}}$ with respect to the matrix U is simply the matrix which columns are the derivatives of J with respect to the column of U .

So, $\frac{\partial J_{\text{naive softmax}}(v_c, 0, u)}{\partial U} = \left[\frac{\partial J_{\text{naive softmax}}(v_c, 0, u)}{\partial u_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial J_{\text{naive softmax}}(v_c, 0, u)}{\partial u_{|\text{vocab}|}} \right]$

e) We can rewrite f as $f(x) = \max(x, \alpha x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \geq 0 \\ \alpha x & \text{if } x < 0 \end{cases}$

f is differentiable on \mathbb{R}^* and so:

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^* \quad f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x > 0 \\ \alpha & \text{if } x < 0 \end{cases}$$

f) σ is differentiable on \mathbb{R} :

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R} \quad \sigma'(x) = \frac{e^{-x}}{(1+e^{-x})^2} = \frac{e^{-x}}{1+e^{-x}} \cdot \frac{1}{1+e^{-x}} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{1+e^{-x}}\right) \left(\frac{1}{1+e^{-x}}\right) = \underline{\sigma(x)(1-\sigma(x))}$$

So: $\boxed{\forall x \in \mathbb{R} \quad \sigma'(x) = \sigma(x)(1-\sigma(x))}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 g)(i) \frac{\partial J_{\text{neg-sample}}(v_c, o, u)}{\partial v_c} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial v_c} \left(- \sum_s \log[\sigma(-u_{w_s}^T v_c)] \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial v_c} \left(\log[\sigma(u_o^T v_c)] \right) \\
 &= - \sum_s \frac{-u_{w_s} \sigma'(-u_{w_s}^T v_c)}{\sigma(-u_{w_s}^T v_c)} - u_o \frac{\sigma'(u_o^T v_c)}{\sigma(u_o^T v_c)} \\
 &= \sum_s u_{w_s} (1 - \sigma(-u_{w_s}^T v_c)) - u_o (1 - \sigma(u_o^T v_c))
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\partial J_{\text{neg-sample}}(v_c, o, u)}{\partial u_o} = 0 - \frac{\partial}{\partial u_o} \left(\log[\sigma(u_o^T v_c)] \right) = -v_c (1 - \sigma(u_o^T v_c))$$

$$\frac{\partial J_{\text{neg-sample}}(v_c, o, u)}{\partial u_{w_s}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{w_s}} \left(- \log[\sigma(-u_{w_s}^T v_c)] \right) + 0 = +v_c (1 - \sigma(-u_{w_s}^T v_c))$$

(ii) We need to store $1 - \sigma(u_o^T v_c)$ as it is used in $\frac{\partial J}{\partial v_c}$ and $\frac{\partial J}{\partial u_o}$, as well $1 - \sigma(-u_{w_s}^T v_c)$ for all $s \in [1, K]$ as they are used in $\frac{\partial J}{\partial v_c}$ and $\frac{\partial J}{\partial u_{w_s}}$.

Therefore, we should compute and store: $1 - \sigma(u_o, [w_1, \dots, w_K]^T v_c)$

(iii) This loss function consists of $K+1$ vector multiplications and evaluations of σ and \log . Let's note d the dimension of u_o . Then, the negative sample loss has a computational complexity of $O(Kd)$.

• For the naive softmax loss, there are $|\text{vocab}|$ vector multiplications, so the complexity is in $O(|\text{vocab}|d)$ and $|\text{vocab}| \gg K$.

h) We will simply reuse the previous gradient computation and separate the sum for $w_t = w_s$ and $w_t \neq w_s$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial J_{\text{neg-sample}}(v_c, o, u)}{\partial u_{w_s}} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{w_s}} \left(- \log[\sigma(u_o^T v_c)] \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{w_s}} \left(\sum_{\substack{t \\ w_t = w_s}} \log[\sigma(-u_{w_t}^T v_c)] \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{w_s}} \left(\sum_{\substack{t \\ w_t \neq w_s}} \log[\sigma(-u_{w_t}^T v_c)] \right) \\
 &= 0 + \sum_{\substack{t \\ w_t = w_s}} v_c (1 - \sigma(-u_{w_t}^T v_c)) + 0
 \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\frac{\partial J_{\text{neg-sample}}(v_c, o, u)}{\partial u_{w_s}} = \sum_{\substack{t \\ w_t = w_s}} v_c (1 - \sigma(-u_{w_t}^T v_c))$$

I wrote $\sigma(-u_{w_s}^T v_c)$ and not $\sigma(-u_{w_t}^T v_c)$ as $w_t = w_s$

$$(i) \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{J}_{\text{skip-gram}}(v_c, w_{c-m}, \dots, w_{c+m}, v)}{\partial v} = \sum_{\substack{-m \leq j \leq m \\ j \neq 0}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{J}}{\partial v}(v_c, w_{c+j}, v)$$

$$(ii) \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{J}_{\text{skip-gram}}(v_c, w_{c-m}, \dots, w_{c+m}, v)}{\partial v_c} = \sum_{\substack{-m \leq j \leq m \\ j \neq 0}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{J}}{\partial v_c}(v_c, w_{c+j}, v)$$

$$(iii) \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{J}_{\text{skip-gram}}(v_c, w_{c-m}, \dots, w_{c+m}, v)}{\partial v_w} = 0 \quad \text{for } w \neq c$$

Coding c) We can observe some relevant clusters such as "woman", "female" and "man". However we could have expected "male" to be part of that cluster which is not the case. Another relevant cluster is "amazing", "wonderful", "boring" and "great". There is one outstanding bias: "queen" and "dumb" are clustered together but "king" is not part of that cluster. This illustrates some bias in the training data.

