

Tight and non-fillable contact manifolds are everywhere

Josua Kugler

results by Bowden¹, Gironella², Moreno³, Zhou⁴

Heidelberg University

¹University of Regensburg

²University of Nantes

³Heidelberg University

⁴Morningside Center of Mathematics, CAS

Background

Contact topology

Contact topology: The study of contact manifolds, up to isotopy.

Contact topology

Contact topology: The study of contact manifolds, up to isotopy.

Fillability: *fillable* contact mflds are boundaries of symplectic mflds.

Contact topology

Contact topology: The study of contact manifolds, up to isotopy.

Fillability: *fillable* contact mflds are boundaries of symplectic mflds.

Fillability question

Which contact manifolds are **fillable**?

Contact topology

Contact topology: The study of contact manifolds, up to isotopy.

Fillability: *fillable* contact mflds are boundaries of symplectic mflds.

Fillability question

Which contact manifolds are **fillable**?

Eliashberg, Borman–Eliashberg–Murphy:

Dichotomy: Rigidity vs. Flexibility.

- **tight** (*rigid/geometric*);
- **overtwisted** (*flexible/topological*).

Contact topology

Contact topology: The study of contact manifolds, up to isotopy.

Fillability: *fillable* contact mflds are boundaries of symplectic mflds.

Fillability question

Which contact manifolds are **fillable**?

Eliashberg, Borman–Eliashberg–Murphy:

Dichotomy: Rigidity vs. Flexibility.

- **tight** (*rigid/geometric*);
- **overtwisted** (*flexible/topological*).

Theorem (Eliashberg–Gromov)

Fillable contact manifolds are tight.

Converse is false (Etnyre–Honda, Massot–Niederkrueger–Wendl).

Existence and classification

Topological obstruction: *almost* contact structure, i.e. reduction of structure group to $U(n) \times \mathbb{1}$.

Theorem (Lutz–Martinet (dim 3), Casals–Pancholi–Presas (dim 5), Borman–Eliashberg–Murphy (any dim))

Almost contact manifolds are contact, where the contact structure is overtwisted.

Existence and classification

Topological obstruction: almost contact structure, i.e. reduction of structure group to $U(n) \times \mathbb{1}$.

Theorem (Lutz–Martinet (dim 3), Casals–Pancholi–Presas (dim 5), Borman–Eliashberg–Murphy (any dim))

Almost contact manifolds are contact, where the contact structure is overtwisted.

Tight manifolds

How can **tight** contact manifolds be understood?

Contact structures on spheres

Standard contact structure

The standard contact structure is $(S^{2n-1}, \xi) = \partial(B^{2n}, \omega_{std})$.

Contact structures on spheres

Standard contact structure

The standard contact structure is $(S^{2n-1}, \xi) = \partial(B^{2n}, \omega_{std})$.

Theorem (Eliashberg, '91)

On S^3 , it is the unique tight contact structure.

In particular, no tight and non-fillable contact structures on S^3 .

Tight and non-fillable structures in $\dim \geq 5$

Theorem (Bowden–Gironella–Moreno–Zhou '22-'24)

For every $n \geq 2$, the sphere \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} admits a tight, non-fillable contact structure that is homotopically standard.

Tight and non-fillable structures in $\dim \geq 5$

Theorem (Bowden–Gironella–Moreno–Zhou '22-'24)

For every $n \geq 2$, the sphere \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} admits a tight, non-fillable contact structure that is homotopically standard.

By connected sum with such an “exotic” sphere, it can be concluded

Theorem (Bowden–Gironella–Moreno–Zhou '22-'24)

In $\dim \geq 7$, if M admits a tight structure, it also admits a tight and non strongly-fillable structure, in the same almost contact class.

Tight and non-fillable structures in $\dim \geq 5$

Theorem (Bowden–Gironella–Moreno–Zhou '22-'24)

For every $n \geq 2$, the sphere \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} admits a tight, non-fillable contact structure that is homotopically standard.

By connected sum with such an “exotic” sphere, it can be concluded

Theorem (Bowden–Gironella–Moreno–Zhou '22-'24)

In $\dim \geq 7$, if M admits a tight structure, it also admits a tight and non strongly-fillable structure, in the same almost contact class.

In $\dim = 5$, the same holds, if the first Chern class vanishes.

Tight and non-fillable spheres

Giroux correspondence

Giroux: Contact structures are *supported* by open books.




Figure: Supported contact structure.

Bourgeois contact structures

Theorem (Bourgeois '02)

Open book supporting $(M, \xi) \rightsquigarrow$ contact structure on $M \times \mathbb{T}^2$.

These are \mathbb{T}^2 -equivariant.

Geometric construction

Geometric construction: Construct a tight and non-fillable contact structure on \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} :

Geometric construction

Geometric construction: Construct a tight and non-fillable contact structure on \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} :

- Milnor open book on \mathbb{S}^{2n-1} $\xrightarrow{\text{Bourgeois}}$ contact structure on $\mathbb{S}^{2n-1} \times \mathbb{T}^2$
 \rightsquigarrow two 1-surgeries = $\mathbb{S}^{2n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^2 \rightsquigarrow$ one 2-surgery = \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} .

Geometric construction

Geometric construction: Construct a tight and non-fillable contact structure on \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} :

- Milnor open book on \mathbb{S}^{2n-1} $\xrightarrow{\text{Bourgeois}}$ contact structure on $\mathbb{S}^{2n-1} \times \mathbb{T}^2$
 \rightsquigarrow two 1-surgeries = $\mathbb{S}^{2n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^2 \rightsquigarrow$ one 2-surgery = \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} .
- If $n \geq 3$, surgeries are *subcritical* \rightsquigarrow by 'Eliashberg's' h-pple, Weinstein cobordism \rightsquigarrow contact manifold $(\mathbb{S}^{2n+1}, \xi_{ex})$.

Geometric construction

Geometric construction: Construct a tight and non-fillable contact structure on \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} :

- Milnor open book on \mathbb{S}^{2n-1} $\xrightarrow{\text{Bourgeois}}$ contact structure on $\mathbb{S}^{2n-1} \times \mathbb{T}^2$
 \rightsquigarrow two 1-surgeries = $\mathbb{S}^{2n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^2 \rightsquigarrow$ one 2-surgery = \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} .
- If $n \geq 3$, surgeries are *subcritical* \rightsquigarrow by 'Eliashberg's' h-pple, Weinstein cobordism \rightsquigarrow contact manifold $(\mathbb{S}^{2n+1}, \xi_{ex})$.

Claim: $(\mathbb{S}^{2n+1}, \xi_{ex})$ is tight and non-fillable.

Tightness

Facts:

- ① Milnor open book \Rightarrow algebraically tight Bourgeois manifold.

Tightness

Facts:

- ① Milnor open book \Rightarrow algebraically tight Bourgeois manifold.
 - Algebraic tightness is vanishing of a certain contact homology group.

Tightness

Facts:

- ① Milnor open book \Rightarrow algebraically tight Bourgeois manifold.
 - Algebraic tightness is vanishing of a certain contact homology group.
- ② Algebraic tightness is preserved under surgeries.

Tightness

Facts:

- ① Milnor open book \Rightarrow algebraically tight Bourgeois manifold.
 - Algebraic tightness is vanishing of a certain contact homology group.
- ② Algebraic tightness is preserved under surgeries.
- ③ Algebraically tight \implies tight.

Tightness

Facts:

- 1 Milnor open book \Rightarrow algebraically tight Bourgeois manifold.
 - Algebraic tightness is vanishing of a certain contact homology group.
- 2 Algebraic tightness is preserved under surgeries.
- 3 Algebraically tight \implies tight.

Milnor open book $\Rightarrow (\mathbb{S}^{2n+1}, \xi_{ex})$ is *tight*.

Fillability

Observation: Bourgeois manifolds have convex decomposition

$$\text{OB}(\Sigma, \phi) \times \mathbb{T}^2 = (\text{OB}(\Sigma, \phi) \times \mathbb{S}^1) \times \mathbb{S}^1 = V_+ \times \mathbb{S}^1 \cup_{\phi} \overline{V}_- \times \mathbb{S}^1,$$

with $V_{\pm} = \Sigma \times D^*\mathbb{S}^1$, Σ = page of the open book, ϕ = monodromy.

Fillability

Observation: Bourgeois manifolds have convex decomposition

$$\text{OB}(\Sigma, \phi) \times \mathbb{T}^2 = (\text{OB}(\Sigma, \phi) \times \mathbb{S}^1) \times \mathbb{S}^1 = V_+ \times \mathbb{S}^1 \cup_{\phi} \overline{V_-} \times \mathbb{S}^1,$$

with $V_{\pm} = \Sigma \times D^*\mathbb{S}^1$, $\Sigma = \text{page of the open book}$, $\phi = \text{monodromy}$.

Theorem (Bowden–Gironella–Moreno)

$M = V \times \mathbb{S}^1 = V_+ \times \mathbb{S}^1 \cup_{\phi} \overline{V_-} \times \mathbb{S}^1$ with convex decomposition, $N = \partial V_{\pm}$ dividing set. If W is a symplectic filling of M , then

$$H_*(N) \rightarrow H_*(V_{\pm}) \rightarrow H_*(W),$$

induced by inclusion. Then second map is injective on image of the first.

Namely, if a homology class in N survives in V_{\pm} , then it survives in the filling.

Proof: W filling of $(\mathbb{S}^{2n+1}, \xi_{ex})$:

$$0 \neq H_n(N) \xrightarrow{\text{nontrivial}} H_n(W).$$

However, this factors as

$$0 \neq H_n(N) \rightarrow H_n(\partial W = \mathbb{S}^{2n+1}) = 0 \rightarrow H_n(W),$$

contradiction.

Thank you!