

FLORIAN HARTIG, UNIVERSITY OF FREIBURG

RESEARCH SKILLS

AN INTRODUCTION TO THE CRAFTS OF A SCIENTIST

Contents

1	<i>Logic and philosophy of science</i>	4
2	<i>Finding a scientific question</i>	6
3	<i>From empirical data to scientific conclusions</i>	7
4	<i>Communication</i>	8
5	<i>Scientific writing</i>	9
6	<i>A working scientists</i>	12

Preface

Word in progress. Please send comments to <http://florianhartig.wordpress.com/>

1

Logic and philosophy of science

1.1 What is science?

The Oxford dictionary defines science as

Science /ˈsʰaɪəns/ [mass noun] - the intellectual and practical activity encompassing the systematic study of the structure and behaviour of the physical and natural world through observation and experiment

But clearly, when we speak about science, the history of science, the state of science, we speak about more than that.

Science is a method to find out how the world works

Science is the Current dominant answer to what one can know and how to find it out (epistemology)

1.2 Induction and Deduction

Induction

Empiricism

Deduction

Rationalism

In one of the foundational works of modern science, "Le Discours de la Méthode (1637)" ¹, René Descartes famously observed:

Good sense is, of all things among men, the most equally distributed; for every one thinks himself so abundantly provided with it, that those even who are the most difficult to satisfy in everything else, do not usually desire a larger measure of this quality than they already possess.

These words of one of the founding fathers of what we today consider Science are often read and cited as a sarcastic observation of human vanity. However, if one continues reading, it becomes clear that Descartes may, after all, have been

¹ Full title "Discourse on the Method of Rightly Conducting One's Reason and of Seeking Truth" (?)

And in this it is not likely that all are mistaken the conviction is rather to be held as testifying that the power of judging aright and of distinguishing truth from error, which is properly what is called good sense or reason, is by nature equal in all men; and that the diversity of our opinions, consequently, does not arise from some being endowed with a larger share of reason than others, but solely from this, that we conduct our thoughts along different ways, and do not fix our attention on the same objects.

For to be possessed of a vigorous mind is not enough; the prime requisite is rightly to apply it. The greatest minds, as they are capable of the highest excellences, are open likewise to the greatest aberrations; and those who travel very slowly may yet make far greater progress, provided they keep always to the straight road, than those who, while they run, forsake it.

1.3 Popper and the scientific method

1.4 Kuhn and variations of the scientific method

1.5 Summary: the principles of science

2

Finding a scientific question

2.1 What are valid scientific questions?

2.2 Literature - standing on the shoulders of giants

In the following chapters, we'll speak about logic, empiricism

3

From empirical data to scientific conclusions

Assuming you have your question, how can we find an answer to this.

3.1 Validity

Construct validity

Are the things

Statistical validity

Internal validity

External validity

3.2 Experimental design

4

Communication

4.1 Principles of communication

4.2 Oral presentation

4.3 Scientific visualisation

Kelleher-Tenguidelineseffective-2011

4.4 Logical fallacies

5

Scientific writing

5.1 The purpose and the art of writing

Advice on writing essays and theses

Preparation ? Know what you want to say. Sounds trivial, but really, ?If you don't know where you are going, you'll end up somewhere else? (Yogi Berra). Before you pile up words, try to explain a friend in 5 min the point of your theses. If you fail with this, think again about your story.

Start writing early ? Because, while writing, you will find flaws in your logic that you didn't see before, and you can correct your direction. ?The time to begin writing an article is when you have finished it to your satisfaction. By that time you begin to clearly and logically perceive what it is that you really want to say.? (Mark Twain)

Mindset ? Make your goal, above all, clarity of thought and expression, and impervious logic of your argument. Google and read Woodford, F. (1967) *Sounder thinking through clearer writing*. Science, 156, 743.

Modesty ? Think of your essay or thesis as a piece of craftsmanship. You are an apprentice and you are asked to make a chair. What is expected of you is a simple piece of work that respects the rules you have been taught, and that is, above all, solid. You are not expected to add fancy ornamentation that might be used by an ingenious master craftsmen, and you are not asked to produce a entire set of furniture that would suffice to fit out a house. You would gain admiration and praise if you could manage to achieve one of the latter, but likely you will fail to even produce the very thing you were asked for while trying ? a simple, solid chair. Bottomline ? stay modest in what you want to achieve, but stay ambitions in how you achieve it!

Style ? Writing is difficult. Good writing is incredibly hard. If you think writing is no problem for you, you probably haven't even realized the extent of your writing problems. Read

My lecture notes on scientific writing (I don't have then linked here, but I'll send you a link upon request). The second part is about style. The first part discusses in detail expectations for the different parts (introduction, methods, discussion) or research papers. Respect conventions. This blog post by Brian McGill. The most important point of this post in my opinion: "The battle for good writing is won sentence by sentence! A good sentence is: short, has the subject and verb together, has an active verb, has the points of emphasis at the beginning and end, and moves the reader along from a familiar launch point at the start to the new information at the end." Writing tips by Mark Twain. Especially this one: "As to the Adjective: when in doubt, strike it out." (?)

Disclaimer " Different people / disciplines have different opinions about writing. You can't "prove" the correctness of style, so be advised that my suggestions are no natural laws and can be overruled by you as well as your supervisor or the editor of whatever journal you submit your work.

5.2 *Structure*

Sentence Structure

Paragraph structure

A paragraph is a logical unit of text.

The first sentence provides the topic of the paragraph. The last sentence should, ideally, provide a closing

5.3 *Style*

<http://www.economist.com/styleguide/introduction>

5.4 *Choice of words and common mistakes*

Too complicated

The data **featured/comprised** a pixel size of 10 (had)

A *subsequent ANOVA analysis* **enabled a quantification of** the impacts of the varied factors (quantified)

There are *a number of records* in the literature *focusing on comparisons* between *sets of* modeling approaches while predicting biomass at plot scale (A number of previous studies has compared modeling approaches to predict biomass at the plot scale)

The results of our second experiment suggest - our results suggest the *explicit* findings of our two experiments

Not necessary

As such, the data consisted ?. A total number of 9 samples was

Logic and clarity

However, yet, still, check if really necessary, and if

Which and that Which and that is another common point of confusion. Let's say that we have 10 samples. Compare the two sentences: 1) the samples, which were exposed to radiation the day before, were analysed. 2) The samples that were exposed to radiation the day before were analyzed. There is a big difference between the two sentences. 1) says that all samples were exposed to radiation and then analysed 2) implies that not all samples were exposed to radiation, and only those that were were analysed. In grammar, 1) is called a non-restrictive clause, and 2) is called a restrictive clause. "that" always implies a restrictive meaning. We could leave it there, i.e. that 1) Which is non-restrictive, and 2) that is restrictive, and that used to be the rule, but the problem is that the use of "which" for restrictive clauses has crept into the English language. Thus, we can also say 3) The samples which were exposed to radiation the day before were analyzed. Notice that there is a tiny difference to 1) - there is no comma before which. This is the only indicator that allows us to know whether the restrictive or the non-restrictive meaning is implied. Many people are not aware of this difference though. In prose, the distinction may matter little, but for science, my opinion is that clarity goes before style, and I therefore recommend to strictly stay with 1) which for non-restrictive and 2) that for the restrictive meaning.

Positive presentation

Another common problem are defensive,

Here, we aim / this study tries ; There is no try, do it. Better: the objective of this study was to / we examine / ...

It seems that ; sounds as if you are not certain at all. OK if this is on a subject on which one can only speculate. Not OK if you could find out more.

To the best of our knowledge, no previous study ... ; This is a borderline case. You will see this expression in scientific articles, and it's OK to use it. However, it does seem insecure. Use it only if you are really not sure, and if you have no means to make sure that what you are saying is correct.

6

A working scientists

6.1 Good scientific practice

The term "good scientific practice"

6.2 Social aspects

Collaborations

Conflicts

Teams

6.3 Science as a career

7

Bibliography

Descartes, R., 1673. Discourse on the Method of Rightly Conducting One's Reason and of Seeking Truth in the Sciences.

Gopen, G. D., Swan, J. A., 1990. The Science Of Scientific Writing. Am. Sci. 78 (6), 550–558.