Statistical Models for Dependent Data: Handout

Henrik Singmann

November 2017

Overview: Statistical Models in R

- 1. Identify probability distribution of data (more correct: of residuals/conditional distribution)
- 2. Make sure variables are of correct type via str()
- 3. Set appropriate contrasts (orthogonal contrasts if model includes interaction): afex::set_sum_contrasts()
- 4. Describe statistical model using formula
- 5. Fit model: pass formula and data.frame to corresponding modeling function (e.g., lm(), glm())
- 6. Check model fit (e.g., inspect residuals)
- 7. Test terms (i.e., main effects and interactions): Pass fitted model to car::Anova()
- 8. Follow-up tests:
 - Estimated marginal means: Pass fitted model to lsmeans::lsmeans()/emmeans()
 - Specify specific contrasts on estimated marginal means (e.g., contrast(), pairs())
- afex combines fitting (5.) and testing (7.):
 - ANOVAs: afex::aov_car(), afex::aov_ez(), or afex::aov_4()
 - (Generalized) linear mixed-effects models: afex::mixed()

R Formula Interface for Statistical Models: ~

- R formula interface allows symbolic specification of statistical models, e.g. linear models: lm(y ~ x, data)
- Dependent variable(s) left of ~ (can be multivariate or missing), independent variables right of ~:

Formula	Interpretation
~ x or ~1+x	Intercept and main effect of x
$\sim x-1 \text{ or } \sim 0 + x$	Only main effect of x and no intercept (questionable)
~ x+y	Main effects of x and y
~ x:y	Interaction between x and y (and no main effect)
~ x*y or ~ x+y+x:y	Main effects and interaction between ${\tt x}$ and ${\tt y}$

• Formulas behave differently for coninuous and categorical covariates!!

- Always use str(data) before fitting: int & num is continuous, Factor or character is categorical.
- Categorical/nominal variables have to be factors. Create via factor().
- Categorical variables are transformed into numerical variables using contrast functions (via model.matrix(); see Cohen et al., 2002)
 - If models include interactions, orthogonal contrasts (e.g., contr.sum) in which the intercept corresponds to the (unweighted) grand mean should be used: afex::set_sum_contrasts()
 - Dummy/treatment contrasts (R default) lead to simple effects for lower order effects.
 - For linear models: Coding only affects interpretation of parameters/tests not overall model fit.
- For models with only numerical covariates, suppressing intercept works as expected.
- For models with categorical covariates, suppressing intercept or other lower-order effects often leads to very surprising results (and should generally be avoided).

Tests of Model Terms/Effects with car::Anova()

- car::Anova(model, type = 3) general solution for testing effects.
- Type II and III tests equivalent for balanced designs (i.e., equal group sizes) and highest-order effect.
- Type III tests require orthogonal contrasts (e.g.,contr.sum); recommended:
 - For experimental designs in which imbalance is completely random and not structural,
 - Complete cross-over interactions (i.e., main effects in presence of interaction) possible.
- Type II are more appropriate if imbalance is structural (i.e., observational data; maybe here).

Follow-up Tests with 1smeans/emmeans

- lsmeans(model, ~factor)/emmeans(model, ~factor) produces estimates marginal means (or least-square means for linear regression) for model terms (e.g., lsmeans(m6, ~education*gender)).
- Additional functions allow specifying contrasts/follow-up tests on the means, e.g.:
 - pairs() tests all pairwise comparisons among means.
 - contrast() allows to define arbitrary contrasts on marginal means.
 - For more examples see vignettes: https://cran.r-project.org/package=emmeans

ANOVAs with afex

- afex ANOVA functions require column with participant ID:
 - afex::aov_car() allows specification of ANOVA using aov-like formula. Specification of participant id in Error() term. For example:
 - aov_car(dv ~ between_factor + Error(id/within_factor), data)
 - afex::aov_4() allows specification of ANOVA using lme4-like formula. Specification of participant id in random term. For example:
 - aov_4(dv ~ between_factor + (within_factor|id), data)
 - afex::aov_ez() allows specification of ANOVA using characters. For example:
 aov_ez("id", "dv", data, between = "between_factor", within = "within_factor")

Repeated-Measures, IID Assumption, & Pooling

- Ordinary linear regression, between-subjects ANOVA, and basically all standard statistical models share one assumption: Data points are *independent and identically distributed (iid)*.
 - Independence assumption refers to residuals: After taking structure of model (i.e., parameters) into account, probability of a data point having a specific value is independent of all other data points.
 - Identical distribution: All observations sampled from same distribution.
- For repeated-measures independence assumption often violated, which can have dramatic consequences on significance tests from model (e.g., increased or decreased Type I errors).
- Three ways to deal with repeated-measures:
 - 1. Complete pooling: Ignore dependency in data (often not appropriate, results likely biased)
 - 2. No pooling: Separate data based on factor producing dependency and calculate separate statistical model for each subset (decreases precision of parameter estimates, combining results can be non-trivial)
 - 3. Partial pooling: Analyse data jointly while taking dependency into account (gold standard, e.g., mixed models)

Mixed Models

• Mixed models extend regular regression models via *random-effects parameters* that account for dependencies among related data points.

• Fixed Effects

- Overall or *population-level average* effect of specific model term (i.e., main effect, interaction, parameter) on dependent variable
- Independent of stochastic variability controlled for by random effects
- Hypothesis tests on fixed effect interpreted as hypothesis tests for terms in standard ANOVA or regression model
- Possible to test specific hypotheses among factor levels (e.g., planned contrasts)
- Fixed-effects parameters: Overall effect of specific model term on dependent variable

• Random Effects

- Random-effects grouping factors: Categorical variables that capture random or stochastic variability (e.g., participants, items, groups, or other hierarchical-structures).
- In experimental settings, random-effects grouping factors often part of design one wants to generalize over.
- Random-effects factor out idiosyncrasies of sample, thereby providing a more general estimate of the fixed effects of interest.
- Random-effects parameters:
 - $\ast\,$ Provide each level of random-effects grouping factor with idiosyncratic parameter set.
 - * zero-centered offsets/displacements for each level of random-effects grouping factor
 - * added to specific fixed-effects parameter
 - st assumed to follow normal distribution which provides *hierarchical shrinkage*, thereby avoids over-fitting
 - * should be added to each parameter that varies within the levels of a random-effects grouping factor (i.e., factor is *crossed* with random-effects grouping factor)

Random-Effects Parameters in lme4/afex

Formula	Interpretation
(1 s)	random intercepts for s (i.e., by-s random
	intercepts)
(1 s) + (1 i)	by-s and by-i (i.e., crossed) random intercepts
(a s) or (1+a s)	by-s random intercepts and by-s random slopes
	for a plus their correlation
(a*b s)	by-s random intercepts and by-s random slopes
	for a, b, and the a:b interaction plus correlations
	among the by-s random effects parameters
(0+a s)	by-s random slopes for a and no random intercept
(a s)	by-s random intercepts and by-s random slopes
	for a, but no correlation (expands to: (0+a s) +
	(1 s))

Note. Suppressing the correlation parameters via | | works only for numerical covariates in lmer and not for factors. afex provides the functionality to suppress the correlation also among factors if argument expand_re = TRUE in the call to mixed() (see also function lmer_alt()).

Examples:

```
mixed(dv ~ within_s_factor * within_i_factor + (within_s_factor|s) + (within_i_factor|i),
data, method = "S")
mixed(dv ~ within_s_factor + (within_s_factor||s), data, method = "S", expand_re = TRUE)
```

Hypothesis-Tests for Mixed Models

- lme4::lmer does not include p-values.
- afex::mixed provides four different methods:
 - 1. Kenward-Roger (method="KR", default): Provides best-protection against anti-conservative results, requires a lot of RAM for complicated random-effects structures.
 - 2. Satterthwaite (method="S"): Similar to KR, but requires less RAM.
 - 3. Parametric-bootstrap (method="PB"): Simulation-based, can take a lot of time (can be speed-up using parallel computation).
 - 4. Likelihood-ratio tests (method="LRT"): Provides worst control for anti-conservative results. Can be used if all else fails or if all random-effects grouping factors have many levels (e.g., over 50).
- afex::mixed uses orthogonal contrasts per default. Necessary for categorical variables in interactions.

Random-Effects Structure

- Omitting random-effects parameters for model terms which vary within the levels of a random-effects grouping factor and for which random variability exists leads to non-iid residuals (i.e., ϵ) and anti-conservative results (e.g., Barr, Levy, Scheepers, & Tily, 2013).
- Safeguard is maximal model justified by the design.
- If maximal model is overparameterized, contains degenerate estimates, and/or singular fits, power of maximal model may be reduced and a reduced model may be considered (Bates et al., 2015; Matuschek et al., 2017); however, reducing model introduces unknown risk of anti-conservativity, and should be done with caution.
- Steps for running a mixed model analysis:
 - 1. Identify desired fixed-effects structure
 - 2. Identify random-effects grouping factors
 - 3. Identify which factors/terms vary within levels of each random-effects grouping factor: maximal model
 - 4. Choose method for calculating p-values and fit maximal model
 - 5. Iteratively reduce random-effects structure until all degenerate/zero-variance random-effects parameters are removed.
- If the maximal model shows critical convergence warnings, reduce random-effects structure:
 - Start by removing the correlation among random-effects parameters
 - Remove random-effects parameters for highest-order effects with lowest variance
 - It can sometimes help to try different optimizers
 - Compare p-values/fixed-effects estimates across models (p-values from degenerate/minimal models are not reliable)

GLMMs: Mixed-models with Alternative Distributional Assumptions

- Not all data can be reasonable described by a Normal distribution.
- Generalized-linear mixed models (GLMMs; e.g., Jaeger, 2008) allow for other distributions. For example:
 - Binomial distribution: Repeated-measures logistic regression
 - Poisson distribution for count data
 - Gamma distribution for non-negative data (e.g., RTs)
- GLMMs require specification of residual distribution (family) and link function.
- Link function determines how values on untransformed scale are mapped onto response scale.
- Specification of random-effects structure conceptually identical as for LMMs.
- GLMMs only allow two methods for hypothesis testing: "LRT" or "PB".
- Inspection of residuals/model fit more important for GLMMs than for LMMs: R package DHARMa
- Fit with lme4::glmer or afex::mixed, both require family argument (e.g., family = binomial): mixed(prop ~ a * b + (a|s) + (b|i), data, weights = data\$n, family = binomial, method = "LRT") (Note: data\$n * data\$prop must produce integers; number of successes.)