

Tableau-based theorem proving

December 8, 2023

The method of analytic tableaux

- ▶ is a proof procedure for first order logic
- ▶ is complete: If a formula has a proof, the search will succeed
- ▶ if the formula has no proof, we might search forever (RE-problem)
- ▶ simple special case: propositional logic

One-sided Sequent calculus (Propositional)

Assume formulas are put in NNF.

$$\frac{}{\Gamma, \phi, \neg\phi \vdash} \text{Hyp}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \phi, \psi \vdash}{\Gamma, \phi \wedge \psi \vdash} \text{And}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \phi \vdash \quad \Gamma, \psi \vdash}{\Gamma, \phi \vee \psi \vdash} \text{Or}$$

Example:

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{}{a, b, \neg a} \text{Hyp} \quad \frac{}{a, b, \neg b} \text{Hyp}}{a, b, \neg a \vee \neg b} \text{Or}}{a \wedge b \wedge (\neg a \vee \neg b)} \text{And}$$

One-sided Sequent calculus (Propositional)

Assume formulas are put in NNF.

$$\frac{}{\Gamma, \phi, \neg\phi \vdash} \text{Hyp}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \phi, \psi \vdash}{\Gamma, \phi \wedge \psi \vdash} \text{And}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \phi \vdash \quad \Gamma, \psi \vdash}{\Gamma, \phi \vee \psi \vdash} \text{Or}$$

Example:

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{}{a, b, \neg a} \text{Hyp} \quad \frac{}{a, b, \neg b} \text{Hyp}}{a, b, \neg a \vee \neg b} \text{Or}}{a \wedge b \wedge (\neg a \vee \neg b)} \text{And}$$

- ▶ Very simple, complete, generalizable
- ▶ Very naive, not very efficient

One-sided Sequent calculus (First Order)

Assume formulas are put in NNF.

$$\frac{}{\Gamma, \phi, \neg\phi \vdash} \text{Hyp}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \phi, \psi \vdash}{\Gamma, \phi \wedge \psi \vdash} \text{And}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \phi \vdash \quad \Gamma, \psi \vdash}{\Gamma, \phi \vee \psi \vdash} \text{Or}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \phi[x := y] \vdash}{\Gamma, \exists x. \phi} \text{Exists (y a fresh variable)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \phi[x := t] \vdash}{\Gamma, \forall x. \phi} \text{Forall (t an arbitrary term)}$$

Instantiation: How to pick the good t

Strategy 1: try all possible terms, in order.

If the formula contains function symbol f^1 and g^2 , and free variables x and y ...

$$S_1 = \{x, y\}$$

$$S_2 = \{x, y, f(x), f(y), g(x, x), g(x, y), g(y, x), g(y, y)\}$$

$$S_n = S_1 \cup \{f(_), g(_, _)\}[S_{n-1}]$$

$$|S_n| = \mathcal{O}(|S_{n-1}|^2)$$

Completely useless in practice

Instantiation: How to pick the good t

Strategy 2: delay choice of t .

Formally, add rule

$$\frac{\Gamma, \forall x. \phi, \phi[x := t] \vdash}{\Gamma, \forall x. \phi \vdash} \text{Forall } (t \text{ an arbitrary term})$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \forall x. \phi, \phi[x := x'] \vdash}{\Gamma, \forall x. \phi \vdash} \text{Forall } (x' \text{ a metavariable})$$

$$\frac{\text{Apply } \sigma \text{ to quantifiers of } x', y', \dots}{\Gamma, \phi, \neg \psi \vdash} \text{Unification (with } \phi \text{ and } \psi \text{ having MGU } \sigma_{x', y', \dots})$$

Instantiation: How to pick the good t

Problem: when a \forall contains multiple branches. A unification for one branch does not necessarily work for the other, ex:

$$\neg P(a), \neg Q(b) \wedge \forall x. P(x) \vee Q(x)$$

When instantiating metavariables, it must propagate to other branches! Non local, non-functional.

Instantiation: How to pick the good t

Problem: when a \forall contains multiple branches. A unification for one branch does not necessarily work for the other, ex:

$$\neg P(a), \neg Q(b) \wedge \forall x. P(x) \vee Q(x)$$

When instantiating metavariables, it must propagate to other branches! Non local, non-functional. Alternative: Don't instantiate metavariables, but apply **Forall** directly.

Instantiation: How to pick the good t

Last problem: When computing unification, there are often multiple different unifiers that can close the branch. Which to pick?

- ▶ Good heuristic necessary for completeness
- ▶ Also necessary for reasonable performances in practice
- ▶ Sensible strategies: Prioritize unification $\{x_1 := t_1, \dots, x_n := t_n\}$, with lowest n , lowest height of t_i , where the quantified formulas corresponding to x_i are the smallest, etc.

- ▶ We dealt with pure first order logic, but techniques exist to extend it to additional theories
 - ▶ Equality
 - ▶ Operations on sets
 - ▶ Presburger Arithmetic
- ▶ Tableau method is well suited to produce proofs, but tools based on the superposition method are typically better in competitions.
- ▶ A lot of complex additional techniques and optimization can be used.