ETH

Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich
Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich

Jeremias Schmidli

Numerical Optimization of the Adaptive Solar Facade

Master Thesis

A / S – Architecture and Building Systems
Swiss Federal Institute of Technology (ETH) Zurich

Examiner: Prof. Dr. Arno Schlueter
Supervisor: Prageeth Jayathissa

Zurich, March 22, 2016

Abstract

Numerical optimization of the adaptive solar facade (ASF)

Contents

Chapter 1

Introduction

Buildings are at the heart of society and currently account for 32% of global final energy consumption and 19% of energy related greenhouse gas emissions [1]. Nevertheless, the building sector has a 50-90% emission reduction potential using existing technologies [1]. Within this strategy, building integrated photovoltaics (BIPV) have the potential of providing a substantial segment of a building's energy needs [2]. Even the photovoltaic (PV) industry has identified BIPV as one of the four key factors for the future success of PV [3].

Dynamic building envelopes have gained interest in recent years because they can save energy by controlling direct and indirect radiation into the building, while still responding to the desires of the user [4]. This mediation of solar insolation offers a reduction in heating / cooling loads and an improvement of daylight distribution [5]. Interestingly, the mechanics that actuate dynamic envelopes couples seamlessly with the mechanics required for facade integrated PV solar tracking.

Previous BIPV research analyses electricity production and building energy demand for static BIPV shading systems [6] [7] [8] [9]. This paper expands on this work by analysing dynamic PV shading systems, while also taking into account mutual shading amongst modules and its effect on PV electricity generation. The approach allows us to reduce efficiency degradation due to partial shading of PV modules [10].

The work presented in this paper is applied in the context of the Adaptive Solar Facade (ASF) project [11]. The ASF is a lightweight PV shading system composed of CIGS panels, that can be easily installed on any surface of new or existing buildings. This paper will present a methodology of simulating an ASF while simultaneously calculating the energy demand of the office space behind the facade.

1.1 Literature Review

Interesting summary of some papers

1.2 Structure of the Report

First methods, then results, then conclusion.

Chapter 2

Literature Review

General Introduction/ methods topics
- Photovoltaic - Energy Balance (U-Value, g-Value...) - User Comfort - Self Shading of panels (if evaluated)

The Adaptive Solar Facade: From Concept to Prototypes (Nagy15)

Control
- two axis solar tracking (experiment corresponds to forecast) - interaction with environment (user needs and interaction as well as energy savings must be taken into account) - voice recognition (robustness needs to be improved, redundant system is wished for by users)
Numerical Analysis
Energy Demand Savings - Single room - Three bands - hourly time steps over one year with 324 possible configurations analyzed in Energy Plus - Energy savings mainly through decreased cooling demand but also less heating and lighting than with regular blinds
PV System Design - Takes into account: building geometry, horizon contour line, module geometry - determine: shadow shape, orientation towards the sun, sky view factor, visibility of reflecting elements - Cells should be connected in strings with similar shading to minimize electrical mismatch losses
Prototypes - ETH House of Natural Resources: monitor temperature, humidity and illuminance inside ASF office as well as adjacent one - HiLo will have two facades, user interaction in residential building will be studied

Numerical Simulation of Energy Performance, and Construction of the Adaptive Solar Facade

Numerical Simulations
- ETH House of Natural Resources - net energy demand for heating,

cooling and lighting - heating with heatpump, cooling with district water, LED lighting - convert energy demand to the end electricity demand of the HoNR office - cooling energy predominant in summer afternoons, heating in winter during days and lighting in the evenings and mornings - largest absolute savings compared to no facade and standard louvers system at 45 degrees caused by decrease of cooling demand - 25% savings compared to louvers and 56 % compared to no shading (energy demand) - ASF saves electricity compared to louvers but probably building needs more electricity than without shading (as heating uses the most electricity and cooling is very efficient in the chosen configuration, where electricity is only needed to pump the fresh water into the cooling system). - ASF produces more electricity than needed by building

Climate adaptive building shells: State-of-the-art and future challenges [12]

- active and passive building technology (active means utilizing modern technology (such as PV), whereas passive directly uses wind and sun to optimize the building) -> combine them - climate adaptive building shells (CABS) can take advantage of variable outdoor conditions - definition of CABS: "A climate adaptive building shell has the ability to repeatedly and reversibly change some of its functions, features or behavior over time in response to changing performance requirements and variable boundary conditions, and does this with the aim of improving overall building performance." - building integrated PV (BIPV) and static daylighting systems are not included in CABS (not adaptive) - Robustness is the ability to reduce negative influences caused by external changes, it should be defined together with flexibility which describes the ability of the system to change according to the external conditions (adaptability, multi-ability and evolvability) - adabtable building shells can react to external changes - multi-ability means that one technology can perform different tasks, depending on the user or the external conditions (e.g. foldable balcony) - evolvability is the ability to react to changes in the long run - CABS are characterized by four physical categories: (Thermal, Optical, Air-flow, and Electrical) - time scales can range from seconds (wind changes) to seasonal changes - adaption can take place on a macro or a micro scale. Macro scale stands for visible, mostly mechanical changes, whereas on the micro scale mostly optical properties change - Control can be extrinsic (feedback loops, needs sensors, processors and actuators, either distributed or centralized) or intrinsic (direct reaction to changes e.g. by smart materials). Extrinsic control provides the opportunity of centralized control and evolvability, wheras intrinsic control utilizes less parts and a more direct feedback. - all reviewed projects take into account the thermal domain, most consider wind-flows, some consider optical domain or electrical domain (mostly by PV) - tendency towards extrinsic

control on macro scale (conservative solution with sensors and servomotors, pumps or fans as actuators) - CABS are still in the development phase, high risk projects. The demand for building performance simulations is increasing. - Tradeoffs for CABS control: "daylight vs. glare, views vs. privacy, fresh air vs. draught risk, solar shading vs. artificial lighting, passive solar gains vs. potential overheating". - advanced supervisory control strategies are needed such as optimal control and model based predictive control with weather forecast. - users should be able to override control - cost is still a major issue, large scale production is needed for CABS to have an impact

Energy efficiency of a dynamic glazing system [13]
- triple glazing, shading component in outer gap and ventilation in inner gap - independent variables: specific fan power, venetian blind position, kind of glazing system, difference between the air gap and the indoor air temperature - dependent variables: U-value, g-value, energy consumption, predicted percentage of dissatisfied (PPD)(, air change, daylighting factor)

Parametric analysis and systems design of dynamic photovoltaic shading modules (hofer15)
- utilization of 3D geometrical modeling to calculate mutual shading - parameters varied: facade orientation, distance between modules, electrical design parameters, one or two axis-tracking - Solar radiation calculated by use of three-component model (direct, diffuse sky and diffuse reflected radiation):

$$G_{tot,m,p}(t) = G_{dir,m,p}(t) + G_{dif,m,p}(t) + G_{ref,m,p}(t) \qquad (2.1)$$

- Solar position generated by use of DIVA plugin - Shading on panels calculated as vector graphics - Solar insolation is averaged for every hour of the month and only one day per month is evaluated. - Power loss increases linearly with shaded area for 100% lateral shading, but increases faster for smaller lateral shading. - Solar altitude tracking leads to high mutual shading in summer and low mutual shading in winter, therefore seasonal variations in PV production are relatively small. - Overall, two axis tracking with small spacing results in highest insolation per facade area, though modules become less efficient caused by the mutual shading. $->$ What spacing results in highest energy production?

Chapter 3

Methods

Some very interesting methods were used.

Chapter 4

Results and Discussion

here are some cool results

Chapter 5

Conclusions and Outlook

It was possible to achieve nice results

Appendix A

Appendix A

This is appendix A

Bibliography

[1] Fifth assessment report, mitigation of cliamte change. *Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, pages 674–738, 2014.

[2] PR Defaix, WGJHM van Sark, E Worrell, and Erika de Visser. Technical potential for photovoltaics on buildings in the eu-27. *Solar Energy*, 86(9):2644–2653, 2012.

[3] Marco Raugei and Paolo Frankl. Life cycle impacts and costs of photovoltaic systems: current state of the art and future outlooks. *Energy*, 34(3):392–399, 2009.

[4] RCGM Loonen, M Trčka, Daniel Cóstola, and JLM Hensen. Climate adaptive building shells: State-of-the-art and future challenges. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 25:483–493, 2013.

[5] Dino Rossi, Zoltán Nagy, and Arno Schlueter. Adaptive distributed robotics for environmental performance, occupant comfort and architectural expression. *International Journal of Architectural Computing*, 10(3):341–360, 2012.

[6] M Mandalaki, K Zervas, T Tsoutsos, and A Vazakas. Assessment of fixed shading devices with integrated pv for efficient energy use. *Solar Energy*, 86(9):2561–2575, 2012.

[7] Seung-Ho Yoo and Heinrich Manz. Available remodeling simulation for a bipv as a shading device. *Solar Energy Materials and Solar Cells*, 95(1):394–397, 2011.

[8] Freitas Sara and et al. Maximizing the solar photovoltaic yield in different building facade layouts. *EU PVSEC (2015)*, 2015.

[9] Prageeth Jayathissa, Zoltan Nagy, Nicola Offedu, and Arno Schlueter. Numerical simulation of energy performance and construction of the adaptive solar facade. *Proceedings of the Advanced Building Skins*, 2:52–62, 2015.

[10] Johannes Hofer, Abel Groenewolt, Prageeth Jayathissa, Zoltan Nagy, and Arno Schlueter. Parametric analysis and systems design of dynamic photovoltaic shading modules. *EU PVSEC 2015 conference, Hamburg, Germany (paper in review)*.

[11] Zoltan Nagy, Svetozarevic Bratislav, Prageeth Jayathissa, Moritz Begle, Johannes Hofer, Gearoid Lydon, Anja Willmann, and Arno Schlueter. The adaptive solar facade: From concept to prototypes. *under review*.

[12] R.C.G.M. Loonen, M. Trčka, D. Cóstola, and J.L.M. Hensen. Climate adaptive building shells: State-of-the-art and future challenges. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 25:483 – 493, 2013.

[13] R. Lollini, L. Danza, and I. Meroni. Energy efficiency of a dynamic glazing system. *Solar Energy*, 84(4):526 – 537, 2010. International Conference {CISBAT} 2007.