

# wrangle\_report

July 8, 2022

## 0.1 Reporting: Wrangling Efforts

## 0.2 Introduction

This is a report on the Data Wrangling efforts for my second project in the ALX-T Data Analyst Nanodegree Program on Udacity. The aim of the project is to gather & analyse the data of a Twitter account named @dog\_rates. WeRateDogs is a Twitter account that rates people's dogs with a humorous comment about the dog. To analyze the data and generate insights, we must first go through a data Wrangling Process to ensure we're generating a trustworthy analyses and visualizations. ##### The Data Wrangling Process is in stages, these include: 1. Data Gathering 2. Data Assessment 3. Data Cleaning 4. Data Storing

This report will be structured as sub-headings under the different Data Wrangling stages

### 0.2.1 Data Gathering

The data utilized for the analysis were gathered from three different sources; these include: 1. A CSV (comma-separated values) file that was provided by Udacity for WeRateDogs Twitter archive, this was downloaded from the Udacity Website. \* After Downloading this file to the local device; it was read into a pandas DataFrame named `twitter_archive` using `pandas.read_csv`. 2. A TSV file that was downloaded programatically from the Udacity Server, this contains predictions about the image posted by WeRateDogs. It was downloaded programatically using Requests library. This was read in a Dataframe named `predictions` 3. Additional data were retrieved through on Twitter using the Twitter API library Tweepy. Each tweet's JSON data were written to its own line and stored in a text file named `tweet_json.txt`. This text file was then read line by line into a pandas DataFrame named `twitter_additions`

### 0.2.2 Assessing Data

After gathering the three datasets & reading into the Pandas Dataframe, The datasets were assessed visually and programmatically for quality and tidiness issues. The steps for this included: 1. **Visual Assessment:** To make the Visual assessment easier the datasets that were downloaded programmatically were read into a CSV file, therefore visual assessment could be done on the three datasets using a spreadsheet application (Excel) for easier assessment. > During these steps, rows that were suspected to have issues were further investigated by loading the `expanded_url` for that particular Tweet to investigate the issue that was identified. One of those was the `rating_numerator` for 420; the score was inflated therefore further investigation was done to load the Url for the Tweet, this showed that the rating was not a Dog's rating but rather a human picture (named Snoop Dogg). 2. **Programmatic assessment:** Further assessment was done on the Datasets using code to view specific portions and summaries of the data. Pandas & python libraries was used here. > All the

issues that were identified were recorded and will be Cleaned during the Data Cleaning Stage of this Data Wrangling process.

### **0.2.3 Cleaning Data**

The Quality and Tidiness issues that were identified and documented during the assessment stage were cleaned properly here, during the cleaning stage other issues were identified therefore the Assessment & Cleaning were repeated multiple times. > The Define-Code-Test framework was used while cleaning, this will make it easier for other analyst to go over the workdone to understand fully the cleaning steps.

### **0.2.4 Storing Data**

The wrangling steps were completed and the three Datasets were merged together. This merged dataset was saved to another dataframe named `twitter_archive_master.csv`. This merged dataframe was used for the analysis.