import pandas as pd import numpy as np import matplotlib.pyplot as plt import seaborn as sns from sklearn.model selection import train test split from sklearn.linear model import LinearRegression from sklearn.metrics import r2 score, mean squared error, mean absolute error from math import sqrt from sklearn import linear model Milestone 1 Customer retention is a crucial factor in the success of commercial insurance businesses. Insurers constantly seek to understand the factors contributing to customer churn and develop strategies to retain their clients. The objective of this project is to predict customer retention in the commercial insurance sector using a dataset containing information on business policies. By developing a predictive model, I aim to help our insurance companies proactively identify and address potential cancellations, ultimately improving customer retention and increasing profitability. The business problem I will address is the high rate of customer churn in the commercial insurance industry. The goal is to develop a machine learning model that can predict the likelihood of policy cancellation based on the features available in the dataset, including policy label, effective date, expiration date, cancellation date, product code, subline code, street address, property city, property county name, property zip code, property state, written premium amount, total loss payments, and total reserve. The target variable for our model is the "Cancellation Indicator," a binary variable derived from the cancellation date column. If a policy has a cancellation date, the indicator will be 1, signifying a cancellation. Otherwise, it will be 0, indicating that the policy is still active or expired naturally. I will start by cleaning and preprocessing the dataset, handling missing values, and removing duplicate records. Categorical variables will be converted into numerical variables using appropriate encoding techniques. I will create new features, such as policy duration, time to cancellation, claim frequency, and loss ratio (total loss payments divided by written premium amount), which can provide additional insights into customer retention. An extensive exploratory data analysis will be performed to understand the relationships between different variables and cancellations. I will identify patterns and trends in the data, such as geographical clusters of cancellations, seasonal variations, and correlations between specific product lines and cancellations. # loading the dataset and showing the first 10 rows. df = pd.read excel('C:/Users/mdyoung/OneDrive - Bankers Financial Corporation/Desktop/Bellevue/DSC550 T302 2235 df.head(10) **Property** Written **Property Effective Expiration Cancellation Product Subline** Street **Property Property Policy Label** Zip Premium Date Date Date Code Code Address 1 City **County Name** State Code **Amount** 09 2020-01-2021-01-603 7TH ST SAINT 0004932682-**BBOP BPOP PINELLAS** 33701 FL 357 NaT 01 01 S STE 590 **PETERSBURG** 6-02 09-101 2020-01-2021-01-0004932565-**BBOP** NORMANDY **CASSELBERRY SEMINOLE** 32707 FL 1749 NaT 01 01 4-02 RD 10281 SW 09-2020-01-2021-01-0004932682-NaT **BBOP BPOP** 72ND ST MIAMI MIAMI-DADE 33173 FL 699 01 6-02 STE 101 09 1206 2020-01-2021-01-0004932779-**BBOP BPOP BRADENTON** MANATEE 34205 FL 4098 NaT MANATEE 01 7-02 AVE W 5110 N 09 2020-01-2021-01-TAMPA HILLSBOROUGH 0004932682-**BBOP BPOP HABANA** 33614 FL 494 NaT 01 01 6-02 AVE STE 2 1215 09-2020-01-2021-01-**BPOP** 0004932626 **BBOP VENICE SARASOTA JACARANDA** 8-02 **BLVD** 09-1305 S FORT 2020-01-2021-01-**6** 0004932682-PINELLAS NaT **BBOP BPOP** HARRISON CLEARWATER 33756 FL 820 6-02 2020-01-2021-01-33 SW 2ND **7** 0004932342-**BBOP BPOP** MIAMI MIAMI-DADE 33130 FL 525 NaT AVE STE 101 525 PINE 09-2020-01-2021-01-ISLAND RD **8** 0004932682-NaT **BBOP BPOP** FORT MYERS LEE 33903 FL 628 01 STE A STE B 6-02 09-2020-01-2021-01-7627 LITTLE **NEW PORT** 9 0004932182-**BBOP** PASCO 34654 FL 2153 NaT **RICHEY** 1-02 # Data types and missing values df.info() <class 'pandas.core.frame.DataFrame'> RangeIndex: 69567 entries, 0 to 69566 Data columns (total 14 columns): Non-Null Count Dtype # Column --- ----0 Policy Label 69567 non-null object 1 Effective Date 69567 non-null datetime64[ns] Expiration Date 69567 non-null datetime64[ns] datetime64[ns] Cancellation Date 4656 non-null 69567 non-null object Product Code 69567 non-null object Subline Code Street Address 1 69567 non-null object Property City 69567 non-null object 8 Property County Name 69567 non-null object Property Zip Code 69567 non-null int64 9 10 Property State 69567 non-null object
11 Written Premium Amount 69567 non-null int64
12 Total Loss Pormonts 69567 69567 non-null float64 12 Total Loss Payments 13 Total Reserve 69567 non-null float64 dtypes: datetime64[ns](3), float64(2), int64(2), object(7) memory usage: 7.4+ MB # Summary statistics df.describe() Property Zip Code Written Premium Amount Total Loss Payments Total Reserve 69567.000000 69567.000000 6.956700e+04 6.956700e+04 count 2590.888223 1.786761e+03 2.409292e+02 38471.746115 mean std 13276.099128 3630.823615 2.686775e+04 7.439686e+03 -956.000000 27030.000000 0.000000e+00 0.000000e+00 min 25% 32765.000000 644.000000 0.000000e+00 0.000000e+00 0.000000e+00 0.000000e+00 50% 33487.000000 1344.000000 **75%** 34239.000000 3120.000000 0.000000e+00 0.000000e+00 78957.000000 98828.000000 1.945396e+06 1.127750e+06 max In [40]: # Calculate the Cancellation Indicator df['Cancellation Indicator'] = df['Cancellation Date'].notna().astype(int) In [41]: # Class distribution print("\nClass distribution:") print(df['Cancellation Indicator'].value counts(normalize=True)) Class distribution: 0.933072 0.066928 Name: Cancellation Indicator, dtype: float64 In [42]: # To plot both graphs (all policies and canceled policies) together, I created a grouped bar chart # with grouped bars using pandas and matplotlib, displaying the count of all policies and canceled # policies for each state: # Counting policies by state policies by state = df['Property State'].value counts() # Filter rows with a cancellation canceled data = df[df['Cancellation Indicator'] == 1] # Count canceled policies by state canceled policies by state = canceled data['Property State'].value counts() # Combine both Series into a single DataFrame combined data = pd.concat([policies by state, canceled policies by state], axis=1, keys=['Total Policies', 'Car combined data.fillna(0, inplace=True) # Plotting the grouped bar chart bar width = 0.35fig, ax = plt.subplots(figsize=(14, 7)) index = np.arange(len(combined data)) rects1 = ax.bar(index, combined data['Total Policies'], bar width, label='Total Policies') rects2 = ax.bar(index + bar width, combined data['Canceled Policies'], bar width, label='Canceled Policies') ax.set xlabel('Property State') ax.set ylabel('Number of Policies') ax.set title('Total Policies vs. Canceled Policies by State') ax.set xticks(index + bar width / 2) ax.set xticklabels(combined data.index) ax.legend() plt.show() Total Policies vs. Canceled Policies by State Total Policies Canceled Policies 50000 40000 Number of Policies 30000 20000 10000 ΑĹ NC TX SC Property State In [43]: # Count policies by state and product code policies by state product = df.groupby(['Property State', 'Product Code']).size().unstack().fillna(0) # Filter rows with a cancellation canceled data = df[df['Cancellation Indicator'] == 1] # Count canceled policies by state and product code canceled_policies_by_state_product = canceled_data.groupby(['Property State', 'Product Code']).size().unstack() # Reindex both DataFrames to have the same index (set of states) all states = policies by state product.index.union(canceled policies by state product.index) policies by state product = policies by state product.reindex(all states).fillna(0) canceled policies by state product = canceled policies by state product.reindex(all states).fillna(0) # Plotting the stacked bar chart fig, ax = plt.subplots(figsize=(14, 7)) index = np.arange(len(policies by state product)) bar width = 0.35# Plotting total policies bottom = np.zeros(len(policies by state product)) for product code in policies by state product.columns: ax.bar(index, policies by state product[product code], bar width, label=f'Total {product code}', bottom=bot bottom += policies by state product[product code] # Plotting canceled policies bottom = np.zeros(len(canceled policies by state product)) for product code in canceled policies by state product.columns: ax.bar(index + bar width, canceled policies by state product[product code], bar width, label=f'Canceled {pri bottom += canceled policies by state product[product code] ax.set xlabel('Property State') ax.set ylabel('Number of Policies') ax.set title('Total Policies and Canceled Policies by State and Product Code') ax.set xticks(index + bar width / 2) ax.set xticklabels(policies by state product.index) plt.show() Total Policies and Canceled Policies by State and Product Code Total BBOP Total HOA Total MBOP 50000 Total MHPK Canceled BBOP Canceled HOA Canceled MBOP Canceled MHPK 40000 Number of Policies 30000 20000 10000 0 NC TX Property State The code filtered the rows with cancellations, counted the policies and canceled policies by state, and created a grouped bar chart displaying the results. We can see that the majority of the policies written and canceled were in the states of Florida and Louisiana. In [44]: # Creating a scatter plot of Written Premium Amount vs. Total Loss Payments plt.figure(figsize=(10, 6)) plt.scatter(df['Written Premium Amount'], df['Total Loss Payments'], c=df['Cancellation Indicator'], cmap='viri plt.xlabel('Written Premium Amount') plt.ylabel('Total Loss Payments') plt.title('Written Premium Amount vs. Total Loss Payments') plt.colorbar(label='Cancellation Indicator') plt.show() # Calculating the written premium total and written premium canceled written premium total = df['Written Premium Amount'].sum() written_premium_canceled = df.loc[df['Cancellation_Indicator'] == 1, 'Written Premium Amount'].sum() print('Written Premium Total:', written premium total) print('Written Premium Canceled:', written premium canceled) Written Premium Amount vs. Total Loss Payments 1.0 2.00 1.75 0.8 1.50 **Total Loss Payments** 1.25 1.00 0.75 0.50 0.2 0.25 0.00 20000 40000 60000 80000 100000 Written Premium Amount Written Premium Total: 180240321 Written Premium Canceled: 4987624 The above scatter plot shows the relationship between 'Written Premium Amount' and 'Total Loss Payments'. This scatter plot can help you visualize the correlation between the premium amounts and the loss payments for each policy, potentially revealing patterns or trends related to cancellations. In [45]: # Calculating the cancellation rates by product code cancellation rate by product = df.groupby('Product Code')['Cancellation Indicator'].mean() # Plot the bar chart plt.figure(figsize=(12, 6)) cancellation rate by product.plot(kind='bar') plt.xlabel('Product Code') plt.ylabel('Cancellation Rate') plt.title('Cancellation Rate by Product Code') plt.show() Cancellation Rate by Product Code 0.08 0.07 0.06 0.05 Cancellation Rate 0.04 0.03 0.02 0.01 0.00 Product Code This bar chart shows the cancellation rate by product code. This visualization can reveal patterns or trends related to specific product lines, which could be helpful in understanding the factors that influence cancellations and improve customer retention strategies. This visualization can provide insights into the specific product lines that have higher or lower cancellation rates, allowing you to focus on improving the products or customer segments that need more attention. As we can see here, MBOP and BBOP products have the highest potential for investigation. In [46]: # Calculating the cancellation rates by product code and property state cancellation_rate_by_product_state = df.groupby(['Product Code', 'Property State'])['Cancellation_Indicator'].n # Plotting the data in a heatmap plt.figure(figsize=(16, 8)) sns.heatmap(cancellation_rate_by_product_state, cmap='coolwarm', annot=True, fmt='.2f', linewidths=.5, cbar kws plt.xlabel('Property State') plt.ylabel('Product Code') plt.title('Cancellation Rate by Product Code and Property State') plt.show() Cancellation Rate by Product Code and Property State 0.07 0.00 0.05 BBOP 0.06 - 0.05 HOA Product Code Cancellation I - 0.03 0.08 MBOP 0.02 0.04 -0.010.00 LΆ ΑĹ FĹ NC sc ΤX Property State This heatmap is displaying the cancellation rate across different product codes and property states. This visualization can help you identify geographic trends in cancellations as well as any interactions between product code and location. This visualization can help you understand the cancellation patterns across different product lines and locations, allowing you to focus on specific combinations of product codes and property states that exhibit higher cancellation rates. This insight can guide targeted improvements in products or customer segments, ultimately contributing to better customer retention. From the graphical analysis above we can conclude that the focus of the datasets should be in the states of Florida and Louisiana. The cancelation rates are highest amongest the MBOP and BBOP product lines. Which is understandable since a large majority of the policies sold are in these lines. Milestone 2 Upon completeing the original EDA in Milestone 1, I concluded that I will focus on the policies that were canceled. In [47]: # Filtering the DataFrame df to only include rows where the 'Canellcation Indicator' is '1' (yes) = canceled # prior to expiration. df = df[df['Cancellation Indicator'] == 1] Out[47]: Property **Property Effective Expiration Cancellation Product** Street **Property Property Policy Label** Zip Date Date Date Code Code Address 1 City **County Name** State Code Am 09-1282 US 2020-01-2021-01-**56** 0004991548-2020-02-01 **BBOP BPOP** HIGHWAY 1 **ROCKLEDGE BREVARD** 32955 FL 01 8-07 STE 1 09-2020-01-2021-01-3179 4TH ST **61** 0004991687-2020-07-22 **BBOP BPOP PINELLAS** 33704 FL 01 N PETERSBURG 6-07 09-2020-01-2021-01-2203 N LOIS 83 0005801748-2020-04-01 **BBOP** MAIN TAMPA HILLSBOROUGH 33607 FL 01 AVE STE 961 9-05 09-2020-01-2021-01-2203 N LOIS 102 0005801748-2020-04-01 **BBOP** MAIN TAMPA HILLSBOROUGH 33607 FL 01 01 AVE STE 932 9-05 09-7000 W 2020-01-2021-01-**BOCA** 117 0005806028-2020-06-18 **BBOP BPOP PALMETTO** PALM BEACH 33433 FL 01 01 **RATON** 3-04 PARK RD STE 10015 2023-03-2024-03-**68234** 0005816483-2023-03-30 **BBOP** MAIN TRINITY BLVD **TRINITY PASCO** 34655 FL 7-02 STE 101 09-3021 2023-04-2024-04-**68485** 0037792044-2023-05-09 **MBOP NRES** MANATEE **BRADENTON MANATEE** 34205 FL 01 6-00 AVE W 09-3027 2023-04-2024-04-**68495** 0037792044-2023-05-09 **MBOP NRES** MANATEE **BRADENTON MANATEE** 34205 FL 01 6-00 AVE W 09-1720 2023-04-2024-04-**PORT 68728** 0037792052-2023-04-07 **MBOP** DUNLAWTON **VOLUSIA** FL 32127 07 07 ORANGE 1-00 603 2023-04-**68978** 0037792152-2023-05-09 **MBOP NRES MELALEUCA MARGATE BROWARD** 33063 FL 2-00 4656 rows × 15 columns In [48]: # Remove the 'Policy Label' and 'Street Address 1' and 'Property City' columns from the DataFrame # This simplifies the dataset by excluding columns that are not relevant to the analysis since we have county, # zip code and state df = df.drop(['Policy Label','Street Address 1', 'Property City'], axis=1) Out[48]: **Property** Written **Total Effective Expiration Cancellation Product Subline Property Property Total** Zip **Premium** Loss Cancellation_In Date Date Date Code Code **County Name** State Reserve Code **Amount Payments** 2020-01-2021-01-2020-02-01 **BBOP BPOP BREVARD** 32955 FL 110 0.0 0.0 01 01 2020-01-2021-01-2020-07-22 **BBOP BPOP PINELLAS** 33704 FL 731 0.0 0.0 01 01 2020-01-2021-01-2020-04-01 MAIN HILLSBOROUGH 83 **BBOP** FL 180 0.0 0.0 33607 01 01 2020-01-2021-01-102 2020-04-01 HILLSBOROUGH FL 0.0 0.0 **BBOP** MAIN 155 01 2021-01-2020-01-2020-06-18 **BBOP BPOP** PALM BEACH 33433 FL 62 0.0 0.0 01 01 2023-03-2024-03 2023-03-30 **PASCO** 68234 **BBOP** MAIN 34655 FL 0.0 0.0 30 30 2023-04-2024-04-2023-05-09 68485 **MBOP NRES MANATEE** 34205 FL 7260 0.0 0.0 01 2023-04-2024-04-68495 2023-05-09 **MBOP NRES MANATEE** 34205 FL 5766 0.0 0.0 01 01 2023-04-2024-04-68728 2023-04-07 **NRES VOLUSIA** FL 0 0.0 0.0 **MBOP** 32127 07 2023-04-2024-04-2023-05-09 68978 **MBOP NRES BROWARD** 33063 FL 17502 0.0 0.0 13 4656 rows × 12 columns In [49]: # Calculate the loss ratio for each policy by dividing 'Total Loss Amount' by # 'Written Premium Amount' df['Total Loss Amount'] = df['Total Loss Payments'] + df['Total Reserve'] df['Loss Ratio'] = df['Total Loss Amount'] / df['Written Premium Amount'] df['Loss Ratio'] = df['Loss Ratio'].fillna(0) The loss ratio is a useful metric in insurance as it helps measure the profitability of an insurance company's policies. # Calculate the difference between 'Effective Date' and 'Cancellation Date' df['Cancel Date Difference Days'] = (df['Cancellation Date'] - df['Effective Date']).dt.days # Convert the difference to months and store it in a new column named 'Months Between' df['Cancel Months Between'] = df['Cancel Date Difference Days'] / 30.44 # Display the updated DataFrame with the new 'Months Between' column Written Property Total **Property Effective Expiration Cancellation Product Subline Property** Total Zip Premium Cancellation_In Loss Reserve Date Date Date Code Code **County Name** State Code **Amount Payments** 2020-01-2021-01-2020-02-01 FL 0.0 56 **BBOP BPOP BREVARD** 32955 110 0.0 01 01 2020-01-2021-01-FL 61 2020-07-22 **BBOP BPOP PINELLAS** 33704 731 0.0 0.0 01 01 2020-01-2021-01-83 2020-04-01 **BBOP** MAIN HILLSBOROUGH 33607 FL 180 0.0 0.0 01 01 2021-01-2020-01-102 2020-04-01 **BBOP** MAIN HILLSBOROUGH 33607 FL 155 0.0 0.0 01 01 2020-01-2021-01-117 2020-06-18 PALM BEACH FL 62 0.0 0.0 **BBOP BPOP** 33433 01 01 2023-03-2024-03-68234 2023-03-30 **BBOP** MAIN **PASCO** 34655 FL 0 0.0 0.0 30 30 2023-04-2024-04-68485 2023-05-09 **MBOP NRES MANATEE** 34205 FL 7260 0.0 0.0 01 01 2023-04-2024-04-68495 FL 2023-05-09 **MBOP** NRES **MANATEE** 34205 5766 0.0 0.0 01 01 2023-04-2024-04-**VOLUSIA** 68728 2023-04-07 **MBOP NRES** 32127 FL 0 0.0 0.0 07 07 2024-04-2023-04-68978 0.0 2023-05-09 **MBOP** NRES **BROWARD** 33063 FL 17502 0.0 13 13 4656 rows × 16 columns # Check for missing (NA) values in each column of the DataFrame df nan = pd.DataFrame(('Column' : df.columns, 'Percent': df.isnull().sum() / len(df)}) Column Percent **Effective Date Effective Date** 0.0 **Expiration Date Expiration Date** 0.0 **Cancellation Date** Cancellation Date 0.0 **Product Code Product Code** 0.0 **Subline Code** Subline Code 0.0 **Property County Name Property County Name** 0.0 **Property Zip Code** Property Zip Code 0.0 **Property State Property State** 0.0 **Written Premium Amount** Written Premium Amount 0.0 **Total Loss Payments Total Loss Payments** 0.0 **Total Reserve Total Reserve** 0.0 **Cancellation Indicator** Cancellation_Indicator 0.0 **Total Loss Amount Total Loss Amount** 0.0 Loss_Ratio Loss_Ratio 0.0 Cancel Date Difference Days Cancel Date Difference Days 0.0 Cancel Months Between **Cancel Months Between** 0.0 # Check the data types of each column in the DataFrame # This helps identify categorical columns that may need to be converted to dummy variables df.dtypes Out[52]: Effective Date datetime64[ns] Expiration Date datetime64[ns] Cancellation Date datetime64[ns] Product Code object Subline Code object Property County Name object Property Zip Code int64 Property State object Written Premium Amount int64 Total Loss Payments float64 Total Reserve float64 int32 Cancellation Indicator float64 Total Loss Amount float64 Loss Ratio Cancel Date Difference Days int64 Cancel Months Between float64 dtype: object # Drop the specified columns df = df.drop(columns=['Effective Date','Expiration Date','Cancellation_Indicator','Property # Display the updated DataFrame without the dropped columns df **Product Subline Written Premium Total Loss Total Loss Cancel Date Property Property** Total Loss_Ratio Code **Zip Code Amount Payments Amount Difference Days** Code State Reserve 56 **BBOP BPOP** 32955 FL 0.0 0.0 31 110 0.0 0.0 0.0 **BBOP BPOP** 33704 FI 0.0 203 61 731 0.0 0.0 FL 83 **BBOP** MAIN 33607 180 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 91 ВВОР 0.0 102 MAIN 33607 FL 155 0.0 0.0 0.0 91 117 **BBOP BPOP** 33433 FL 62 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 169 FL 0 68234 **BBOP** MAIN 34655 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 68485 **MBOP NRES** 34205 FL 7260 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 38 68495 **MBOP NRES** 34205 FL 5766 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 38 68728 **NRES** FL 0 0 **MBOP** 32127 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 68978 **MBOP NRES** 33063 FL 17502 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 26 4656 rows × 10 columns In [54]: float cols = ['Total Loss Payments', 'Total Reserve', 'Loss Ratio'] for col in float cols: df[col] = df[col].round(2)# Check the data types of each column in the DataFrame # This helps identify categorical columns that may need to be converted to dummy variables df.dtypes Out[55]: Product Code object Subline Code object Property Zip Code int64 Property State object Written Premium Amount int64 float64 Total Loss Payments Total Reserve float64 Total Loss Amount float64 Loss Ratio float64 Cancel Date Difference Days int64 dtype: object # Display the column names of the DataFrame df.columns Out[56]: Index(['Product Code', 'Subline Code', 'Property Zip Code', 'Property State', 'Written Premium Amount', 'Total Loss Payments', 'Total Reserve', 'Total Loss Amount', 'Loss Ratio', 'Cancel Date Difference Days'], dtype='object') # Convert categorical variables in the DataFrame into dummy variables # This is also known as one-hot encoding, which creates new binary columns for each unique value in the # categorical columns df = pd.get_dummies(df) # Display the updated DataFrame with the dummy variables Cancel **Property** Written **Total Total** Date **Product Product Total** Product Subline Zip Premium Loss Loss Loss_Ratio Difference Code_BBOP Code_HOA Code_MBOP ... Code_MAIN Code_! Reserve **Amount Payments** Code **Amount** Days 56 32955 110 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 1 0 0 ... 0 31 61 33704 731 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 203 0 83 33607 180 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 91 1 0 0 ... 1 102 33607 155 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 91 0 117 33433 62 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 169 1 0 0 ... 0 0.0 0.0 34655 0.0 68234 68485 34205 7260 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 38 0 0 0 1 ... 68495 34205 5766 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 38 0 0 0 68728 32127 0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0 0 0 1 ... 0 0 0 0 68978 33063 17502 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 26 1 4656 rows × 26 columns Milestone 3 # Creating a correlation matrix to identify realtionships. correlation = df.corr() correlation Cancel Written **Total Total Property Total Date Product** Sublin **Product Product Premium** Loss Loss_Ratio Loss Difference **Zip Code** Code_BBOP Code_HOA Code_MBOP Code_MAII Reserve Amount **Payments** Amount Days **Property Zip** 1.000000 0.074139 0.098505 0.001572 0.092821 0.070750 0.015964 0.096044 -0.039446 -0.083433 0.10822 Code Written 0.006498 0.04645 0.083219 0.001188 0.074139 1.000000 0.067000 0.063978 0.334575 -0.045161 0.029760 Premium Amount **Total Loss** 0.098505 0.067000 1.000000 0.032947 0.947720 0.739891 0.045489 0.007168 -0.006500 -0.003799 0.02660 **Payments** Total 0.032947 1.000000 0.350155 0.094586 0.001572 0.063978 0.015415 -0.005116 -0.002117 0.007252 -0.02177 Reserve **Total Loss** 0.092821 0.083219 0.947720 0.350155 1.000000 0.047554 0.005084 -0.006768 -0.001245 0.01798 0.723623 **Amount** 0.070750 0.006498 0.739891 1.000000 -0.001755 0.005923 0.02286 Loss_Ratio 0.094586 0.723623 0.015202 -0.005852 **Cancel Date Difference** 0.015964 0.047554 0.015202 -0.093494 0.06520 0.334575 0.045489 0.015415 1.000000 0.092356 -0.027607 Days Product 0.096044 -0.045161 0.007168 -0.005116 0.005084 -0.001755 0.092356 1.000000 -0.413884 -0.863137 0.36191 Code_BBOP **Product** -0.039446 0.001188 -0.006500 -0.005852 1.000000 -0.002117 -0.006768 -0.027607 -0.413884 -0.023554 -0.14979 Code_HOA **Product** 0.005923 -0.083433 0.029760 -0.003799 0.007252 -0.001245 -0.093494 -0.863137 -0.023554 1.000000 -0.31237 Code_MBOP **Product** -0.022001 0.071985 -0.003882 -0.001241 -0.006621 -0.013807 -0.004035 -0.003440 0.006516 -0.242614 -0.08780 Code_MHPK Subline 0.007925 -0.003729 -0.002662 0.026185 0.115669 -0.002462 0.006642 0.031724 -0.013130 -0.027382 -0.17413 Code_ARPT Subline -0.021172 0.036686 -0.003439 -0.001170 -0.003597 -0.003214 -0.003782 -0.228689 0.552544 -0.013015 ... -0.08276 Code_BLDG Subline -0.025582 -0.013120 -0.109936 -0.023853 -0.010105 -0.023007 -0.020461 0.136172 -0.056359 -0.117535 ... -0.74744 Code_BPOP Subline -0.002663 0.059694 0.001390 0.006857 -0.007650 -0.015953 -0.10145 0.198153 0.064568 -0.022842 0.018483 Code_COND Subline -0.011262 -0.009646 -0.142175 -0.031496 -0.003697 -0.011735 0.010624 0.047650 -0.019722 -0.041129 -0.26155 Code_ISO Subline 0.108228 0.017982 1.00000 0.046456 0.026606 -0.021776 0.022868 0.065202 0.361912 -0.149790 -0.312379 ${\bf Code_MAIN}$ Subline -0.083433 0.029760 -0.003799 0.007252 -0.001245 0.005923 -0.093494 -0.863137 -0.023554 1.000000 -0.31237 Code_NRES Subline -0.003242 0.006403 -0.228689 -0.006241 -0.013015 ... -0.08276 Code_NRMH Subline -0.033180 -0.023085 -0.005501 -0.001759 -0.005717 -0.004874 -0.030595 -0.343776 0.830608 -0.019564 ... -0.12441 Code_NSBG Subline -0.080732 0.001431 -0.002203 -0.004594 ... -0.02921 Code_ROMH **Property** $-0.768239 \quad -0.060944 \quad -0.079005 \quad 0.002158 \quad -0.073354 \quad -0.055513 \quad -0.022425$ -0.125174 0.051808 0.108042 ... 0.11398 State_FL Property 0.075192 0.030791 0.098949 -0.040953 -0.085407 ... 0.12963 State_LA **Property** -0.156840 -0.003955 -0.012471 -0.004076 -0.012989 -0.010746 0.004579 0.052526 -0.021740 -0.045337 ... -0.28831 State_NC Property -0.079103 -0.007251 -0.007415 -0.002371 -0.007706 -0.006570 0.009699 0.030553 -0.012646 -0.026372 ... -0.16770 State_SC Property 0.023903 -0.009893 -0.020632 ... -0.13120 State_TX 26 rows × 26 columns # Cancel Date correlation correlation['Cancel Date Difference Days'] Out[59]: Property Zip Code 0.015964 0.334575 Written Premium Amount Total Loss Payments 0.045489 Total Reserve 0.015415 Total Loss Amount 0.047554 Loss Ratio 0.015202 Cancel Date Difference Days 1.000000 Product Code BBOP 0.092356 -0.027607 Product Code HOA -0.093494 Product Code MBOP Product Code MHPK 0.006516 Subline Code ARPT -0.002662 Subline Code BLDG -0.003782 Subline Code BPOP -0.020461 Subline Code COND -0.022842 Subline Code ISO 0.010624 Subline Code MAIN 0.065202 -0.093494 Subline Code NRES Subline Code NRMH 0.006403 Subline Code NSBG -0.030595 Subline Code ROMH 0.001431 Property State FL -0.022425 Property State LA 0.030791 Property State NC 0.004579 Property State_SC 0.009699 Property State_TX -0.038309 Name: Cancel Date Difference Days, dtype: float64

In [79]:	<pre>correlation = pd.Series({ 'Property Zip Code': 0.015964, 'Written Premium Amount': 0.334575, 'Total Loss Payments': 0.045489, 'Total Reserve': 0.015415, 'Total Loss Amount': 0.047554, 'Loss Ratio': 0.015202, 'Product Code BBOP': 0.092356, 'Product Code BBOP': 0.092366, 'Product Code MBCP': -0.027607, 'Product Code MBCP': -0.093494, 'Product Code MBCP': -0.093494, 'Subline Code BPOP': -0.202461, 'Subline Code COND': -0.202461, 'Subline Code DEPOP': -0.022442, 'Subline Code NRES': -0.032494, 'Subline Code NRES': -0.093494, 'Subline Code NRES': -0.093494, 'Subline Code NRES': -0.030595, 'Property State_FL': -0.022425, 'Property State_LA': 0.030791, 'Property State_LA': 0.030791, 'Property State_LA': 0.004579, 'Property State_C': 0.009699, 'Property State_SC': 0.009699, 'Property State_SC': -0.033809 }) # Creating the bar graph plt.figure(figsize=(14, 10)) bars = plt.bar(correlation.index, correlation.values) plt.xlabel('Variables') plt.ylabel('Correlation') plt.title('Cancel Date Correlation')</pre>
	<pre># Adjusting the x-axis labels for proper alignment plt.xticks(rotation=45, ha='right') # Function to add labels to the bars def autolabel(rects): for rect in rects: height = rect.get_height() plt.annotate(f'(height:.3f)', xy=(rect.get_x() + rect.get_width() / 2, height),</pre>
	0.016 0.015 0.015 0.007 0.011 0.006 0.005 0.010 0.008
In [61]:	'Cancel Date Difference Days' has the highest positive correlation (0.335) with the 'Written Premium Amount'. This indicates that as the 'Written Premium Amount' increases, the length of time until a policy is cancelled also tends to increase. 'Total Loss Amount' and 'Product Code_BBOP' also show weak positive correlations of 0.048 and 0.092, respectively. Conversely, there are factors like 'Product Code_HOA' and 'Product Code_MBOP' that exhibit weak negative correlations of -0.028 and -0.093, respectively. The correlations for various 'Subline Codes' and 'Property States' range from very weak negative to weak positive, indicating a lack of strong linear relationships between these factors and 'Cancel Date Difference Days'. # Drop the specified columns as they are not needed
In [62]: In [63]:	<pre>X = df.drop('Cancel Date Difference Days', axis=1) # Features y = df['Cancel Date Difference Days'] # Target variable</pre>
In [64]: Out[64]: In [65]:	<pre># Initialize the model model = LinearRegression() # Train the model model.fit(X_train, y_train) * LinearRegression LinearRegression()</pre>
In [66]: In [67]:	r2_train = r2_score(y_train, y_predict_train) rmse_train = np.sqrt(mean_squared_error(y_train, y_predict_train)) mae_train = mean_absolute_error(y_train, y_predict_train)) print('Training data R2, RMSE, MAE:') print(f'R2: {r2_train}') print(f'RMSE: {rmse_train}') print(f'MAE: {mae_train}') Training data R2, RMSE, MAE: R2: 0.1281354607918873 RMSE: 100.80555402420825 MAE: 84.30556881731694
In [68]:	<pre>rmse_test = np.sqrt(mean_squared_error(y_test, y_predict_test)) mae_test = mean_absolute_error(y_test, y_predict_test) print('Test data R2, RMSE, MAE:') print(f'R2: {r2_test}') print(f'RMSE: {mse_test}') print(f'MAE: (mae_test)') Test data R2, RMSE, MAE: R2: 0.04869079546943145 RMSE: 104.2255587997634 MAE: 84.33839530373024 Based on these metrics, it appears that the linear regression model may not be capturing the underlying patterns in the data very well. The R2 values are relatively low, indicating that the model explains only a small portion of the variance in the target variable. Additionally, the RMSE and MAE values are relatively high, suggesting that the model's predictions have a significant average error. Expirimenting with other models to get best fit. from sklearn.tree import DecisionTreeRegressor # Initialize the model model = DecisionTreeRegressor(random_state=42) # Train the model model.fit(X_train, y_train)</pre>
	<pre># Predicting the training dataset y_predict_train = model.predict(X_train) # Calculate R2, RMSE, and MAE for the training set r2_train = r2_score(y_train, y_predict_train) rmse_train = np.sqrt(mean_squared error(y_train, y_predict_train)) mae_train = mean_absolute_error(y_train, y_predict_train) # Print training set performance metrics print('Training data R2, RMSE, MAE:') print(f'R3: (r2_train)') print(f'RMSE: (rmse_train)') print(f'MAE: (mae_train)') # Predicting the test dataset y_predict_test = model.predict(X_test) # Calculate R2, RMSE, and MAE for the test set r2_test = r2_score(y_test, y_predict_test) rmse_test = np.sqrt(mean_squared_error(y_test, y_predict_test)) mae_test = mean_absolute_error(y_test, y_predict_test) # Print test set performance metrics print('Test data R2, RMSE, MAE:') print(f'R3: (r2_test)') print(f'RNSE: (rmse_test)')</pre>
In [69]:	Training data R2, RMSE, MAE: R2: 0.9997617960517985 RMSE: 1.666228012450182 MAE: 0.06015037593984962 Test data R2, RMSE, MAE: R2: -0.05207293066791996 RMSE: 109.60649597185136 MAE: 78.2043991416309 The high R2 value (close to 1) and low RMSE and MAE values for the training data indicate that the decision tree model fits the training data very well. However, the negative R2 value and relatively high RMSE and MAE values for the test data suggest that the model does not generalize well to unseen data. This indicates potential overfitting, where the model has learned the training data too well and does not perform well on new data. Adjusting hyperparameters in the decision tree model.
	<pre># Initialize the model model = DecisionTreeRegressor(random_state=42) # Perform grid search grid_search = GridSearchCV(estimator=model, param_grid=param_grid, scoring='neg_mean_squared_error', cv=5) grid_search.fit(X_train, y_train) # Get the best hyperparameters best_params = grid_search.best_params_ print('Best Hyperparameters:', best_params) # Initialize a new model with the best hyperparameters best_model = DecisionTreeRegressor(random_state=42, **best_params) # Train the best model best_model.fit(X_train, y_train) # Predict on the test data using the best model y_pred_test = best_model.predict(X_test) # Evaluate the best model's performance r2_best = r2_score(y_test, y_pred_test) rmse_best = np.sqrt(mean_squared_error(y_test, y_pred_test))</pre>
In [70]:	<pre>mae_best = mean_absolute_error(y_test, y_pred_test) print('Best Model Performance:') print(f'R2: {r2_best}') print(f'RMSE: {rmse_best}') Best Hyperparameters: {'max_depth': 5, 'min_samples_leaf': 4, 'min_samples_split': 2} Best Model Performance: R2: 0.46985001203827836 RMSE: 77.80593904371312 MAE: 58.124266892072825 These metrics indicate that the model with tuned hyperparameters performs better than the previous model. The R2 score of 0.47 suggests that the model explains 47% of the variance in the target variable. The lower values of RMSE and MAE indicate smaller prediction errors. from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestRegressor # Initialize the model model = RandomForestRegressor(random_state=42) # Train the model model.fit(X_train, y_train)</pre>
	<pre># Predicting the training dataset y_predict_train = model.predict(X_train) # Calculating R2, RMSE, and MAE for the training set r2_train = r2_score(y_train, y_predict_train) rmse_train = np.sqrt(mean_squared_error(y_train, y_predict_train)) mae_train = mean_absolute_error(y_train, y_predict_train)) print('Training data R2, RMSE, MAE:') print(f'R2: {r2_train}') print(f'RMSE: {rmse_train}') print(f'MAE: {mae_train}') # Predicting the test dataset y_predict_test = model.predict(X_test) # Calculating R2, RMSE, and MAE for the test set r2_test = r2_score(y_test, y_predict_test) rmse_test = np.sqrt(mean_squared_error(y_test, y_predict_test)) mae_test = mean_absolute_error(y_test, y_predict_test) print('Test_data R2, RMSE, MAE:') print(f'R2: {r2_test}') print(f'RMSE: {rmse_test}')</pre>
In [71]:	<pre>print(f'MAE: {mae_test}') Training data R2, RMSE, MAE: R2: 0.9192363650297426 RMSE: 30.680884965184404 MAE: 22.669336053910287 Test data R2, RMSE, MAE: R2: 0.41774800894869635 RMSE: 81.53965354055921 MAE: 59.885281677907216 Overall, the Random Forest model shows good performance on the training data, but there is some drop in performance on the test data. This may indicate a degree of overfitting, where the model is capturing the training data patterns too closely and not generalizing well to new data. Further optimization or tuning of the model parameters could potentially improve its performance on the test data. Adjusting hyperparameters in the random forest model. # Define the parameter grid for hyperparameter tuning param_grid = { 'n_estimators': [100, 200, 300], 'max_depth': [3, 5, 7], 'min_samples_split': [2, 4, 6],</pre>
	<pre>'min_samples_leaf': [1, 2, 4] } # Initialize the random forest regressor model = RandomForestRegressor(random_state=42) # Create the GridSearchCV object grid_search = GridSearchCV (model, param_grid, cv=5) # Fit the GridSearchCV object to the training data grid_search.fit(X_train, y_train) # Get the best hyperparameters and best model best_params = grid_search.best_params_ best_model = grid_search.best_estimator_ # Print the best hyperparameters print("Best Hyperparameters:", best_params) # Evaluate the best model on the training data y_train_pred = best_model.predict(X_train) r2_train = r2_score(y_train, y_train_pred) rmse_train = mean_absolute_error(y_train, y_train_pred)) mae_train = mean_absolute_error(y_train, y_train_pred) print("Best Model Performance (Training data:")</pre>
	<pre>print(f"R2: (r2_train)") print(f"RMSE: {rmse_train}") print(f"MAE: (mae_train)") # Evaluate the best model on the test data y_test_pred = best_model.predict(X_test) r2_test = r2_score(y_test, y_test_pred) rmse_test = np.sqrt(mean_squared_error(y_test, y_test_pred)) mae_test = mean_absolute_error(y_test, y_test_pred) print("Best Model Performance (Test data):") print(f"R2: (r2_test)") print(f"RMSE: {rmse_test}") Best Hyperparameters: {'max_depth': 5, 'min_samples_leaf': 4, 'min_samples_split': 2, 'n_estimators': 300} Best Model Performance (Training data): R2: 0.5131996887112908 RMSE: 75.3247118231391 Best Model Performance (Test data): R2: 0.47818243711817887 RMSE: 77.19207524240134 NAE: 57.37015112400198 The results indicate improved performance compared to the previous iterations.</pre>
	The best Random Forest model with tuned hyperparameters achieved an R2 score of 0.48 on the test data, indicating that it explains 48% of the variance in the target variable. The RMSE value of 77.19 and MAE value of 57.37 suggest relatively small prediction errors. This model outperformed the previous iterations and shows promise in predicting the "Cancel Date Difference Days" based on the given features. Incorporating new features can help improve the prediction of cancellation patterns. I might consider adding more customer related demographics, customer behaviors (payment history), and cancellation reasons to correlate and perform textual analysis.