

Statistical Mechanics

Jonas Wortmann*

Rheinische Friedrich–Wilhelms–Universität Bonn

16. September 2025

Abstract

This work is a short summary on the derivation of the methods of statistical mechanics as well as its application to various systems whether they be discrete or continuous. The focus is on ensemble theory.

Contents

1 Ensemble Theory	1
2 Probability Distribution and Partition Sum	2
2.1 Derivation	2
2.2 Microcanonical Ensemble	3
2.3 Canonical Ensemble	3
2.3.1 Example: Dipoles in a Magnetic Field	4
2.3.2 Example: Harmonic Oscillator	5
2.4 Grand Canonical Ensemble	5
3 Occupation Number	6
3.1 Derivation	6
3.2 Fermi-Dirac Statistics	7
3.3 Bose-Einstein Statistics	7

1 Ensemble Theory

Imagine one would like to study the microscopic behaviour of a many particle system. It is impossible to solve the equations of motions for every particle analytically, thus one has to rely on probability theory and statistical mechanics. For a system one can therefore measure macroscopic quantities e.g. pressure, volume or temperature. A set of these quantities with certain values makes out the macrostate of the system. On the microscopic scale (i.e. positions, momenta) however, it is possible to realize this macrostate in many ways. A state which realizes a certain macrostate is called a microstate ($=: \mathbf{m}$). There is

often more than one microstate to correspond to / realize a certain macrostate. For a many particle system made up of N particles, a microstate is a single point in a $6N$ dimensional phase space with $3N$ positions and $3N$ momenta. The time evolution is a single curve through this phase space, thus a series of different microstates (which each have to realize the same macrostate). One can imagine a gas inside a box: The positions of all gas particles will change over time however the volume will always stay the same.

To study the macrostate from a microstate one can take a time average average of all microstates which have been on the trajectory.

*s02jwort@uni-bonn.de

Instead one could also make use of the ergodic theorem and average a multitude of identically prepared system to achieve the same average. The set of all identically prepared systems are then called an ensemble. These systems are, in general, only imaginary copies of a single system and they are considered in the calculation as possible microstates for a system (i.e. they don't have to be considered as a separate system for which there are necessary calculation to do). The use of this theory is not apparent when one considers a precisely known macroscopic system i.e. all macrostates are fixed. However, if one only fixes a certain amount of macrostates and leaves e.g. the energy to be arbitrary, then the restriction on the phase space trajectory is lifted in that dimension and the microstates allow for an arbitrary range of energies. Then one can utilise the methods of the theory to calculate the average energy of such a system by summing over all energies (which are realized in a certain microstate) and weighing them with a probability function.

2 Probability Distribution and Partition Sum

2.1 Derivation

Imagine a certain set of available data or information about a probability distribution: To derive the underlying distribution function, the best model is the one, which only assumes the least amount of knowledge about it. Thus, to find a suitable probability distribution which models the microstates for a given macrostate one maximizes the entropy (principle of maximal entropy), since the entropy encodes the lack of information on a system (or, in this case, is proportional to the amount of available microstates).

The entropy is given by

$$S = -k_B \sum_i p_i \ln p_i \equiv -k_B \text{tr}(\rho \ln \rho), \quad (2.1)$$

with p_i being the probability that the i^{th} microstate is realized and ρ being the density matrix. The only constraints are that the probability distribution may be normalized and that it is used to calculate the average value of any observable A^l

$$f_0 = \sum_i p_i - 1 = 0 \quad f_l = \sum_i p_i A_i^l - \langle A_i^l \rangle = 0, \quad (2.2)$$

while the sum of f_l ranges over all i microstates with their corresponding value of A_i^l . The Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L} = S - k_B \lambda_0 f_0 - k_B \sum_l \lambda_l f_l \quad (2.3)$$

$$= -k_B \sum_i p_i \ln p_i - k_B \lambda_0 \left(\sum_i p_i - 1 \right) - k_B \sum_l \lambda_l \left(\sum_i p_i A_i^l - \langle A_i^l \rangle \right). \quad (2.4)$$

Now taking the variation w.r.t. to all p_i equal to zero

$$\delta_{p_i} \mathcal{L} = k_B \left(- \sum_i \ln p_i - \sum_i 1 - \lambda_0 \sum_i 1 - \sum_l \lambda_l \sum_i A_i^l \right) \delta p_i = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

Exchanging \sum_l and \sum_i in the last term and taking \sum_i out of the parentheses yields

$$- \ln p_i - 1 - \lambda_0 - \sum_l \lambda_l A_i^l = 0, \quad (2.6)$$

because $\delta_{p_i} \mathcal{L} = 0 \forall \delta p_i$ independently.

$$p_i = e^{-(1+\lambda_0) - \sum_l \lambda_l A_i^l} = e^{-(1+\lambda_0)} e^{-\sum_l \lambda_l A_i^l}. \quad (2.7)$$

The normalisation multiplier yields

$$\sum_i p_i = \sum_i e^{-(1+\lambda_0)} e^{-\sum_l \lambda_l A_i^l} \quad (2.8)$$

$$= e^{-(1+\lambda_0)} \sum_i e^{-\sum_l \lambda_l A_i^l} \quad (2.9)$$

$$= 1 \quad (2.10)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow e^{-(1+\lambda_0)} = \frac{1}{\sum_i e^{-\sum_l \lambda_l A_i^l}} =: \frac{1}{Z}. \quad (2.11)$$

Thereby

$$p_i = \frac{e^{-\sum_l \lambda_l A_i^l}}{\sum_i e^{-\sum_l \lambda_l A_i^l}} =: \frac{e^{-\sum_l \lambda_l A_i^l}}{Z}. \quad (2.12)$$

The other Lagrange multiplier yields

$$\sum_i p_i A_i^l = \sum_i \frac{e^{-\sum_l \lambda_l A_i^l}}{\sum_i e^{-\sum_l \lambda_l A_i^l}} A_i^l \quad (2.13)$$

$$= \frac{1}{Z} \sum_i e^{-\sum_l \lambda_l A_i^l} A_i^l \quad (2.14)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{Z} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_l} \sum_i e^{-\sum_l \lambda_l A_i^l} \quad (2.15)$$

$$= -\frac{\partial \ln Z}{\partial \lambda_l} = \langle A^l \rangle. \quad (2.16)$$

The relation $-\frac{\partial \ln Z}{\partial \lambda_l} = \langle A^l \rangle$ is used to determine the Lagrange multiplier. It is also useful to know

$$\frac{\partial^2 \ln Z}{\partial (\lambda_l)^2} = \langle A^l \rangle^2 - \langle A^{l^2} \rangle \quad (2.17)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \ln Z}{\partial \lambda_k \partial \lambda_l} = \langle A^l A^k \rangle - \langle A^l \rangle \langle A^k \rangle. \quad (2.18)$$

Recall the general formula for entropy

$$S = -k_B \sum_i p_i \ln p_i \quad (2.19)$$

$$= -k_B \langle \ln p_i \rangle \quad (2.20)$$

$$= k_B \langle \ln Z \rangle - k_B \left\langle \ln e^{-\sum_l \lambda_l A_i^l} \right\rangle \quad (2.21)$$

$$= k_B \ln Z + k_B \left\langle \sum_l \lambda_l A_i^l \right\rangle \quad (2.22)$$

$$= k_B \ln Z + k_B \sum_l \lambda_l \langle A_i^l \rangle \quad (2.23)$$

$$S = k_B \ln Z - k_B \sum_l \lambda_l \frac{\partial \ln Z}{\partial \lambda_l}, \quad (2.24)$$

with $\langle A_i^l \rangle = \langle A^l \rangle$ since !TODO!.

Consider the derivative of S w.r.t. $\langle A^l \rangle$ and choose $A = E$ with $\lambda = \beta$ as the energy

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial \langle E \rangle} = k_B \beta = \frac{1}{T} \Leftrightarrow \boxed{\beta = \frac{1}{k_B T}} \quad (2.25)$$

by comparison with the thermodynamic potential of the entropy and its derivatives¹. If one were to set $A = N$ and $\lambda = -\alpha$ as the particle number

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial \langle N \rangle} = -k_B \alpha = -\frac{\mu}{T} \Leftrightarrow \boxed{\alpha = \frac{\mu}{k_B T}}. \quad (2.26)$$

2.2 Microcanonical Ensemble

The ensemble is specified to have a fixed pressure, volume, energy and particle number. Because all observables are fixed, only the normalisation constraint $\sum_i p_i - 1 = 0$ has to be fulfilled. Thus

$$p_i = \frac{1}{Z} = \frac{1}{\Omega}, \quad (2.27)$$

with $\Omega = \sum_{\mathbf{m}} 1$ the amount of available microstates or the dimension of the Hilbert space. The entropy is

$$S = -k_B \sum_i p_i \ln p_i = -k_B \langle \ln p_i \rangle = k_B \ln \Omega, \quad (2.28)$$

which is also a suitable thermodynamic potential.

2.3 Canonical Ensemble

The ensemble is specified to have a fixed pressure, volume, temperature and particle number resulting in an unknown energy value, whose mean has to be calculated. The pro-

¹The assumption $U = \langle E \rangle$ is phenomenological and not rigorous; another way to show this would be by calculating the total differential dS .

bability that a certain microstate \mathbf{m} realizes a macrostate whose energy is $E_{\mathbf{m}}$ is given by

$$p_{\mathbf{m}} = \frac{e^{-\beta E_{\mathbf{m}}}}{\sum_{\mathbf{m}} e^{-\beta E_{\mathbf{m}}}} \quad \langle E \rangle = \sum_i p_i E_i, \quad (2.29)$$

which in turn results in the average energy value $\langle E \rangle$. The distribution is normalized by the partition function (the sum can also the form of an integral in the classical continuous sense)

$$Z = \sum_{\mathbf{m}} e^{-\beta E_{\mathbf{m}}} \quad (2.30)$$

which accounts for all possible values the energy E can take, i.e. all possible microstates which have to realize the macrostate (P, V, T) but are free to take whatever value in E .

The entropy is given by

$$S = -k_B \sum_i p_i \ln p_i \quad (2.31)$$

$$= -k_B \sum_i p_i (-\beta E_i - \ln Z) \quad (2.32)$$

$$= k_B \beta \langle E \rangle + k_B \ln Z \quad (2.33)$$

$$= \frac{U}{T} + k_B \ln Z. \quad (2.34)$$

To calculate thermodynamic properties the suitable potential is the free energy

$$F = U - TS \Leftrightarrow S = \frac{U}{T} - \frac{F}{T}. \quad (2.35)$$

By using (2.34)

$$\boxed{F = -k_B T \ln Z} \quad (2.36)$$

and with

$$dF = -S dT - p dV + \mu dN, \quad (2.37)$$

thermodynamic properties can be calculated from the microscopic partition sum.

2.3.1 Example: Dipoles in a Magnetic Field

As an example consider a system of N dipoles (non-interacting, localized, freely orientable)

in a magnetic field.

$$Z = \sum_{\mathbf{m}} e^{-\beta E_{\mathbf{m}}} \quad (2.38)$$

$$= \sum_{\{\theta\}} e^{\sum_i h \cos \theta_i} \quad (2.39)$$

$$= \sum_{\{\theta\}} \prod_i e^{h \cos \theta_i} \quad (2.40)$$

$$= \sum_{\theta_1} \sum_{\theta_2} \dots \sum_{\theta_N} e^{h \cos \theta_1} e^{h \cos \theta_2} \dots e^{h \cos \theta_N} \quad (2.41)$$

$$= \sum_{\theta_1} e^{h \cos \theta_1} \sum_{\theta_2} e^{h \cos \theta_2} \dots \sum_{\theta_N} e^{h \cos \theta_N} \quad (2.42)$$

$$= \prod_i \sum_{\theta_i} e^{h \cos \theta_i} \quad (2.43)$$

$$= \left(\sum_{\theta} e^{h \cos \theta} \right)^N \quad (2.44)$$

$$= (Z_1)^N. \quad (2.45)$$

The factorization of Z in (2.42) is only possible because the dipoles are non-interacting; there are no θ_{ij} factors which would make it impossible to split the product that way. A microstate \mathbf{m} of this system is able to realize a certain macrostate (P, V, T) with arbitrary energy $E_{\mathbf{m}}$. The energy of the system or that a microstate \mathbf{m} can take is $E_{\mathbf{m}} = \sum_i^N \varepsilon_i = h \sum_i \cos \theta_i$ the sum of all individual dipole energies, which is a proportionality factor times the cosine of the angle relative to the magnetic field (thus the degree of freedom is the angle relative to the applied field). Since system consists of N dipoles and it is possible for every dipole to have every possible rotation for every possible rotation for every $N - 1$ other dipole, the available microstates are

$$\sum_{\mathbf{m}} = \sum_{\theta_1} \sum_{\theta_2} \dots \sum_{\theta_N}, \quad (2.46)$$

with \sum_{θ_i} summing over all possible values for the angle of the i^{th} dipole. This is also written as $\sum_{\mathbf{m}} = \sum_{\{\theta\}}$ (or sometimes as $= \sum_{\theta}$ which

might be misleading in some cases). To analyse further one can treat this in the classical sense and have the values of θ be continuous (if they were discrete one would leave Z_1 as it is)

$$Z_1 = \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \int_0^\pi d\theta e^{h \cos \theta} = 4\pi \frac{\sinh h}{h}. \quad (2.47)$$

The ϕ angle lies in the plane perpendicular to the magnetic field and has to be accounted for since the dipole does not need to lie in a plane.

If one were to use the energies ε_i as a label instead the sum would look like

$$\sum_{\mathbf{m}} = \sum_{\varepsilon_1} \sum_{\varepsilon_2} \dots \sum_{\varepsilon_N}, \quad (2.48)$$

with $E_{\mathbf{m}} = \sum_i^N \varepsilon_i$ as above.

2.3.2 Example: Harmonic Oscillator

This could also be used for a non-interacting set of harmonic oscillators in which the label for the energy would be n the harmonic quantum number

$$\sum_{\mathbf{m}} = \sum_{n_1} \sum_{n_2} \dots \sum_{n_N}, \quad (2.49)$$

with $E_{\mathbf{m}} = \sum_i^N \hbar\omega (n_i + \frac{1}{2})$. The total partition function is given by

$$Z = \sum_{\mathbf{m}} e^{-\beta E_{\mathbf{m}}} \quad (2.50)$$

$$= \sum_{\{n\}} e^{-\beta \sum_i^N \hbar\omega (n_i + \frac{1}{2})}. \quad (2.51)$$

Since they are all non-interacting the partition function factorizes

$$Z = Z_1^N \quad (2.52)$$

$$= \left(\sum_{n_i} e^{-\beta \hbar\omega (n_i + \frac{1}{2})} \right)^N \quad (2.53)$$

$$= e^{-\hbar\omega \frac{N}{2}} \left(\sum_{n_i} e^{-\beta \hbar\omega n_i} \right)^N \quad (2.54)$$

$$= e^{-\hbar\omega \frac{N}{2}} \left(\sum_{n_i} (e^{-\beta \hbar\omega})^{n_i} \right)^N. \quad (2.55)$$

Now

$$e^{-\beta \hbar\omega} = \frac{1}{e^{\beta \hbar\omega}} < 1 \Leftrightarrow \ln(1) = 0 < \beta \hbar\omega \quad (2.56)$$

which is always the case. Then the series converges

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\hbar\omega \frac{N}{2}} \left(\sum_{n_i} (e^{-\beta \hbar\omega})^{n_i} \right)^N \\ = e^{-\hbar\omega \frac{N}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{1 - e^{-\beta \hbar\omega}} \right)^N. \end{aligned} \quad (2.57)$$

Also

$$\frac{1}{1 - e^{-x}} = \frac{1}{e^{-x/2} (e^{x/2} - e^{-x/2})} = \frac{e^{x/2}}{e^{x/2} - e^{-x/2}} \quad (2.58)$$

$$= \frac{e^{x/2}}{2 \sinh x}. \quad (2.59)$$

Thereby

$$Z = e^{-\hbar\omega \frac{N}{2}} \left(\frac{e^{\hbar\omega/2}}{\sinh(\beta \hbar\omega)} \right)^N \quad (2.60)$$

$$= \sinh(\beta \hbar\omega)^{-N} = \frac{1}{\sinh(\beta \hbar\omega)^N}. \quad (2.61)$$

2.4 Grand Canonical Ensemble

The ensemble is specified to have a fixed pressure, volume and temperature resulting in an unknown energy and particle number. It is simply the canonical ensemble but with varying / unspecified particle number. The probability that a certain microstate \mathbf{m} realizes a macrostate whose particle number is N and energy $E_{\mathbf{m}(N)}$ (the energy or energy microstates depend on the particle number, which is indicated by $\mathbf{m}(N)$) is then given by

$$p_{\mathbf{m}} = \frac{e^{-\beta E_{\mathbf{m}(N)} + \alpha N}}{\sum_{\mathbf{m}} e^{-\beta E_{\mathbf{m}(N)} + \alpha N}}, \quad (2.62)$$

with

$$\mathcal{Z} = \sum_{\mathbf{m}} e^{-\beta E_{\mathbf{m}(N)} + \alpha N} \quad (2.63)$$

$$= \sum_N e^{\alpha N} \sum_{\mathbf{m}(N)} e^{-\beta E_{\mathbf{m}(N)}} \quad (2.64)$$

$$= \sum_N e^{\alpha N} Z_N \quad (2.65)$$

and Z_N the canonical partition sum for a given particle number N . The sum over all microstates has to be split into two sums $\sum_{\mathbf{m}} = \sum_N \sum_{\mathbf{m}(N)}$.

The entropie is given by

$$S = -k_B \sum_i p_i \ln p_i \quad (2.66)$$

$$= -k_B \sum_i p_i (-\beta E_i + \alpha N_i - \ln \mathcal{Z}) \quad (2.67)$$

$$= k_B \beta \langle E \rangle - k_B \alpha \langle N \rangle + k_B \ln \mathcal{Z} \quad (2.68)$$

$$= \frac{U}{T} + \frac{\mu}{T} N + k_B \ln \mathcal{Z}. \quad (2.69)$$

To calculate thermodynamic properties the suitable potential is the grand canonical potential

$$\Phi = U - TS - \mu N \Leftrightarrow S = \frac{U}{T} - \frac{\mu}{T} N - \frac{\Phi}{T}. \quad (2.70)$$

By using (2.69)

$$\boxed{\Phi = -k_B T \ln \mathcal{Z}} \quad (2.71)$$

and with

$$d\Phi = -S dT - p dV - N d\mu \quad (2.72)$$

thermodynamic properties can be calculated from the microscopic partition sum.

3 Occupation Number

3.1 Derivation

It is not always wise or even correct to take identical and non-localized constituents of a system (e.g. particles in a gas) as distinguishable and let the change of their respective positions count as a separate microstate (see for example the Gibbs paradox). To calculate the correct value for the probability distribution and the partition sum of indistinguishable particles one has to use the theory of occupation numbers.

Consider a quantum gas made up of such indistinguishable particles on different energy levels. These particles will either be Fermions or Bosons. The levels / energies are labeled as $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3, \dots$. The information the occupation number n_1, n_2, n_3, \dots tells is "How many particles n_i are on the energy level ε_i ?". As a result, when two particles swap their energies (i.e. particle A goes from ε_A to ε_B and particle B goes from ε_B to ε_A) it will not be counted as a separate state because the only indicator for a distinguished state is the occupation number, which will not have changed. For Fermions $n_i \in [0, 1]$ and for Bosons $n_i \in [0, \infty)$ due to the Pauli principle.

The occupation number is useful in the grand canonical ensemble since the particle number is not fixed. This makes the calculation easier to do because every particle does not need to be counted individually but only the number of particles per energy level.² The partition sum in general is

$$\mathcal{Z} = \sum_{\mathbf{m}} e^{-\beta E_{\mathbf{m}(N)} + \alpha N}. \quad (3.1)$$

Now the energy is not the particle energy sum but rather

$$E_{\mathbf{m}}(N) = \sum_i \varepsilon_i n_i \quad (3.2)$$

²Occupation numbers can also be used in a canonical system, but the constraint $N = \text{const}$ will make the sum over the occupation numbers dependent on each other such that there is no overcounting. This makes executing the sum difficult (but not impossible).

the sum over all energy levels (denoted by ε_i) and their respective occupation number (denoted by n_i) which is the amount of particles on that energy level. The total number of particles within the system is therefore

$$N = \sum_i n_i. \quad (3.3)$$

The sum over all microstates is not the sum over all states of each individual particle (as e.g. a sum over all quantum numbers a single particle can have, or all available angles to a single dipole) but rather the sum over all values of the occupation numbers.

$$\mathcal{Z} = \sum_{\{n\}} e^{\sum_i (-\beta\varepsilon_i n_i + \alpha n_i)} \quad (3.4)$$

$$= \sum_{\{n\}} e^{\sum_i (\alpha - \beta\varepsilon_i) n_i} \quad (3.5)$$

$$= \sum_{\{n\}} \prod_i e^{(\alpha - \beta\varepsilon_i) n_i} \quad (3.6)$$

$$= \sum_{n_1} \sum_{n_2} \dots e^{(\alpha - \beta\varepsilon_1) n_1} e^{(\alpha - \beta\varepsilon_2) n_2} \dots \quad (3.7)$$

$$= \sum_{n_1} e^{(\alpha - \beta\varepsilon_1) n_1} \sum_{n_2} e^{(\alpha - \beta\varepsilon_2) n_2} \dots \quad (3.8)$$

$$= \prod_i \sum_{n_i} e^{(\alpha - \beta\varepsilon_i) n_i} \quad (3.9)$$

$$= \prod_i \mathcal{Z}_i, \quad (3.10)$$

with \mathcal{Z}_i the i^{th} energy level partition sum. One can now ask the question "What is the mean occupation number in a system of Fermions/Bosons?".

3.2 Fermi-Dirac Statistics

For Fermions $n_i \in [0, 1]$ thus

$$\mathcal{Z} = \prod_i (1 + e^{\alpha - \beta\varepsilon_i}). \quad (3.11)$$

The average occupation number for a single energy level i is calculated via !TODO! DIS-

CREPANCY BETWEEN AVERAGE VALUE CALCULATION SUMMATION INDICES

$$\langle n_i \rangle = \sum_{\{n\}} p_i n_i \quad (3.12)$$

$$= \sum_{\{n\}} \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} e^{(\alpha - \beta\varepsilon_i) n_i} n_i \quad (3.13)$$

$$= \sum_{\{n\}} \frac{e^{(\alpha - \beta\varepsilon_i) n_i} n_i}{\sum_{\{n\}} e^{(\alpha - \beta\varepsilon_i) n_i}} \quad (3.14)$$

all sums $\sum_{n_j} \dots \sum_{n_k}$ that are not about n_i will cancel with the partition function

$$\langle n_i \rangle = \frac{\sum_{n_i} e^{(\alpha - \beta\varepsilon_i) n_i} n_i}{\sum_{n_i} e^{(\alpha - \beta\varepsilon_i) n_i}} \quad (3.15)$$

$$= \frac{0 + e^{\alpha - \beta\varepsilon_i}}{1 + e^{\alpha - \beta\varepsilon_i}} \quad (3.16)$$

$$= \boxed{\frac{1}{e^{-(\alpha - \beta\varepsilon_i)} + 1}} =: f(\varepsilon_i). \quad (3.17)$$

This is the Fermi distribution. It holds not only for the single energy level i but more generally for the whole system.

3.3 Bose-Einstein Statistics

For Bosons $n_i \in [0, \infty)$ thus

$$\mathcal{Z} = \prod_i \sum_{n_i} e^{(\alpha - \beta\varepsilon_i) n_i}. \quad (3.18)$$

Consider

$$e^{\alpha - \beta\varepsilon_i} < 1 \quad (3.19)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \alpha - \beta\varepsilon_i < 0 \quad (3.20)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \mu < \varepsilon_i \quad (3.21)$$

which is always the case for Bosons. The physical interpretation is that a system of Bosons has no restrictions in which state a new Boson can go and thus will in general increase its energy with the additions ($\mu < 0$) or at most nothing will happen ($\mu = 0$)³. If this mathematical constraint holds then the sum

³This is the case for photons.

will converge

$$\mathcal{Z} = \prod_i \frac{1}{1 - e^{\alpha - \beta \varepsilon_i}} = \prod_i \mathcal{Z}_i. \quad (3.22)$$

The average occupation number for a single energy level i is calculated via !TODO!
SEE ABOVE

$$\langle n_i \rangle = \sum_{\{n\}} p_i n_i \quad (3.23)$$

$$= \sum_{\{n\}} \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} e^{(\alpha - \beta \varepsilon_i) n_i} n_i \quad (3.24)$$

$$= \sum_{\{n\}} \frac{e^{(\alpha - \beta \varepsilon_i) n_i} n_i}{\sum_{\{n\}} e^{(\alpha - \beta \varepsilon_i) n_i}} \quad (3.25)$$

all sums $\sum_{n_j} \dots \sum_{n_k}$ that are not about n_i will cancel with the partition function

$$\langle n_i \rangle = \frac{\sum_{n_i} e^{(\alpha - \beta \varepsilon_i) n_i} n_i}{\sum_{n_i} e^{(\alpha - \beta \varepsilon_i) n_i}} \quad (3.26)$$

$$= \frac{\partial \ln (\sum_{n_i} e^{(\alpha - \beta \varepsilon_i) n_i})}{\partial (\alpha - \beta \varepsilon_i)} \quad (3.27)$$

$$= \frac{\partial \ln \mathcal{Z}_i}{\partial (\alpha - \beta \varepsilon_i)} \quad (3.28)$$

$$= - \frac{\partial \ln (1 - e^{\alpha - \beta \varepsilon_i})}{\partial (\alpha - \beta \varepsilon_i)} \quad (3.29)$$

$$= \frac{e^{\alpha - \beta \varepsilon_i}}{1 - e^{\alpha - \beta \varepsilon_i}} \quad (3.30)$$

$$= \boxed{\frac{1}{e^{-(\alpha - \beta \varepsilon_i)} - 1}} =: b(\varepsilon_i). \quad (3.31)$$

This is the Bose distribution. It holds not only for the single energy level i but more generally for the whole system.

These distributions are quite similar and only differ by a sign; plus for Fermions and minus for Bosons. If one were to use $\beta = \frac{1}{k_B T}$ and $\alpha = \frac{\mu}{k_B T}$ and take the minus sign into the parentheses

$$\boxed{\frac{1}{\frac{\varepsilon_i - \mu}{e^{\frac{1}{k_B T}}} \pm 1}} = \begin{cases} f(\varepsilon_i) & \text{for } + \\ b(\varepsilon_i) & \text{for } - \end{cases}. \quad (3.32)$$