Assignment 6

Ch.6 - Ex.2

(a)

	Week 1	Week 2	Week 3
h	100	1	1
ℓ	1	1	1

Consider such simple example, it's trivial that the best strategy is to choose the high-stress job in week 1, and choose low-stress jobs in week 2 and 3, say {high – low – low}, which will gain 100 + 1 + 1 = 102. But the wrong algorithm will start at week 1, finding that $(h_2 = 1) < (\ell_1 + \ell_2 = 2)$ and thus step into the *else* branch, and do the similar choice in week 2 and 3, finally we will get {low – low – low} which is 1 + 1 + 1 = 3.

(b)

Suppose there are n weeks in total. We define the function OPT(i) as the value of the optimal choice in the first i weeks, with h_i and ℓ_i given. We also define that $OPT(i) = h_i = \ell_i = 0$ for $i \in (-\infty, 0] \cup (n, +\infty)$ to avoid overflowing array bounds. Now that by the question, once we choose to do a high-stress job in a week, we cannot choose any job in the week before that week, aka. the value of week i - 1 is 0. But if we choose a low-stress job in one week, the i - 1 weeks before can have an optimal choice OPT(i - 1) which will no affect week i. In short:

$$OPT(i) = \max\{OPT(i-1) + \ell_i, OPT(i-2) + h_i\}$$

If we do the memorize job, aka. save them into an array, s.t. when we are calculating OPT(i), we will have all the computed value $OPT(1 \cdots i-1)$ saved in an array, therefore, in each iteration we can use O(1) to calculate one item of OPT. Finally, to get the value of optimal choice for the n weeks, we need to compute $OPT(1 \cdots n)$ which is O(n), quiet efficient! (Note: collecting 2n numbers of h_i and ℓ_i takes another O(n), which is omitted in the following code.)

```
def get(arr: List[int], i: int):
    return 0 if i < 0 or i >= len(arr) else arr[i]

def find_opt(n: int, # there are n weeks in total
    hs: List[int], # len(hs) == n, hs[i] = h_i
```