#### University of Missouri

#### MASTER'S PROJECT

## A Survey on Character Tables for Representations of Finite Groups

Author: Jared Stewart

Supervisor: Dr. Calin Chindris

A project submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Masters of Arts

in the

Department of Mathematics

February 22, 2016

## Acknowledgements

The acknowledgements and the people to thank go here, don't forget to include Professor Chindris!! ...

## **Contents**

A	knov	vledgements	iii
1	Basi	c Notions of Representation Theory	1
	1.1	Group Actions	1
		The Definition of a Representation	2
	1.3	Representations of Cyclic Groups	4
	1.4	Constructions from Linear Algebra	6
	1.5	Complete Reducibility and Unitarity	6
		Vector Spaces of Linear Maps	8
	1.7	Schur's Lemma	10
	1.8	Tensor Product	11
	1.9	Isotypical Decomposition	11
	1.10	Character Theory	13
Bi	bliog	raphy	15

### Chapter 1

# **Basic Notions of Representation Theory**

#### 1.1 Group Actions

**Definition 1.1.** A *(left)* **group action** of a group G on a set X is a map  $\rho \colon G \times X \to X$  (written as  $g \cdot a$ , for all  $g \in G$  and  $a \in A$ ) that satisfies the following two axoims:

$$1 \cdot x = x \qquad \forall x \in X \tag{1.1.1}$$

$$(gh) \cdot x = g \cdot (h \cdot x)$$
  $\forall g, h \in G, x \in X$  (1.1.2)

*Note.* We could likewise define the concept of a *right* group action, where the set elements would be multiplied by group elements on the right instead of on the left. Throughout we shall use the term *group action* to mean a *left* group action.

**Proposition 1.2.** Let G act on the set X. For any fixed  $g \in G$ , the map  $\sigma_g$  from X into X defined by  $\sigma_g(x) = g \cdot x$  is a permutation of the set X. That is,  $\sigma_g \in S_X$ .

*Proof.* We show that  $\sigma_g$  is a permutation of X by finding a two-sided inverse map, namely  $\sigma_{g^{-1}}$ . Observe that for any  $x \in X$ , we have

$$\begin{split} (\sigma_{g^{-1}} \circ \sigma_g)(x) &= \sigma_{g^{-1}}(\sigma_g(x)) \\ &= g^{-1} \cdot (g \cdot x) \\ &= (g^{-1}g) \cdot x \\ &= 1 \cdot x \\ &= x \end{split} \qquad \text{(by axiom 1.1.1 of an action)}.$$

Thus  $\sigma_{g^{-1}} \circ \sigma_g$  is the identity map on X. We can reverse the roles of g and  $g^{-1}$  to see that  $\sigma_g \circ \sigma_{g^{-1}}$  is also the identity map on X. Having a two-sided inverse, we conclude that  $\sigma_g$  is a permutation of X.

**Proposition 1.3.** Let G act on the set X. The map from G into the symmetric group  $S_X$  defined by  $g \mapsto \sigma_q(x) = g \cdot x$  is a group homomorphism.

*Proof.* Define the map  $\rho: G \to S_X$  by  $\rho(g) = \sigma_g$ . We have seen from Proposition 1.2 that  $\sigma_g$  is indeed an element of  $S_X$ . It remains to show that  $\rho(g_1g_2) = \rho(g_1) \circ \rho(g_2)$  for any  $g_1, g_2 \in G$ . Observe that

$$\begin{split} \rho(g_1g_2)(x) &= \sigma_{g_1g_2}(x) & \text{(by definition of } \rho) \\ &= (g_1g_2) \cdot x & \text{(by definition of } \sigma_{g_1g_2}) \\ &= g_1 \cdot (g_2 \cdot x) & \text{(by axiom 1.1.1 of an action)} \\ &= \sigma_{g_1}(\sigma_{g_2}(x)) & \text{(by definition of } \sigma_{g_1} \text{ and } \sigma g_2) \\ &= \rho(g_1)(\rho(g_2)(x)) & \text{(by definition of } \rho) \\ &= (\rho(g_1) \circ \rho(g_2))(x) & \text{(by definition of function composition)}. \end{split}$$

Since the values of  $\rho(g_1g_2)$  and  $\rho(g_1)\circ\rho(g_2)$  agree on every element  $x\in X$ , these two permutations are equal. We conclude that  $\rho$  is a homomorphism, since  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  were arbitrary elements of G.

**Proposition 1.4.** Any homomorphism  $\psi$  from the group G into the symmetric group  $S_X$  on a set X gives rise to an action of G on X, defined by taking  $g \cdot x = \psi(g)(x)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that we have a homomorphism  $\psi$  from G into  $S_X$ . We can define a map from  $G \times X$  to X by  $g \cdot x = \psi(g)(x)$ . We verify that this map satisfies the definition of a group action of G on X:

(axiom 1.1.1) 
$$1 \cdot x = \psi(1)(x) = id_X(x) = x$$
  
(axiom 1.1.2)  $(gh) \cdot x = \psi(gh)(x) = (\psi(g)\psi(h))(x) = \psi(g)(\psi(h)(x)) = g \cdot (h \cdot x)$ 

**Corollary 1.5.** The actions of G on the set X are in bijective correspondence with the homomorphisms from G into the symmetric group  $S_X$ .

*Proof.* By Proposition 1.3, any action of G on X yields a homomorphism from G into  $S_X$ . Conversely, any homomorphism from G into  $S_X$  establishes an action of G on X by Proposition 1.4.

#### 1.2 The Definition of a Representation

**Definition 1.6.** Let G be a group. A **representation** of G is a homomorphism  $\rho \colon G \to GL_n(\mathbb{C})$  for some positive integer n.

**Definition 1.7.** Two representations  $\rho_1 \colon G \to GL_n(\mathbb{C})$  and  $\rho_2 \colon G \to GL_n(\mathbb{C})$  of G are **equivalent** if there exists  $P \in GL_n(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $\rho_2 = P^{-1}\rho_1 P$ .

Equivalent representations are fundamentally "the same" in some sense, but to make this precise we need to shift our thinking to linear maps instead of matrices.

**Definition 1.8.** Let G be a group, let F be a field, and let V be a vector space over F. A **linear representation** of G is any group homomorphism  $\rho \colon G \to GL(V)$ . If we fix a basis for V, we get a representation in the previous sense.

**Definition 1.9** (Alternative definition). Let G be a group, let F be a field, and let V be a vector space over F. A **linear representation** of G is an action of G on V which preserves the linear structure of V, i.e. an action of G on V such that

$$g \cdot (v_1 + v_2) = g \cdot v_1 + g \cdot v_2 \qquad \forall g \in G, v_1, v_2 \in V$$
 (1.9.1)

$$g \cdot (kv) = k(g \cdot v) \qquad \forall g \in G, v \in V, k \in F \qquad (1.9.2)$$

*Note.* Unless otherwise specificed, we use *representation* to mean *finite-dimensional complex representation*.

**Proposition 1.10.** The definitions of a linear representation given in 1.8 and 1.9 above are equivalent.

- *Proof.*  $(\rightarrow)$  Suppose that we have a homomorphism  $\rho\colon G\to GL(V)$ . Note that GL(V) is a subgroup of the symmetric group  $S_V$  on V, so we can apply Proposition 1.4 to obtain an action of G on V by  $g\cdot v=\rho(g)(v)$ . We check that this action preserves the linear structure of V.
  - 1.9.1 For any  $g \in G$ ,  $v_1, v_2 \in V$  we have  $g \cdot (v_1 + v_2) = \rho(g)(v_1 + v_2) = \rho(g)(v_1) + \rho(g)(v_2) = g \cdot v_1 + g \cdot v_2$ .
  - 1.9.2 For any  $g \in G, v \in V, k \in F$  we have  $g \cdot (kv) = \rho(g)(kv) = k(\rho(g)(v)) = k(g \cdot v)$ .
- ( $\leftarrow$ ) Suppose that we have an action of G on V which preserves the linear structure of V in the sense of Definition 1.9. We can apply Proposition 1.3 to obtain a homorphism  $\rho\colon G\to S_V$  given by  $\rho(g)=\sigma_g$  where  $\sigma_g(v)=g\cdot v$ . It remains to show that the image  $\rho(G)$  of G under  $\rho$  is actually contained in GL(V), i.e. that for each  $g\in G$  the map  $\sigma_g$  is linear. Fix an element  $g\in G$ . For any  $k\in F$  and  $v\in V$ , we have

$$\sigma_g(kv) = g \cdot (kv)$$
 (by definition of  $\sigma_g$ )
$$= k(g \cdot v)$$
 (by property 1.9.1)
$$= k(\sigma_g(v))$$
 (by definition of  $\sigma_g$ ).

Also, for any  $v_1, v_2 \in V$  we have

$$\begin{split} \sigma_g(v_1+v_2) &= g\cdot(v_1+v_2) & \text{(by definition of } \sigma_g) \\ &= g\cdot v_1 + g\cdot v_2 & \text{(by property 1.9.2)} \\ &= \sigma_g(v_1) + \sigma_g(v_2) & \text{(by definition of } \sigma_g). \end{split}$$

Thus  $\sigma_g$  is linear, and  $\rho(G) \subset GL(V)$  proves that we have a homomorphism  $\rho \colon G \to GL(V)$ .

**Definition 1.11.** Let G be a group, let F be a field, let V be a vector space over F, and let  $\rho \colon G \to GL(V)$  be a representation of G. The **dimension** of the representation is the dimension of V over F.

- **Example 1.12.** 1. Let V be a 1-dimensional vector space over the field F. The map  $\rho\colon G\to GL(V)$  defined by  $\rho(g)=1$  for all  $g\in G$  is a representation called the *trival representation* of G. The trivial representation has dimension 1.
  - 2. If G is a finite group that acts on a finite set X, and F is any field, then there is an associated *permutation representation* on the vector space V over F with basis  $\{e_x\colon x\in X\}$ . We let G act on the basis elements by the permutation  $g\cdot e_x=e_{gx}$  for all  $x\in X$  and  $g\in G$ . This representation has dimension |X|.
  - 3. A fundamental special case of a permutation representation that we shall return to later on is that when a finite group acts on itself by left multiplication. In this case, the elements of G form a basis for V, and each  $g \in G$  permutes the basis

elements by  $g \cdot g_i = gg_i$ . This representation is called the *regular representation* of G and has dimension |G|. We shall see later that this representation encodes information about all other representations of G.

4. For any symmetric group  $S_n$ , the alternating representation on  $V=\mathbb{C}$  is given by the map  $\rho\colon S_n\to GL(\mathbb{C})=\mathbb{C}^\times$  defined by  $\rho(\sigma)=\mathrm{sgn}(\sigma)$ . More generally, for any group G with a subgroup H of index 2, we can define an alternating representation  $\rho\colon G\to GL(\mathbb{C})$  by letting  $\rho(g)=1$  if  $g\in H$  and  $\rho(g)=-1$  if  $g\notin H$ . (We recover our original example by taking  $G=S_n$  and  $H=A_n$ .)

**Definition 1.13.** A homomorphism between two representations  $\rho_1 \colon G \to GL(V)$  and  $\rho_2 \colon G \to GL(W)$  is a linear map  $\psi \colon V \to W$  that interwines with (respects) the G-action, i.e. a map  $\psi$  such that

$$\psi(\rho_1(g)(v)) = \rho_2(g)(\psi(v)) \quad \forall v \in V, g \in G$$

An **isomorphism** of representations is a homomorphism of representations that is also an invertible map.

*Note.* If we have representations  $(\rho_1, V)$  and  $(\rho_2, W)$  and an isomorphism of vector spaces  $\psi \colon V \to W$  then we can rewrite the compatibility requirement above as  $\rho_2(g) = \psi \circ \rho_1(g) \circ \psi^{-1}$  for all  $g \in G$ .

Given any representation  $(\rho,V)$  of a group G on a vector space V over a field F of dimension n, we can fix a basis for V to obtain an isomorphism of vector spaces  $\psi\colon V\to F^n$ . This yields a representation  $\phi$  of G on  $F^n$  by defining  $\phi(g)=\psi\circ\rho(g)\circ\psi^{-1}$  for all  $g\in G$ . Clearly, this representation is isomorphic to our original representation  $(\rho,V)$ . In particular, this means we can always choose to view n-dimensional complex representations as representations on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  where each  $\phi(g)$  is given by an  $n\times n$  matrix with entries in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Suppose that we have two representations  $\rho_1 \colon G \to GL_n(F)$  and  $\rho_2 \colon G \to GL_m(F)$  given by  $\rho_1(g) = X_g$  and  $\rho_2(g) = Y_g$ . A homomorphism between these representations is then an  $m \times n$  matrix A such that  $AX_g = Y_gA$  for all  $g \in G$ . An isomorphism is given precisely when such A is square and invertible. Thus, two representations  $\rho_1 \colon G \to GL_n(F)$  and  $\rho_2 \colon G \to GL_n(F)$  are isomorphic if and only if there exists  $A \in GL_n(F)$  such that  $\rho_1(g) = A\rho_2(g)A^{-1}$  for all  $g \in G$ . This establishes the following proposition:

**Proposition 1.14.** The isomorphism classes of n-dimensional representations of G on  $\mathbb{C}$  are in bijection with the quotient  $Hom(G; GL_n(\mathbb{C}))/GL_n(\mathbb{C})$  of group homomorphisms  $G \to GL_n(\mathbb{C})$  modulo the conjugation action of  $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ .

#### 1.3 Representations of Cyclic Groups

**Example 1.15** (Representations of  $\mathbb{Z}$ ). We want to classify all representations of the group  $\mathbb{Z}$  under addition. Consider an n-dimensional representation  $\rho \colon \mathbb{Z} \to GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ . For  $\rho$  to be a group homomorphism requires that  $\rho(0) = \mathrm{Id}$ . Observe that for any  $0 \neq n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have  $\rho(n) = \rho(1 + \ldots + 1) = \rho(1)^n$ . Thus  $\rho$  is completely determined by the matrix  $\rho(1) \in GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ , and any such matrix determines a representation of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . It follows that the n-dimensional isomorphism classes of representations of  $\mathbb{Z}$  are in bijection with the conjugacy classes in  $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ . These conjugacy classes can be parameterized by the *Jordan canonical form*.

**Example 1.16** (Representations of the cyclic group of order n). We shall classify all representations of the cyclic group  $G=\{g,g^2,\ldots,g^{n-1},g^n=1\}$  of order n. Consider a representation  $\rho\colon G\to GL(V)$ . As in the previous example, we know that  $\rho(1)=\mathrm{Id}$  and  $\rho(g^k)=\rho(g)^k$ . Thus our representation  $\rho$  is determined completely by the linear transformation  $\rho(g)$ . It will be helpful to fix a basis of V so that we may view  $\rho(g)$  as a matrix. Recall from linear algebra that there exists a basis in which  $\rho(g)$  takes the *Jordan canonical form* 

$$\rho(g) = \begin{bmatrix} J_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & J_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & J_m \end{bmatrix}$$

where each *Jordan block*  $J_k$  is of the form

$$J_k = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 1 & \ddots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda & \ddots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \ddots & 1 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now  $I = \rho(g)^n$  is a block-diagonal matrix with diagonal blocks  $J_k^n$ , so we must have that each block  $J_k^n = \text{Id}$ . Observe that we can write each block  $J_k$  as  $J_k = \lambda \text{Id} + N$  where N is the Jordan block with  $\lambda = 0$ . Thus we have

$$\mathrm{Id} = J_k^n = (\lambda \mathrm{Id} + N)^n = \lambda^n \mathrm{Id} + \binom{n}{1} \lambda^{n-1} N + \binom{n}{2} \lambda^{n-2} N^2 + \ldots + \binom{n}{n-1} \lambda N^{n-1} + N^n$$

. The following lemma will show that in fact N=0.

**Lemma 1.17.** Let N be the Jordan block with  $\lambda=0$  of size  $n\times n$ . For any integer p with  $1\leq p\leq n-1$ , then  $N^p$  is the matrix with ones in the positions (i,j) where j=i+p and zeroes everywhere else. (The ones lie along a line parallel to the diagonal, p steps above it.)

*Proof.* (By induction.)

Base case: This is simply the definition of N.

*Inductive step:* Suppose that the lemma holds for  $N^p$ . We compute the (i, j) entry of  $N^{p+1}$ :

$$(N^{p+1})_{i,j} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (N^p)_{i,k} N_{k,j} = (N^p)_{i,i+p} N_{i+p,j} = N_{i+p,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = i + (p+1) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Now, if  $N \neq 0$  then each term  $\binom{n}{k} \lambda^{n-k} N^k$  for k > 0 would yield some non-zero non-diagonal entries (in the positions (i,j) where j=i+k) and hence our sum could not equal the identity matrix. We must conclude that N=0,  $J_k=\lambda \mathrm{Id}$  is a  $1\times 1$  block, and  $J_k^n=\lambda^n\mathrm{Id}$ . Thus  $\rho(g)$  is a diagonal matrix with nth roots of unity as diagonal entries.

To summarize, every m-dimensional representation  $\rho$  of the cyclic group  $G = \langle g \rangle$  of order n can be seen to act (with the right choice of basis) as  $m \times m$  diagonal matrices all with nth roots of unity along the diagonal. In particular, these representations are determined completely by the value of  $\rho(g)$  and are classified up to isomorphism by unordered m-tuples of nth roots of unity.

#### 1.4 Constructions from Linear Algebra

**Definition 1.18.** A **subrepresentation** of V is a G-invariant subspace  $W \subseteq V$ ; that is, a subspace  $W \subseteq V$  with the property that  $\rho(g)(w) \in W$  for all  $g \in G$  and  $w \in W$ . Note that W itself is a representation of G under the action  $\rho(g)|_{W}$ .

From elementary linear algebra, we know that given a subspace  $W \subseteq V$ , we can form the **quotient space** V/W consisting of cosets v+W in V. If W is a subrepresentation of V, we would like to define an action of G on V/W by the natural choice of  $g(v+W)=\rho(g)(v)+W$ . It remains to verify that this action is well defined. If we choose another  $v'\in v+W$ , then  $v-v'\in W$ , so that  $\rho(g)(v-v')\in W$  since W is G-invariant. Thus, the cosets  $\rho(g)(v)+W$  and  $\rho(g)(v')+W$  agree and this action is indeed well defined. This justifies the following definition:

**Definition 1.19.** Let W be a G-subrepresentation of V. Then V/W forms a representation of G called the **quotient representation** of V under W with the action  $g(v+W)=\rho(g)(v)+W$ .

Recall also from linear algebra that given two vector spaces  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ , we can form the **direct sum**  $V_1 \oplus V_2$  consisting of ordered pairs  $(v_1, v_2)$  where  $v_1 \in V_1, v_2 \in V_2$ .

**Definition 1.20.** Let  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  be representations of G. Then  $V_1 \oplus V_2$  forms a representation of G called the **direct sum representation** of  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  with the action  $g(v_1, v_2) = (g \cdot v_1, g \cdot v_2)$ .

#### 1.5 Complete Reducibility and Unitarity

**Definition 1.21.** A representation is said to be **irreducible** if it contains no proper invariant subspaces. It is called **completely reducible** if it decomposes into a direct sum of irreducible subrepresentations.

**Example 1.22.** 1. Any irreducible representation is completely reducible.

2. Any 1-dimensional representations has no proper subspaces, and is thus irreducible.

**Theorem 1.23.** If  $A_1, A_2, ..., A_r$  are linear operators on V and each  $A_i$  is diagonalizable, they are simultaneously diagonalizable if and only if they commute.

*Proof.* See Conrad [2, Theorem 5.1].

**Theorem 1.24.** Every complex representation of a finite abelian group is completely reducible into irreducible representations of dimension 1.

*Proof.* Take an arbitrary element  $g \in G$ . Since G is finite, we can find an integer n such that  $g^n = 1$  and  $\rho(g)^n = Id$ . Hence the minimal polynomial of  $\rho(g)$  divides  $x^n - 1$ . Recall that  $x^n - 1$  has n distinct roots over  $\mathbb C$ , which are generated by taking powers of  $\xi = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}}$ . This means that the minimal polynomial  $\rho(g)$  factors into linear factors only over  $\mathbb C$  so that  $\rho(g)$  is diagonalizable. We conclude that each  $\rho(g)$  is (separately) diagonalizable since  $g \in G$  was arbitrary.

Now, given any two elements  $g_1, g_2 \in G$  we have

$$ho(g_1)
ho(g_2) = 
ho(g_1g_2)$$
 (since  $ho$  is a homomorphism) 
$$= 
ho(g_2g_1)$$
 (since  $G$  is abeilian) 
$$= 
ho(g_2)
ho(g_1)$$
 (since  $ho$  is a homomorphism).

Thus the matrices  $\{\rho(g)\}$  commute, so we may apply theorem 1.23 to conclude that  $\{\rho(g)\}$  are simultaneously diagonalizable, say with basis  $\{e_1,...,e_k\}$ . Then we have  $V = \mathbb{C}e_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}e_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathbb{C}e_n$ , with each subspace  $\mathbb{C}e_1$  invariant under the action of G.  $\square$ 

We recall the following definition from linear algebra:

**Definition 1.25.** Let V be a complex vector space. A **Hermitian inner product** on V is a map  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \colon V \times V \to \mathbb{C}$  that satisfies the following properties for all  $u, v, w \in V$  and  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ :

- 1.  $\langle u + v, w \rangle = \langle u, w \rangle + \langle v, w \rangle$ .
- 2.  $\langle cu, v \rangle = c \langle u, v \rangle$ .
- 3.  $\langle u, v \rangle = \overline{\langle v, u \rangle}$ .
- 4.  $\langle v, v \rangle \geq 0$  with equality if and only if v = 0.

**Definition 1.26.** A representation  $\rho$  of G on a complex vector space V is **unitary** if V has been equipped with a hermetian inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  which is preserved by the action of G, that is,

$$\langle v, w \rangle = \langle \rho(g)(v), \rho(g)(w) \rangle \quad \forall v, w \in V, g \in G.$$

A representation is said to be **unitarisable** if it can be equipped with such a product (even without one being specified).

**Theorem 1.27.** [Weyl's unitary trick] Finite-dimensional representations of finite groups are unitarisable.

*Proof.* Take any Hermetian inner product on V, say  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle'$ . We define a new inner product on V by *averaging over G*:

$$\langle v, w \rangle := \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \langle \rho(g)v, \rho(g)w \rangle'.$$

This new inner product satisfies properties 1, 2, and 3 of Definition 1.25 by linearity. It remains to check positivity (4). Clearly  $\langle v,v\rangle=0$  when v=0, since each term of the sum will equal zero. In the case where  $v\neq 0$ , observe that

$$\langle v, v \rangle = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \langle \rho(g)v, \rho(g)v \rangle' \ge 0$$

since each term of the sum is non-negative by the positivity of  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle'$ . The only problem would occur if each term of this sum is equal to zero. But  $\langle \rho(e)v, \rho(e)v \rangle' = \langle v,v \rangle' > 0$ . Thus  $\langle v,v \rangle > 0$ .

Finally, we show that our new inner product is G-invariant. For any  $h \in G$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \langle \rho(h)v, & \rho(h)w \rangle = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \langle \rho(g)\rho(h)v, & \rho(g)\rho(h)w \rangle' \\ & = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \langle \rho(gh)v, & \rho(gh)w \rangle' \qquad \qquad \text{(since $\rho$ is a homomorphism)} \\ & = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{k \in G} \langle \rho(k)v, & \rho(k)w \rangle' \qquad \qquad \text{(by a change of variables)} \\ & = \langle v, w \rangle. \end{split}$$

**Lemma 1.28.** Let V be a unitary representation of G and let  $W \subseteq V$  be a G-invariant subspace. Then the orthogonal complement  $W^{\perp}$  is also G-invariant.

*Proof.* Choose arbitrary elements  $v \in W^{\perp}$  and  $g \in G$ . We need to show that  $gv \in W^{\perp}$ . Now for any  $w \in W$ , we have  $\langle v, w \rangle = 0$ . Thus  $\langle gv, gw \rangle = g\overline{g}\langle v, w \rangle = 0$  for any  $w \in W$ . Notice that  $w' = gw \in W$  since W is G-invariant. This implies that  $\langle gv, w' \rangle = 0$ , i.e.  $gv \in W^{\perp}$ .

**Theorem 1.29.** A finite-dimensional unitary representation of a group is fully reducible into unitary irreducible subrepresentations.

*Proof.* Let V be a finite dimensional unitary representation of G. We proceed by induction on the dimension of V. If  $\dim(V)=1$ , then V is necessarily irreducible. Now, suppose the theorem holds for all W with  $\dim(V) \leq n-1$  and suppose  $\dim(V)=n$ . If V is irreducible, we are done. Otherwise, there exists a proper G-invariant subspace  $W(\neq 0,V)$ . We can write  $V=W\oplus W^\perp$  by Lemma 1.28. Applying the inductive hypothesis to W and  $W^\perp$ , we obtain a decomposition into irreducibles

$$V = (W_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus W_j) \oplus (W_{j+1} \oplus \ldots \oplus W_k).$$

**Corollary 1.30.** Every complex representation of a finite group is completely reducible.

*Proof.* Any such representation is unitarisable y by Theorem 1.27. We can then apply Theorem 1.29 to obtain full reduciblility.  $\Box$ 

#### 1.6 Vector Spaces of Linear Maps

**Definition 1.31.** Let V and W be vector spaces. Recall that the set  $\mathbf{Hom}(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{W})$  of linear maps from V to W is itself a vector space. If  $f_1, f_2$  are two linear maps from V to W, then we define their sum by

$$(f_1 + f_2) \colon V \to W$$
  
 $x \mapsto f_1(x) + f_2(x)$ 

and we define scalar multiplication of  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  by

$$(\lambda f_1) \colon V \to W$$
  
 $x \mapsto \lambda f_1(x).$ 

Now suppose we have representations  $\rho_V \colon G \to GL(V)$  and  $\rho_W \colon G \to GL(W)$  of G. Then there is a natural representation of G on the vector space Hom(V, W) given by

$$\rho_{\operatorname{Hom}(V,W)}(g) \colon \operatorname{Hom}(V,W) \to \operatorname{Hom}(V,W)$$

$$f \mapsto \rho_W(g) \circ f \circ \rho_V(g^{-1})$$

for all  $g \in G$ . Note that  $\rho_{\text{Hom}(V,W)}(g)(f)$  is certainly a linear map from V to W since the composition of linear maps is linear.

**Proposition 1.32.**  $\rho_{Hom(V,W)}$  is a representation of G. That is, the map

$$\rho_{Hom(V,W)} \colon G \to GL(Hom(V,W))$$

$$g \mapsto \rho_{Hom(V,W)}(g).$$

is a homomorphism.

*Proof.* We must check two things:

- 1. The map  $g \mapsto \rho_{\text{Hom}(V,W)}(g)$  is a homomorphism.
- 2. For every  $g \in G$ ,  $\rho_{\text{Hom}(V,W)}(g)$  is invertible.

First, we check that

$$\begin{split} \rho_{\operatorname{Hom}(V,W)}(g) \circ \rho_{\operatorname{Hom}(V,W)}(h) \colon f &\mapsto \rho_{\operatorname{Hom}(V,W)}(g) (\rho_W(h) \circ f \circ \rho_V(h^{-1})) \\ &= \rho_W(g) \circ \rho_w(h) \circ f \circ \rho_V(h^{-1}) \circ \rho_V(g^{-1}) \\ &= \rho_W(gh) \circ f \circ \rho_V(g^{-1}h^{-1}) \\ &= \rho_{\operatorname{Hom}(V,W)}(gh)(f) \end{split}$$

so indeed  $\rho_{\operatorname{Hom}(V,W)}$  is a homomorphism. We can use this fact to see that  $\rho_{\operatorname{Hom}(V,W)}(g^{-1})$  is inverse to  $\rho_{\operatorname{Hom}(V,W)}(g)$  as

$$\begin{split} \rho_{\operatorname{Hom}(V,W)}(g) \circ \rho_{\operatorname{Hom}(V,W)}(g^{-1}) &= \rho_{\operatorname{Hom}(V,W)}(e) \\ &= \operatorname{Id}_{\operatorname{Hom}(V,W)} \\ &= \rho_{\operatorname{Hom}(V,W)}(g^{-1}) \circ \rho_{\operatorname{Hom}(V,W)}(g). \end{split}$$

Thus  $\rho_{\operatorname{Hom}(V,W)}(g)$  is invertible for every  $g \in G$ , and  $\rho_{\operatorname{Hom}(V,W)}$  is a representation of G.

**Definition 1.33.** Let V and W be two representations of G. The set of G-linear maps from V to W forms a subspace of  $\operatorname{Hom}(V,W)$ , which we denote by  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{G}}(\mathbf{V},\mathbf{W})$ . In other words,  $\operatorname{Hom}_{G}(V,W)$  is the vector space consisting of all *homomorphisms of representations* between V and W.

**Definition 1.34.** Let  $\rho \colon G \to GL(V)$  be a representation. We define the **invariant sub-representation**  $V^G \subset V$  to be the set

$$\{v \in V \mid \rho(q)(v) = v, \forall q \in G\}.$$

Note that  $V^G$  is a subspace of V, and is also clearly a subrepresentation. It is isomorphic to a trivial representation of some dimension.

**Proposition 1.35.** Let  $\rho_V \colon G \to GL(V)$  and  $\rho_W \colon G \to GL(W)$  be representations of G. Then the subrepresentation

$$Hom_G(V, W) \subset Hom(V, W)$$

is precisely the invariant subrepresentation  $Hom(V, W)^G$  of Hom(V, W).

*Proof.* Let  $f \in \text{Hom}(V, W)$ . Then f is an element of the invariant subrepresentation  $\text{Hom}(V, W)^G$  iff we have

$$f = \rho_{\operatorname{Hom}(V,W)}(g)(f) \quad \forall g \in G$$
  
$$\iff f = \rho_W(g) \circ f \circ \rho_V(g^{-1}) \quad \forall g \in G$$
  
$$\iff f \circ \rho_V(g) = \rho_W(g) \circ f \quad \forall g \in G$$

which is exactly the condition that f is G-linear, i.e. that  $f \in \text{Hom}_G(V, W)$ .

#### 1.7 Schur's Lemma

**Theorem 1.36.** [Schur's Lemma over  $\mathbb{C}$ .] If V is an irreducible G-representation over  $\mathbb{C}$ , then every linear operator  $\phi \colon V \to V$  commuting with G is a scalar.

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda$  be an eigenvalue of  $\phi$ . Observe that the eigenspace  $E_{\lambda}$  is G-invariant: If  $v \in E_{\lambda}$ , then  $\phi(v) = \lambda v$ . This implies that  $\phi(gv) = g\phi(v) = g(\lambda v) = \lambda(gv)$ , i.e.  $gv \in E_{\lambda}$ . Since g was arbitrary,  $E_{\lambda}$  is indeed G-invariant. Now  $E_{\lambda} \neq 0$ , so by irreducibility  $E_{\lambda} = V$ . Thus  $\phi = \lambda \mathrm{Id}$ .

**Corollary 1.37.** If V and W are irreducible, the space  $Hom_G(V, W)$  is 1-dimensional if the representations are isomorphic, and in this case any non-zero map is an isomorphism. Otherwise,  $Hom_G^G(V, W) = \{0\}.$ 

*Proof.* We claim  $\ker(\phi)$  and  $\operatorname{im}(\phi)$  are both G-invariant. Let  $0 \neq \phi \in \operatorname{Hom}_G(V, W)$ . If  $v \in \ker(\phi)$ , then  $\phi(v) = 0$  implies that  $\phi(gv) = g\phi(v) = g0 = 0$ , i.e.  $gv \in \ker(\phi)$ . Similarly, if  $v \in \operatorname{im}(\phi)$ , then  $v = \phi(w)$  implies that  $\phi(gw) = g\phi(w) = gv$ , i.e.  $gv \in \operatorname{im}(\phi)$ .

Irreducibility yields  $\ker(\phi)=0$  or V and  $\operatorname{im}(\phi)=0$  or W as the only possibilities. If  $\phi\neq 0$ , then  $\ker(\phi)=0$ . This means that  $\phi$  is injective,  $\operatorname{im}(\phi)=W$ , and  $\phi$  is an isomorphism.

Let  $\psi$  be another interwining operator from V to W. Then  $\phi^{-1} \circ \psi$  is also an intertwining operator from V to V. We can apply Schur's Lemma over  $\mathbb C$  to see that  $\phi^{-1} \circ \psi = \lambda \mathrm{Id}$ , hence  $\psi = \lambda \phi$ .

More definitions are required before we can state a more general Schur's Lemma (not restricted to just  $\mathbb{C}$ ).

**Definition 1.38.** An **algebra** over a field K is a ring with unit, containing a distinguished copy of K that commutes with every algebra element, and with  $1 \in K$  begin the algebra unit. A **division ring** is a ring where every non-zero element is invertible, and a **division algebra** is a division ring which is also a K-algebra.

**Definition 1.39.** Let V be a representation of G over K. The **endomorphism algebra**  $\operatorname{End}^G(V)$  is the space of linear self-maps  $\phi \colon V \to V$  which commute with the group action, that is,  $\rho(g) \circ \phi = \phi \circ \rho(g) \quad \forall g \in G$ . The addition is the usual addition of linear

maps (pointwise), and the multiplication is function composition. The distinguished copy of K is given by KId.

**Theorem 1.40.** [Schur's Lemma] If V is an irreducible finite-dimensional representation of G over K, then  $\operatorname{End}^G(V)$  is a finite-dimensional division algebra over K.

#### 1.8 Tensor Product

Let V and W be two vector spaces over K, and assume we have bases  $\{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$  for V and  $\{b_1, \ldots, b_m\}$  for W.

**Definition 1.41.** The **tensor product**  $V \bigotimes_K W$  of V and W is the K-vector space which has a basis given by the set of symbols

$${a_i \otimes b_i | 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m}.$$

When the ground field K is clear, in can be omitted from the notation. If we have vectors  $x \in V$  and  $y \in W$ , we can define a vector  $x \otimes y \in V \bigotimes W$  as follows. Write x and y in the given bases with coefficients  $\lambda_i, \mu_i \in K$ , so

$$x = \lambda_1 a_1 + \ldots + \lambda_n a_n$$

$$y = \mu_1 b_1 + \ldots + \mu_m b_m.$$

Then we define

$$x \otimes y = \sum_{\substack{i \in [1,n]\\j \in [1,m]}} \lambda_i \mu_j a_i \otimes b_j.$$

Now let *V* and *W* be two representations of *G*.

**Definition 1.42.** We can define a representation of G on  $V \otimes W$  called the **tensor product representation**. We define

$$\rho_{V\otimes W}(q)\colon V\otimes W\to V\otimes W$$

to be the linear map given by

$$\rho_{V\otimes W}(g)\colon a_i\otimes b_j\mapsto \rho_V(g)(a_i)\otimes \rho_W(g)(b_j).$$

#### 1.9 Isotypical Decomposition

**Lemma 1.43.** Let U, V, W be three vector spaces. Then we have natural isomorphisms

- 1.  $Hom(V, U \oplus W) = Hom(V, U) \oplus Hom(V, W)$
- 2.  $Hom(U \oplus W, V) = Hom(U, V) \oplus Hom(W, V)$ .

Additionally, if U, V, W carry representations of G, then (1) and (2) are isomorphisms of representations.

*Proof.* We have inclusion and projection maps

$$U \xleftarrow{\iota_U} U \oplus W \xleftarrow{\pi_W} W$$

given by

$$\iota_U \colon x \mapsto (x,0)$$
  
 $\pi_U \colon (x,y) \mapsto x$ 

and similarly for  $\iota_W$  and  $\pi_W$ . It is clear that

$$\mathrm{Id}_{U \oplus W} = \iota_U \circ \pi_U + \iota_W \circ \pi_W.$$

We also note that the four spaces under consideration all have dimension  $(\dim V)(\dim W + \dim U)$ .

(1) We define a map

$$\psi \colon \operatorname{Hom}(V, U \oplus W) \to \operatorname{Hom}(V, U) \oplus \operatorname{Hom}(V, W)$$
  
$$f \mapsto (\pi_U \circ f, \pi_W \circ f).$$

We claim that this map has an inverse given by

$$\psi^{-1} \colon \operatorname{Hom}(V, U) \oplus \operatorname{Hom}(V, W) \to \operatorname{Hom}(V, U \oplus W)$$
  
$$(f_U, f_W) \mapsto \iota_U \circ f_U + \iota_W \circ f_W.$$

Check that

$$\psi^{-1} \circ \psi \colon f \mapsto \iota_U \circ \pi_U \circ f + \iota_W \circ \pi_W \circ f$$
$$= (\iota_U \circ \pi_U + \iota_W \circ \pi_W) \circ f$$
$$= \operatorname{Id}_{\operatorname{Hom}(V,W)} \circ f = f.$$

Since both vector spaces have the same dimension,  $\psi \circ \psi^{-1}$  must also be the identity map, and  $\psi$  is an isomorphism of vector spaces. Now suppose we have representations  $\rho_V, \rho_W, \rho_U$  of G on V, W and U. Then we claim  $\psi$  is G-linear. Recall that by definition,

$$\rho_{\operatorname{Hom}(V,U\oplus W)}(g)(f)=\rho_{V\oplus W}(g)\circ f\circ \rho_V(g^{-1}).$$

Observe that for any  $g \in G$  and  $f \in \text{Hom}(V, U \oplus W)$ ,

$$\pi_{U} \circ (\rho_{\operatorname{Hom}(V,U \oplus W)}(g)(f)) = \pi_{U} \circ \rho_{U \oplus W}(g) \circ f \circ \rho_{V}(g^{-1})$$

$$= \rho_{U}(g) \circ \pi_{U} \circ f \circ \rho_{V}(g^{-1}) \quad \text{(since } \pi_{U} \text{ is } G\text{-linear})$$

$$= \rho_{\operatorname{Hom}(U,V)}(g)(f)$$

and similarly for W, so that

$$\psi(\rho_{\operatorname{Hom}(V,U \oplus W)}(g)(f)) = (\pi_U \circ \rho_{\operatorname{Hom}(V,U \oplus W)}(g)(f), \pi_W \circ \rho_{\operatorname{Hom}(V,U \oplus W)}(g)(f))$$

$$= (\rho_{\operatorname{Hom}(V,U)}(g)(\pi_U \circ f), \rho_{\operatorname{Hom}(V,W)}(g)(\pi_W \circ f))$$

$$= \rho_{\operatorname{Hom}(V,U) \oplus \operatorname{Hom}(V,W)}(g)(\pi_U \circ f, \pi_W \circ f).$$

Thus  $\psi$  is *G*-linear, and we've proved (1).

(2) Define a map

$$\phi \colon \mathsf{Hom}(U \oplus$$

#### 1.10 **Character Theory**

**Definition 1.44.** The **character** of a representation  $\rho: G \to GL(V)$  is the function  $\chi_V: G \to GL(V)$  $\mathbb{C}$  defined by  $\chi_V(g) = \text{Tr}(\rho(g))$ .

*Note.* The character is of a representation is not a homorphism in general, since  $Tr(MN) \neq Tr(MN)$ Tr(M)Tr(N) in general.

**Proposition 1.45.** (Basic Properties)

- 1.  $\chi_V$  is conjugation invariant:  $\chi_V(hgh^{-1}) = \chi_V(g)$  for all  $g, h \in G$ .
- 2.  $\chi_V(1) = \dim V$ .
- 3.  $\chi_V(g^{-1}) = \overline{\chi_V(g)}$  for all  $g \in G$ .

1.  $\chi_V(hgh^{-1} = \text{Tr}(hgh^{-1}) = \text{Tr}(ghh^{-1}) = \text{Tr}(g) = \chi_V(g) \text{ for any } g, h \in G.$ Proof.

- 2.  $\chi_V(1) = \text{Tr}(\text{Id}_V) = \dim V$ .
- 3. Since G is finite, we have seen that  $\rho(g)$  is a diagonal matrix with roots of unity along the diagonal with the right choice of basis. The inverse of a root of unity is given by its complex conjugate, so under this same basis,  $\rho(g)^{-1}$  is clearly given by  $\overline{\rho(g)}$ . Thus,  $\chi_V(g^{-1}) = \operatorname{Tr}(\rho(g^{-1})) = \operatorname{Tr}(\rho(g)^{-1}) = \operatorname{Tr}(\overline{\rho(g)}) = \overline{\operatorname{Tr}(\rho(g))} = \overline{\chi_V(g)}$ .

**Definition 1.46.** A class function on G is a function on G whose values are invariant by conjugation of elements in G. The value of a class function at an element  $q \in G$ depends only on the conjugacy class of g. We may therefore view class functions as functions on the set of conjugacy classes of *G*.

*Note.* The character  $\chi_V$  of a representation V of G is a class function on G.

**Proposition 1.47.** *Isomorphic representations have the same character.* 

*Proof.* We have seen (CITE ME!!!) that isomorphic representations can be described by the same matrices in the right choice of basis.

We will see later that the converse is true - if two representations have the same character, then they are isomorphic.

**Proposition 1.48.** Let  $\rho_V: G \to GL(V)$  and  $\rho_W: G \to GL(W)$  be representations of G with characters  $\chi_V$  and  $\chi_W$ .

- 1.  $\chi_{V \oplus W} = \chi_V + \chi_W$ .
- 2.  $\chi_{V \otimes W} = \chi_V \cdot \chi_W$ .

1. Pick bases for V and W, so that  $\rho_V(g)$  and  $\rho_W(g)$  are described by matrices M and N. Then  $\rho_{V \oplus W}(g)$  is described by the block-diagonal matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} M & 0 \\ 0 & N \end{bmatrix}$$

So we have  $\operatorname{Tr}(\rho_{V \oplus W}(g)) = \operatorname{Tr}(M) + \operatorname{Tr}(N) = \operatorname{Tr}(\rho_{V}(g)) + \operatorname{Tr}(\rho_{W}(g))$ .

2.  $\rho_{V \otimes W}$  is given by the matrix

$$[M \otimes N]_{js,it} = M_{ji}N_{st}$$

**Proposition 1.49.** 1. Let  $\{V_i\}$  be the irreducible representations of G, with  $d_i$  the dimension of  $V_i$  and  $\chi_i$  the corresponding irreducible character. Then

$$\chi_{reg} = d_1 \chi_1 + \ldots + d_r \chi_r$$

2.

$$\chi_{\mathit{reg}}(g) = egin{cases} |G| & \mathit{if}\ g = e \\ 0 & \mathit{if}\ g 
eq e \end{cases}$$

[6] [4] [1] [2] [5] [3]

## **Bibliography**

- [1] Alex Bartel. Introduction to representation theory of finite groups. https://math.berkeley.edu/~teleman/math/RepThry.pdf. Online; accessed 11 November 2015. 2014.
- [2] Keith Conrad. The Minimal Polynomial and Some Applications. http://www.math.uconn.edu/~kconrad/blurbs/linmultialg/minpolyandappns.pdf. Online; accessed 12 December 2015. 2014.
- [3] Robert Donley. *Introduction to Group Representations*. http://www.ureddit.com/class/34878/introduction-to-group-representations. Online; accessed 5 January 2015. 2012.
- [4] D.S. Dummit and R.M. Foote. *Abstract Algebra*. Wiley, 2003. ISBN: 9780471433347. URL: https://books.google.com/books?id=KJDBQgAACAAJ.
- [5] Daphne Kao. Representations of the Symmetric Group. http://www.math.uchicago.edu/~may/VIGRE/VIGRE2010/REUPapers/Kao.pdf. Online; accessed 5 January 2015. 2010.
- [6] Constantin Teleman. Representation Theory. https://math.berkeley.edu/~teleman/math/RepThry.pdf. Online; accessed 11 November 2015. 2005.