

# Character Tables for Representations of Finite Groups

Jared Stewart  
Advised by Dr. Calin Chindris

April 4, 2016

# Table of contents

- 1 Basics of Rep. Theory
  - Motivation and Definitions
  - Examples of Representations
- 2 Reducibility
  - Irreducible representations and complete reducibility
  - Maschke's Theorem
- 3 Schur's Lemma
  - Vector Spaces of Linear Maps
  - Schur's Lemma
- 4 Isotypical Decomp.
  - Isotypical decomposition
- 5 Duals and Tensors
  - Dual Spaces
  - Tensor Products
- 6 Character Theory
  - Basics
  - Inner products of characters

# Motivation

Groups arise naturally as sets of symmetries of some object which are closed under composition and taking inverses. For example,

- 1 The **symmetric group** of degree  $n$ ,  $S_n$ , is the group of all symmetries of the set  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ .
- 2 The **dihedral group** of order  $2n$ ,  $D_n$ , is the group of all symmetries of the regular  $n$ -gon in the plane.

In these two examples,  $S_n$  acts on the set  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $D_n$  acts on the regular  $n$ -gon in a natural manner. One may wonder more generally: Given an abstract group  $G$ , which objects  $X$  does  $G$  act on? This is the basic question of representation theory, which attempts to classify all such  $X$  up to isomorphism.

# Group Actions

## Definition

A **group action** of a group  $G$  on a set  $X$  is a map  $\rho: G \times X \rightarrow X$  (written as  $g \cdot x$ , for all  $g \in G$  and  $x \in X$ ) that satisfies the following two axioms:

$$1 \cdot x = x \qquad \qquad \qquad \forall x \in X \qquad (1)$$

$$(gh) \cdot x = g \cdot (h \cdot x) \qquad \qquad \forall g, h \in G, x \in X \qquad (2)$$

# The Definition of a Representation

## Definition

Let  $G$  be a group, let  $F$  be a field, and let  $V$  be a vector space over  $F$ . A **linear representation** of  $G$  is an action of  $G$  on  $V$  that preserves the linear structure of  $V$ , i.e. an action of  $G$  on  $V$  such that

$$g \cdot (v_1 + v_2) = g \cdot v_1 + g \cdot v_2 \quad \forall g \in G, v_1, v_2 \in V \quad (3)$$

$$g \cdot (kv) = k(g \cdot v) \quad \forall g \in G, v \in V, k \in F \quad (4)$$

## Definition (Alternative definition)

Let  $G$  be a group, let  $F$  be a field, and let  $V$  be a vector space over  $F$ . A **linear representation** of  $G$  is any group homomorphism

$$\rho: G \rightarrow GL(V).$$

## Proposition

*The two definitions we have given of a linear representation are equivalent.*

## Proof.

- ( $\rightarrow$ ) Suppose that we have a homomorphism  $\rho: G \rightarrow GL(V)$ . We can obtain a linear action of  $G$  on  $V$  by defining  $g \cdot v = \rho(g)(v)$ .
- ( $\leftarrow$ ) Suppose that we have a linear action of  $G$  on  $V$ . We obtain a homomorphism  $\rho: G \rightarrow GL(V)$  by defining  $\rho(g)(v) = g \cdot v$ .



# The Dimension of a Representation

## Definition

Let  $\rho: G \rightarrow GL(V)$  be a representation of  $G$ . The **dimension** of the representation is the dimension of the vector space  $V$ .

# Examples of Representations

## Example

Let  $V$  be an  $n$ -dimensional vector space. The map  $\rho: G \rightarrow GL(V)$  defined by  $\rho(g) = \text{Id}_V$  for all  $g \in G$  is a representation of  $G$  called the **trivial representation** of dimension  $n$ .



# Examples of Representations

## Example

If  $G$  is a finite group that acts on a finite set  $X$ , and  $F$  is any field, then there is an associated **permutation representation** on the vector space  $V$  over  $F$  with basis  $\{e_x : x \in X\}$ . We let  $G$  act on the basis elements by the permutation  $g \cdot e_x = e_{gx}$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $g \in G$ . This representation has dimension  $|X|$ .

# Examples of Representations

## Example

A special case of a permutation representation is that when a finite group acts on itself by left multiplication. We take the vector space  $V_{\text{reg}}$  which has a basis given by the formal symbols  $\{e_g | g \in G\}$ , and let  $h \in G$  act by

$$\rho_{\text{reg}}(h)(e_g) = e_{hg}.$$

This representation is called the **regular representation** of  $G$ , and has dimension  $|G|$ .

# Examples of Representations

## Example

For any symmetric group  $S_n$ , the **alternating representation** on  $\mathbb{C}$  is given by the map

$$\begin{aligned}\rho: S_n &\rightarrow GL(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^\times \\ \sigma &\mapsto \text{sgn}(\sigma).\end{aligned}$$

More generally, for any group  $G$  with a subgroup  $H$  of index 2, we can define an **alternating representation**  $\rho: G \rightarrow GL(\mathbb{C})$  by letting  $\rho(g) = 1$  if  $g \in H$  and  $\rho(g) = -1$  if  $g \notin H$ . (We recover our original example by taking  $G = S_n$  and  $H = A_n$ .)

# $G$ -linear maps

## Definition

A **homomorphism** between two representations  $\rho_1: G \rightarrow GL(V)$  and  $\rho_2: G \rightarrow GL(W)$  is a linear map  $\psi: V \rightarrow W$  that intertwines with the action of  $G$ , i.e. such that

$$\psi \circ \rho_1(g) = \rho_2(g) \circ \psi \quad \forall g \in G.$$

In this case, we also refer to  $\psi$  as a  **$G$ -linear map**.

## Definition

An **isomorphism** of representations is a  $G$ -linear map that is also invertible.

# Representations as matrices

## Example

Given any representation  $(\rho, V)$ , where  $V$  is a vector space of dimension  $n$  over the field  $K$ , we can fix a basis for  $V$  to obtain an isomorphism of vector spaces  $\psi: V \rightarrow K^n$ . This yields a representation  $\phi$  of  $G$  on  $K^n$  by defining

$$\phi(g) = \psi \circ \rho(g) \circ \psi^{-1}$$

for all  $g \in G$ . This representation is isomorphic to our original representation  $(\rho, V)$ . In particular, we can always choose to view complex  $n$ -dimensional representations of  $G$  as representations on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , where each  $\phi(g)$  is given by an  $n \times n$  matrix with entries in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

# Representations as matrices

## Example

Let  $G = \{(1), (123), (132)\} \subset S_3$ . Let  $V = \mathbb{C}^3$ . Then  $G$  acts on the standard basis by  $g \cdot e_i = e_{gi}$ . Thus, the permutation representation of  $G$  (with respect to the standard basis) is given by:

$$\rho((1)) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\rho((123)) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\rho((132)) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

## Example

Let  $G = C_2 \times C_2 = \langle \sigma, \tau | \sigma^2 = \tau^2 = e, \sigma\tau = \tau\sigma \rangle$  be the Klein four-group. Let  $V$  be the vector space with basis  $\{b_e, b_\sigma, b_\tau, b_{\sigma\tau}\}$ . Left multiplication by  $\sigma$  gives a permutation

$$b_e \mapsto b_\sigma$$

$$b_\sigma \mapsto b_e$$

$$b_\tau \mapsto b_{\sigma\tau}$$

$$b_{\sigma\tau} \mapsto b_\tau.$$

We can similarly compute  $\rho_{\text{reg}}(\tau)$ . Thus, in our basis, the regular representation  $\rho_{\text{reg}}: G \rightarrow GL(V)$  is given by the matrices

$$\rho_{\text{reg}}(\sigma) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \rho_{\text{reg}}(\tau) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

### Example (2-dim rep of $D_4$ .)

Let  $G = D_4 = \langle \sigma, \tau \mid \sigma^4 = \tau^2 = e, \tau\sigma\tau^{-1} = \sigma^{-1} \rangle$  be the symmetry group of the square.



### Example (2-dim rep of $D_4$ .)

Let  $G = D_4 = \langle \sigma, \tau \mid \sigma^4 = \tau^2 = e, \tau\sigma\tau^{-1} = \sigma^{-1} \rangle$  be the symmetry group of the square. Consider a square in the plane with vertices at  $(1, 1)$ ,  $(1, -1)$ ,  $(-1, -1)$ , and  $(-1, 1)$ .

### Example (2-dim rep of $D_4$ .)

Let  $G = D_4 = \langle \sigma, \tau \mid \sigma^4 = \tau^2 = e, \tau\sigma\tau^{-1} = \sigma^{-1} \rangle$  be the symmetry group of the square. Consider a square in the plane with vertices at  $(1, 1)$ ,  $(1, -1)$ ,  $(-1, -1)$ , and  $(-1, 1)$ . We let  $\sigma$  act on the square as a rotation by  $\frac{\pi}{2}$ , and let  $\tau$  act by reflection over the  $x$ -axis. This naturally gives rise to a linear action of  $G$  on all of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ .

### Example (2-dim rep of $D_4$ .)

Let  $G = D_4 = \langle \sigma, \tau \mid \sigma^4 = \tau^2 = e, \tau\sigma\tau^{-1} = \sigma^{-1} \rangle$  be the symmetry group of the square. Consider a square in the plane with vertices at  $(1, 1)$ ,  $(1, -1)$ ,  $(-1, -1)$ , and  $(-1, 1)$ . We let  $\sigma$  act on the square as a rotation by  $\frac{\pi}{2}$ , and let  $\tau$  act by reflection over the  $x$ -axis. This naturally gives rise to a linear action of  $G$  on all of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . Under the standard basis, we get the matrices:

$$\rho(e) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\rho(\tau) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\rho(\sigma) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\rho(\sigma\tau) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\rho(\sigma^2) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\rho(\sigma^2\tau) = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\rho(\sigma^3) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\rho(\sigma^3\tau) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

# Subrepresentations

## Definition

A **subrepresentation** of  $V$  is a  $G$ -invariant subspace  $W \subseteq V$ ; that is, a subspace  $W \subseteq V$  with the property that  $\rho(g)(w) \in W$  for all  $g \in G$  and  $w \in W$ . Note that  $W$  itself is a representation of  $G$  under the action  $\rho(g) \upharpoonright_W$ .

# Representations of $C^2$

## Example

Let  $G = C_2 = \langle \tau | \tau^2 = e \rangle$  be the cyclic group of order 2. The regular representation of  $G$  written in the standard basis is given by

$$\rho_{\text{reg}}(\tau) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and  $\rho_{\text{reg}}(e) = \text{Id}_2$ . Let  $\rho_{\text{sgn}}$  be the alternating representation of  $G$  on  $\mathbb{C}$ , i.e.

$$\rho_{\text{sgn}}: G \rightarrow GL_1(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}^\times$$

$$\tau \mapsto -1$$

$$e \mapsto 1.$$

# Representations of $C^2$

## Example (Cont.)

Let  $f: \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be the linear map represented by the matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ . Then for any  $x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} f \circ \rho_{\text{reg}}(\tau)(x) &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \rho_{\text{sgn}}(\tau) \circ f(x). \end{aligned}$$

Also note that  $f \circ \rho_{\text{reg}}(e) = \rho_{\text{sgn}}(e) \circ f$ . Thus  $f$  is a  $G$ -linear map from  $\rho_{\text{reg}}$  to  $\rho_{\text{sgn}}$  (i.e. a homomorphism of representations).

# Representations of $C^2$

## Example (Cont.)

Now let  $W$  be the subspace of  $\mathbb{C}^2$  spanned by the vector  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

Then

$$\rho_{\text{reg}}(\tau) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and  $\rho_{\text{reg}}(e) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , so  $W$  is a  $G$ -invariant subspace, i.e.  $W$  is a subrepresentation of  $\rho_{\text{reg}}$ . Note that  $W$  is precisely equal to the kernel of the map  $f$ , and that  $W$  is isomorphic to the 1-dimensional trivial representation of  $G$ .

## Example

We can generalize the  $G$ -invariant subspace from the previous example. Suppose we have a representation  $\rho: G \rightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ . If we can find a vector  $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$  which is an eigenvector for every matrix  $\rho(g)$ ,  $g \in G$ , i.e. an  $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$  such that

$$\rho(g)(x) = \lambda_g(x) \quad \forall g \in G$$

for some eigenvalues  $\lambda_g \in \mathbb{C}$ , then the span of  $x$  is a 1-dimensional  $G$ -invariant subspace of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . It is isomorphic to the 1-dimensional representation

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_2: G &\rightarrow GL_1(\mathbb{C}) \\ g &\mapsto \lambda_g. \end{aligned}$$



## Proposition

*Let  $f: V \rightarrow W$  be a homomorphism of representations of  $G$ . Then  $\text{Ker}(f)$  is a subrepresentation of  $V$  and  $\text{Im}(f)$  is a subrepresentation of  $W$ .*

## Proof.

- Let  $x \in \text{Ker}(f)$ . Then  $0 = g0 = gf(x) = f(gx)$  for every  $g \in G$ . So  $gx \in \text{Ker}(f)$  and  $\text{Ker}(f)$  is  $G$ -invariant.
- Now let  $w \in \text{Im}(f)$ . There exists  $v \in V$  such that  $w = f(v)$ , so  $gw = gf(v) = f(gv)$  for every  $g \in G$ . Thus  $gw \in \text{Im}(f)$ , and  $\text{Im}(f)$  is  $G$ -invariant.



# The direct sum of representations

## Note

We know from linear algebra that given two vector spaces  $V$  and  $W$ , we can form the **direct sum**  $V \oplus W$  consisting of ordered pairs  $(v, w)$  where  $v \in V, w \in W$ .

# The direct sum of representations

## Note

We know from linear algebra that given two vector spaces  $V$  and  $W$ , we can form the **direct sum**  $V \oplus W$  consisting of ordered pairs  $(v, w)$  where  $v \in V, w \in W$ .

## Definition

Let  $V$  and  $W$  be representations of  $G$ . Then  $V \oplus W$  admits a natural representation of  $G$ , called the **direct sum representation** of  $V$  and  $W$ , which we define by

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_{V \oplus W}: G &\rightarrow GL(V \oplus W) \\ \rho_{V \oplus W}(g): (x, y) &\mapsto (\rho_V(g)(x), \rho_W(g)(y)).\end{aligned}$$

# Irreducible representations and complete reducibility

## Definition

A representation is said to be **irreducible** if it has no subrepresentations other than the trivial subrepresentations  $0 \subset V$  and  $V \subset V$ . A representation is called **completely reducible** if it decomposes into a direct sum of irreducible representations. We sometimes write **irrep** as shorthand for irreducible representation.

## Note

- ① Any 1-dimensional representation  $V$  has no subspaces other than  $0$  and  $V$  itself, and is thus irreducible.
- ② Any irreducible representation is, in particular, completely reducible.

## Example (A 2-dimensional irrep)

Let  $G = D_3 = \langle \sigma, \tau | \sigma^3 = \tau^2 = e, \tau\sigma\tau^{-1} = \sigma^{-1} \rangle$ . (Note that  $D_3 \cong S_3$ ). Consider the regular triangle centered at the origin with vertices

$$(1, 0), \left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\right), \left(-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\right).$$

We can let  $\sigma$  act as rotation by  $\frac{2\pi}{3}$  and let  $\tau$  act as reflection over the  $x$ -axis to obtain an action of  $G$  on  $\mathbb{C}^2$  given (under the standard basis) by the matrices

$$\rho(\sigma) = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \\ \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\rho(\tau) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

## Example (A 2-dimensional irrep cont.)

Suppose  $\rho$  has a non-trivial subrepresentation  $W$ . We must have  $\dim W = 1$ . Since  $W$  is invariant under the action of both  $\rho(\sigma)$  and  $\rho(\tau)$ , there must be some mutual eigenvector for  $\rho(\sigma)$  and  $\rho(\tau)$  that spans  $W$ . The eigenvectors of  $\rho(\sigma)$  are

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -i \end{bmatrix} \quad (\lambda_1 = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}) \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ i \end{bmatrix} \quad (\lambda_2 = e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}}).$$

The eigenvectors of  $\rho(\tau)$  are

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (\lambda_1 = 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (\lambda_2 = -1).$$

Thus we see that there is no such  $W$ , and our representation is irreducible.

# Representations of finite abelian groups

## Theorem

*If  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_r$  are linear operators on  $V$  and each  $A_i$  is diagonalizable, then  $\{A_i\}$  are simultaneously diagonalizable if and only if they commute.*

# Representations of finite abelian groups

## Theorem

*Every complex representation of a finite abelian group is completely reducible into irreducible representations of dimension 1.*

## Proof.

Take an arbitrary element  $g \in G$ . Since  $G$  is finite, we can find an integer  $n$  such that  $g^n = 1$  and  $\rho(g)^n = Id$ . The minimal polynomial of  $\rho(g)$  divides  $x^n - 1$ , which has  $n$  distinct roots over  $\mathbb{C}$ , so it factors into linear factors only over  $\mathbb{C}$ , i.e.  $\rho(g)$  is diagonalizable. We conclude that each  $\rho(g)$  is (separately). Now, given any two elements  $g_1, g_2 \in G$  we have  $\rho(g_1)\rho(g_2) = \rho(g_2)\rho(g_1)$ . Since the matrices  $\{\rho(g)\}$  commute,  $\{\rho(g)\}$  are simultaneously diagonalizable, say with basis  $\{e_1, \dots, e_k\}$ . Then we have  $V = \mathbb{C}e_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}e_2 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{C}e_n$ , with each subspace  $\mathbb{C}e_i$  invariant under the action of  $G$ . □



## Definition

Let  $W$  be a subspace of  $V$ . A **linear projection**  $V$  onto  $W$  is a linear map  $f: V \rightarrow W$  such that  $f|_W = \text{Id}_W$ . If  $W$  is a subrepresentation of  $V$  and the map  $f$  is  $G$ -invariant, then we say that  $f$  is a  **$G$ -linear projection**.

## Lemma

*Let  $\rho: G \rightarrow GL(V)$  be a representation, and  $W \subset V$  be a subrepresentation. Suppose we have a  $G$ -linear projection*

$$f: V \rightarrow W.$$

*Then  $\text{Ker}(f)$  is a complementary subrepresentation to  $W$ , i.e.  $\text{Ker}(f)$  is a  $G$ -invariant subspace of  $V$  such that*

$$V = \text{Ker}(f) \oplus W$$

# Maschke's Theorem

## Theorem (Maschke's Theorem)

*Let  $G$  be a finite group and let  $F$  be a field such that  $\text{char}(F) \nmid |G|$ . If  $V$  is any finite dimensional representation of  $G$  over  $F$ , and  $W \subset V$  is a subrepresentation of  $V$ , then there exists a complementary subrepresentation  $U \subset V$  to  $W$ , i.e. there is a  $G$ -invariant subspace  $U \subset V$  such that*

$$V = W \oplus U.$$

# Maschke's Theorem

## Proof.

It will suffice to find a  $G$ -linear projection from  $V$  onto  $W$ . Fix a basis  $\{b_1, \dots, b_m\}$  for  $W$  and extend it to a basis  $\{b_1, \dots, b_m, b_{m+1}, \dots, b_n\}$  for  $V$ . Let  $U = \langle b_{m+1}, \dots, b_n \rangle$ . Then  $U$  is certainly a complementary subspace to  $W$ , and we have a natural projection  $f: W \oplus U \rightarrow W$  of  $V$  onto  $W$  with kernel  $U$ . There is no reason to think that  $f$  should be  $G$ -linear, but we can fix this by averaging over  $G$ . Define  $\tilde{f}: V \rightarrow V$  by

$$\tilde{f}(x) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} (\rho(g) \circ f \circ \rho(g^{-1}))(x).$$

We claim that  $\tilde{f}$  is a  $G$ -linear projection from  $V$  onto  $W$ .

# Maschke's Theorem

Proof.

First we check that  $\text{Im}(\tilde{f}) \subset W$ . If  $x \in V$  and  $g \in G$ , then

$$f(\rho(g^{-1})(x)) \in W$$

and so

$$\rho(g)(f(\rho(g^{-1})(x))) \in W$$

since  $W$  is  $G$ -invariant. Thus

$$\tilde{f}(x) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} (\rho(g) \circ f \circ \rho(g^{-1}))(x) \in W.$$

# Maschke's Theorem

## Proof.

Next we check that  $\tilde{f}|_W = \text{Id}_W$ . Let  $y \in W$ . For any  $g \in G$ , we know that  $\rho(g^{-1})(y)$  is also in  $W$ , so  $f(\rho(g^{-1})(y)) = \rho(g^{-1})(y)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{f}(y) &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \rho(g)(f(\rho(g^{-1})(y))) \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \rho(g)(\rho(g^{-1})(y)) \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} (y) = \frac{|G|y}{|G|} = y\end{aligned}$$

so indeed  $\tilde{f}$  is a linear projection of  $V$  onto  $W$ .

# Maschke's Theorem

## Proof.

Finally, we check that  $\tilde{f}$  is  $G$ -linear. If  $x \in V$  and  $h \in G$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}(\tilde{f} \circ \rho(h))(x) &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} (\rho(g) \circ f \circ \rho(g^{-1}) \circ \rho(h))(x) \\&= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} (\rho(g) \circ f \circ \rho(g^{-1}h))(x) \\&= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} (\rho(hg) \circ f \circ \rho(g^{-1}))(x) \quad (g \mapsto hg) \\&= (\rho(h) \circ \tilde{f})(x).\end{aligned}$$



## Corollary

Let  $G$  be a finite group and let  $F$  be a field such that  $\text{char}(F) \nmid |G|$ . then any finite-dimensional representation of  $G$  over  $F$  is completely reducible.

## Proof.

Let  $V$  be a representation of  $G$  over  $F$  of dimension  $n$ . If  $V$  is irreducible, then  $V$  is, in particular, completely reducible. If not, then  $V$  contains a proper subrepresentation  $W \subset V$ . From Maschke's Theorem, we know there exists a subrepresentation  $U \subset V$  such that

$$V = W \oplus U. \quad (5)$$

Both  $W$  and  $U$  have dimension less than  $n$ , so by induction we know that  $W$  and  $U$  are completely reducible. We deduce that  $V$  is completely reducible. □

## Example

Recall that for  $G = C_2$ , we found a 1-dim subrepresentation

$$W = \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \subset V_{\text{reg}} = \mathbb{C}^2.$$

We know a complementary subrepresentation to  $W$  exists by Machke's Theorem, so let's try to find one. Consider

$$U = \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle \subset V_{\text{reg}}.$$

Then

$$\rho_{\text{reg}}(\tau) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

so  $U$  is  $G$ -invariant. We see that  $V = W \oplus U$ , since  $W \cap U = \{0\}$  and  $\dim U + \dim W = 2 = \dim V$ . (Note  $U$  is isomorphic to the alternating representation  $\rho_{\text{sgn}}$ .)



## Definition

Let  $V$  and  $W$  be vector spaces. Recall that the set  $\mathbf{Hom}(V, W)$  of linear maps from  $V$  to  $W$  itself form a vector space where we define the addition of vectors by

$$\begin{aligned}(f_1 + f_2): V &\rightarrow W \\ x &\mapsto f_1(x) + f_2(x)\end{aligned}$$

for  $f_1, f_2 \in \mathbf{Hom}(V, W)$  and scalar multiplication for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  by

$$\begin{aligned}(\lambda f_1): V &\rightarrow W \\ x &\mapsto \lambda f_1(x).\end{aligned}$$

## Proposition

*Suppose we have representations  $\rho_V: G \rightarrow GL(V)$  and  $\rho_W: G \rightarrow GL(W)$  of  $G$ . Then there is a natural representation of  $G$  on the vector space  $\text{Hom}(V, W)$  given for all  $g \in G$  by*

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{\text{Hom}(V, W)}(g): \text{Hom}(V, W) &\rightarrow \text{Hom}(V, W) \\ f &\mapsto \rho_W(g) \circ f \circ \rho_V(g^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

## Proof (sketch).

- ①  $\rho_{\text{Hom}(V, W)}(g)(f) \in \text{Hom}(V, W)$  since the composition of linear maps is linear.
- ② For every  $g \in G$ ,  $\rho_{\text{Hom}(V, W)}(g)$  is invertible.
- ③ The map  $g \mapsto \rho_{\text{Hom}(V, W)}(g)$  is a homomorphism.



## Definition

Let  $V$  and  $W$  be two representations of  $G$ . The set of  $G$ -linear maps from  $V$  to  $W$  forms a subspace of  $\text{Hom}(V, W)$ , which we denote by  $\mathbf{Hom}_G(V, W)$ . In other words,  $\text{Hom}_G(V, W)$  is the vector space consisting of all *homomorphisms of representations* between  $V$  and  $W$ .

## Definition

Let  $\rho: G \rightarrow GL(V)$  be a representation. We define the **invariant subrepresentation**  $V^G \subset V$  to be the set

$$\{v \in V \mid \rho(g)(v) = v, \quad \forall g \in G\}.$$

## Proposition

Let  $\rho_V: G \rightarrow GL(V)$  and  $\rho_W: G \rightarrow GL(W)$  be representations of  $G$ . Then the subrepresentation

$$\text{Hom}_G(V, W) \subset \text{Hom}(V, W)$$

is precisely the invariant subrepresentation  $\text{Hom}(V, W)^G$  of  $\text{Hom}(V, W)$ .

## Proof.

Let  $f \in \text{Hom}(V, W)$ . Then  $f \in \text{Hom}(V, W)^G$  iff we have

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \rho_{\text{Hom}(V, W)}(g)(f) \quad \forall g \in G \\ \iff f &= \rho_W(g) \circ f \circ \rho_V(g^{-1}) \quad \forall g \in G \\ \iff f \circ \rho_V(g) &= \rho_W(g) \circ f \quad \forall g \in G \end{aligned}$$

which is exactly the condition that  $f$  is  $G$ -linear. □

## Theorem (Schur's Lemma over $\mathbb{C}$ .)

*If  $V$  is an irreducible representation of  $G$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ , then every linear operator  $\phi: V \rightarrow V$  commuting with  $G$  is a scalar.*

### Proof.

Let  $\phi: V \rightarrow V$  be a linear operator commuting with  $G$ , and let  $\lambda$  be an eigenvalue of  $\phi$ . Observe that the eigenspace  $E_\lambda$  is  $G$ -invariant: If  $v \in E_\lambda$ , then  $\phi(v) = \lambda v$ . This implies that  $\phi(gv) = g\phi(v) = g(\lambda v) = \lambda(gv)$ , i.e.  $gv \in E_\lambda$ . Since  $g$  was arbitrary,  $E_\lambda$  is indeed  $G$ -invariant. Now  $E_\lambda \neq 0$ , so since  $V$  is irreducible,  $E_\lambda = V$ . Thus  $\phi = \lambda \text{Id}$ . □

## Corollary

Suppose  $V$  and  $W$  are irreducible. The space  $\text{Hom}_G(V, W)$  is 1-dimensional if the representations are isomorphic, and in this case any non-zero map is an isomorphism. Otherwise,  $\text{Hom}_G(V, W) = \{0\}$ .

## Proof.

Suppose  $\text{Hom}_G(V, W) \neq \{0\}$  and let  $\phi \in \text{Hom}_G(V, W)$ . We have seen  $\ker(\phi)$  and  $\text{im}(\phi)$  are both  $G$ -invariant. Irreducibility yields  $\ker(\phi) = 0$  or  $V$  and  $\text{im}(\phi) = 0$  or  $W$  as the only possibilities. Since  $\phi \neq 0$ , then  $\ker(\phi) = 0$ ,  $\text{im}(\phi) = W$ , and  $\phi$  is an isomorphism. Let  $\psi$  be another nonzero intertwining operator from  $V$  to  $W$ . Then  $\phi^{-1} \circ \psi \in \text{Hom}_G(V, V)$ . We can apply Schur's Lemma over  $\mathbb{C}$  to see that  $\phi^{-1} \circ \psi = \lambda \text{id}$ , hence  $\psi = \lambda \phi$ . So  $\phi$  spans  $\text{Hom}_G(V, W)$ . □

## Proposition

*Let  $V$  and  $W$  be irreducible representations of  $G$ . Then*

$$\dim \operatorname{Hom}_G(V, W) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } V \text{ and } W \text{ are isomorphic} \\ 0 & \text{if } V \text{ and } W \text{ are not isomorphic} \end{cases}$$

## Proof.

Suppose  $V$  and  $W$  are not isomorphic. Then the Corollary to Schur's Lemma states that the only  $G$ -linear map from  $V$  to  $W$  is the zero map, hence  $\operatorname{Hom}_G(V, W) = \{0\}$ .

On the other hand, suppose that  $f: V \rightarrow W$  is an isomorphism. Then for any  $h \in \operatorname{Hom}_G(V, W)$ , we have  $f^{-1} \circ h \in \operatorname{Hom}_G(V, V)$ . By Schur's Lemma,  $f^{-1} \circ h = \lambda \operatorname{Id}_V$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , i.e.  $h = \lambda f$ . Thus  $f$  spans  $\operatorname{Hom}_G(V, W)$ . □

## Proposition

Let  $\rho: G \rightarrow GL(V)$  be a representation, let

$$V = U_1 \oplus \dots \oplus U_s$$

be a decomposition of  $V$  into irreps, and let  $W$  be any irrep of  $G$ . Then the number of irreps in the set  $\{U_1, \dots, U_s\}$  which are isomorphic to  $W$  equals the dimension of  $\text{Hom}_G(V, W)$ .

## Lemma

If  $U, V$ , and  $W$  are representations of  $G$ , then there are natural isomorphisms

- $\text{Hom}_G(V, U \oplus W) = \text{Hom}_G(V, U) \oplus \text{Hom}_G(V, W)$
- $\text{Hom}_G(U \oplus W, V) = \text{Hom}_G(U, V) \oplus \text{Hom}_G(W, V)$



**Proof.**

We use the previous proposition to see that the number of irreps in the set  $\{U_1, \dots, U_s\}$  which are isomorphic to  $W$  is equal to

$$\sum_{i=1}^s \dim \operatorname{Hom}_G(U_i, W).$$

Then

$$\operatorname{Hom}_G(V, W) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s \operatorname{Hom}_G(U_i, W).$$

by our lemma, so taking the dimension of both sides yields

$$\dim \operatorname{Hom}_G(V, W) = \sum_{i=1}^s \dim \operatorname{Hom}_G(U_i, W).$$



## Theorem (Uniqueness of decomposition into irreducibles.)

Let  $\rho: G \rightarrow GL(V)$  be a representation, and let

$$V = U_1 \oplus \dots \oplus U_s$$

$$V = \widetilde{U}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \widetilde{U}_r$$

be two decompositions of  $V$  into irreducible subrepresentations. Then  $s = r$ , and (after reordering if necessary)  $U_i$  and  $\widetilde{U}_i$  are isomorphic for every  $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ .

## Proof.

For any irrep  $W$  of  $G$ , the number of irreps in either decomposition that are isomorphic to  $W$  is equal to  $\dim \operatorname{Hom}_G(V, W)$ . So the two decompositions contain the same number of factors isomorphic to  $W$  for any irrep  $W$  of  $G$ . □

# The Dual Space

## Definition

Let  $V$  be a vector space. Recall that we define the **dual vector space** to be

$$V^* = \text{Hom}(V, \mathbb{C}).$$

If we fix a basis  $\{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$  for  $V$ , then the **dual basis**  $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$  for  $V^*$  is defined by

$$f_i(b_j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

# The Dual Representation

## Definition

Let  $\rho_V: G \rightarrow GL(V)$  be a representation of  $G$ . Then we have seen that  $V^*$  carries a representation of  $G$  defined by

$$\rho_{\text{Hom}(V, \mathbb{C})}(g)(f) = f \circ \rho_V(g^{-1})$$

We call this the **dual representation** to  $\rho_V$ , and denote it by  $\rho_V^*$ .

## Proposition

*If we fix a basis for  $V$ , then  $\rho_{V^*}(g)$  is given by the matrix*

$$(\rho_V(g^{-1}))^T$$

*with respect to the dual basis.*

## Definition

Suppose  $V$  and  $W$  are two vector spaces over a field  $K$ . Then we define a new vector space called the **tensor product** of  $V$  and  $W$ , denoted by  $V \otimes_K W$ . This space is the quotient of the free vector space on  $V \times W$  (with basis given by formal symbols  $v \otimes w, v \in V, w \in W$ ), by the subspace  $D$  spanned by all elements of the form

$$\begin{aligned}(v_1 + v_2, w) - (v_1, w) - (v_2, w) \\ (v, w_1 + w_2) - (v, w_1) - (v, w_2) \\ (k \cdot v, w) - (v, k \cdot w)\end{aligned}$$

for  $v, v_1, v_2 \in V, w, w_1, w_2 \in W$ , and  $k \in K$ . When the ground field  $K$  is clear it can be omitted from the notation. The elements of  $V \otimes W$  are called **tensors**, and the coset  $v \otimes w$  of  $(v, w)$  in  $V \otimes W$  is called a **simple tensor**.

## Definition

We can define a representation of  $G$  on  $V \otimes W$  called the **tensor product representation**. We define

$$\rho_{V \otimes W}(g): V \otimes W \rightarrow V \otimes W$$

to be the linear map given by

$$\rho_{V \otimes W}(g): a_i \otimes b_j \mapsto \rho_V(g)(a_i) \otimes \rho_W(g)(b_j).$$

## Proposition

*Let  $V$  and  $W$  be representations of  $G$ . Then  $V \otimes W$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Hom}(V^*, W)$ .*

## Note

Let  $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  be a basis for  $V$ , let  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  be the corresponding dual basis for  $V^*$ , and let  $\{b_1, \dots, b_m\}$  be a basis for  $W$ . Then  $\text{Hom}(V^*, W)$  has a basis  $\{f_{it} | 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq t \leq m\}$  where

$$f_{it}(\alpha_j) = \begin{cases} b_t & \text{if } j = i \\ 0 & \text{if } j \neq i \end{cases}$$

Let  $M$  and  $N$  denote the matrices which describe  $\rho_V(g)$  and  $\rho_W(g)$  in the given bases. If we write  $\rho_W(g) \circ f_{it} \circ \rho_{V^*}(g^{-1})$  in terms of the basis  $\{f_{js}\}$ , we have

$$\rho_W(g) \circ f_{it} \circ \rho_{V^*}(g^{-1}) = \sum_{\substack{j \in [1, n] \\ s \in [1, m]}} M_{ji} N_{st} f_{js}$$

# The definition of a Character

## Definition

The **character** of a representation  $\rho: G \rightarrow GL(V)$  is the function

$$\chi_V: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

defined by

$$\chi_V(g) = \text{Tr}(\rho(g)).$$

## Note

The character of a representation is not a homomorphism in general, since  $\text{Tr}(MN) \neq \text{Tr}(M)\text{Tr}(N)$  in general.



# Basic properties of Characters

## Proposition

*Let  $V$  be a representation of  $G$ .*

- $\chi_V$  is conjugation invariant:  $\chi_V(hgh^{-1}) = \chi_V(g) \quad \forall g, h \in G$ .
- $\chi_V(e) = \dim V$ .
- $\chi_V(g^{-1}) = \overline{\chi_V(g)} \quad \forall g \in G$ .
- $\chi_{V^*}(g) = \overline{\chi_V(g)} \quad \forall g \in G$ .

## Proposition

*Let  $V$  and  $W$  be representations of  $G$ .*

- $\chi_{V \oplus W} = \chi_V + \chi_W$ .
- $\chi_{V \otimes W} = \chi_V \cdot \chi_W$ .

## Proposition

*Isomorphic representations have the same character.*

## Proof.

Isomorphic representations can be described by the same set of matrices with the right choice of bases. Thus each  $\rho(g)$  has the same trace. □

## Definition

Let  $\mathbb{C}^G$  denote the vector space of all functions from  $G$  to  $\mathbb{C}$ . A basis for  $\mathbb{C}^G$  is given by the set of functions

$$\{\delta_g | g \in G\}$$

defined by

$$\delta_g: h \mapsto \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } h = g \\ 0 & \text{if } h \neq g. \end{cases}$$

## Definition

Let  $\varphi, \psi \in \mathbb{C}^G$ . We define a **hermetian inner product** on  $\mathbb{C}^G$  by

$$\langle \varphi | \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \varphi(g) \overline{\psi(g)}.$$

# Inner product of Characters

## Theorem

*Let  $\rho_V: G \rightarrow GL(V)$  and  $\rho_W: G \rightarrow GL(W)$  be representations of  $G$ , and let  $\chi_V, \chi_W$  be their characters. Then*

$$\langle \chi_W | \chi_V \rangle = \dim \operatorname{Hom}_G(V, W).$$

## Corollary

Let  $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_r$  be characters of pairwise non-isomorphic irreducible representations of  $G$ . Then

$$\langle \chi_i | \chi_j \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases}$$

## Proof.

Let  $\chi_i$  and  $\chi_j$  be the characters of the irreducible representations  $U_i, U_j$ . Then

$$\langle \chi_i | \chi_j \rangle = \dim \operatorname{Hom}_G(U_i, U_j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } U_i, U_j \text{ are isomorphic} \\ 0 & \text{if } U_i, U_j \text{ are not isomorphic.} \end{cases}$$



## Corollary

Let  $\chi$  be any character of  $G$ . Then  $\chi$  is irreducible if and only if

$$\langle \chi | \chi \rangle = 1$$

## Proof.

Write  $\chi$  as a linear combination of irreducible characters

$$\chi = m_1\chi_1 + \dots + m_k\chi_k$$

where each  $m_i$  is a non-negative integer. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \chi | \chi \rangle &= \sum_{i,j \in [1,k]} m_i m_j \langle \chi_i | \chi_j \rangle \\ &= m_1^2 + \dots + m_k^2. \end{aligned}$$

So  $\langle \chi | \chi \rangle = 1$  if and only if exactly one of the  $m_i = 1$  and the rest are 0. □

## Example

Let  $G = D_4 = \langle \sigma, \tau | \sigma^4 = \tau^2 = e, \tau\sigma\tau^{-1} = \sigma^{-1} \rangle$ . Recall the two dimensional representation  $W$  of  $D_4$  given earlier. We compute the character of this representation by taking the trace of the matrices from that example:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \chi_W(e) = 2 & \chi_W(\tau) = 0 \\ \chi_W(\sigma) = 0 & \chi_W(\sigma\tau) = 0 \\ \chi_W(\sigma^2) = -2 & \chi_W(\sigma^2\tau) = 0 \\ \chi_W(\sigma^3) = 0 & \chi_W(\sigma^3\tau) = 0. \end{array}$$

Then

$$\langle \chi_W | \chi_W \rangle = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi_W(g) \overline{\chi_W(g)} = \frac{1}{8}(4 + 4) = 1$$

so we conclude that  $W$  is irreducible.

# Proof that $\langle \chi_W | \chi_V \rangle = \dim \operatorname{Hom}_G(V, W)$

## Lemma

Let  $\rho: G \rightarrow GL(V)$  be any representation. Consider the linear map

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi: V &\rightarrow V \\ x &\mapsto \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \rho(g)(x). \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\Psi$  is a projection from  $V$  onto the invariant subspace  $V^G$ .

## Lemma

Let  $V$  be a vector space with subspace  $U \subset V$ , and let  $\pi: V \rightarrow V$  be a projection onto  $U$ . Then

$$\operatorname{Tr}(\pi) = \dim U.$$



# Proof that $\langle \chi_W | \chi_V \rangle = \dim \operatorname{Hom}_G(V, W)$

## Proof.

We have seen that

$$\operatorname{Hom}_G(V, W) = \operatorname{Hom}(V, W)^G \subset \operatorname{Hom}(V, W).$$

By the first Lemma, we have a projection

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi: \operatorname{Hom}(V, W) &\rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(V, W)^G \\ f &\mapsto \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \rho_{\operatorname{Hom}(V, W)}(g)(f). \end{aligned}$$

We claim that

$$\operatorname{Tr}(\Psi) = \langle \chi_W | \chi_V \rangle.$$

Once this claim is established, then the theorem will follow from our second Lemma, since  $\operatorname{Tr}(\Psi) = \dim \operatorname{Hom}_G(V, W)$ .

# Proof that $\langle \chi_W | \chi_V \rangle = \dim \operatorname{Hom}_G(V, W)$

## Proof.

We proceed by calculating  $\operatorname{Tr}(\Psi)$ . Fix bases  $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  for  $V$  and  $\{b_1, \dots, b_m\}$  for  $W$ . Then  $\operatorname{Hom}(V, W)$  has an associated basis

$$\{f_{ji} | 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m\}$$

where

$$f_{ji}(a_i) = \begin{cases} b_j & \text{if } i = j \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

We may calculate  $\operatorname{Tr}(\Psi)$  as follows: For each  $i, j$ , compute the expression of  $\Psi(f_{ji})$  in this basis, and take the coefficient of the basis element  $f_{ji}$ . This is a diagonal entry in the matrix for  $\Psi$ . Summing these values over all  $i$  and  $j$  will gives us  $\operatorname{Tr}(\Psi)$ .

# Proof that $\langle \chi_W | \chi_V \rangle = \dim \operatorname{Hom}_G(V, W)$

## Proof.

Let  $\widetilde{\rho}_V, \widetilde{\rho}_W$  be the matrix representations obtained by writing  $\rho_V$  and  $\rho_W$  in the given bases. We have seen that

$$\operatorname{Hom}(V, W) = V^* \otimes W$$

and if we write  $\rho_{\operatorname{Hom}(V, W)}$  in the basis  $\{f_{ji}\}$  then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{\operatorname{Hom}(V, W)}(g)(f_{ji}) &= \rho_W(g) \circ f_{ji} \circ \rho_V(g^{-1}) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{k \in [1, n] \\ t \in [1, m]}} \widetilde{\rho}_V(g^{-1})_{ik} \widetilde{\rho}_W(g)_{tj} f_{kt}. \end{aligned}$$

# Proof that $\langle \chi_W | \chi_V \rangle = \dim \operatorname{Hom}_G(V, W)$

Proof.

Now

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi(f_{ji}) &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \rho_{\operatorname{Hom}(V, W)}(g)(f) \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{\substack{k \in [1, n] \\ t \in [1, m]}} \widetilde{\rho}_V(g^{-1})_{ik} \widetilde{\rho}_W(g)_{tj} f_{kt}.\end{aligned}$$

The coefficient of  $f_{ji}$  in this expression is

$$\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \widetilde{\rho}_V(g^{-1})_{ii} \widetilde{\rho}_W(g)_{jj}.$$

(This is a diagonal entry of  $\Psi$ .)

# Proof that $\langle \chi_W | \chi_V \rangle = \dim \operatorname{Hom}_G(V, W)$

Proof.

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 \operatorname{Tr}(\Psi) &= \sum_{\substack{k \in [1, n] \\ t \in [1, m]}} \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \widetilde{\rho}_V(g^{-1})_{ii} \widetilde{\rho}_W(g)_{jj} \\
 &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \widetilde{\rho}_V(g^{-1})_{ii} \right) \left( \sum_{j=1}^m \widetilde{\rho}_W(g)_{jj} \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi_V(g^{-1}) \chi_W(g) \\
 &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi_W(g) \overline{\chi_V}(g) = \langle \chi_W | \chi_V \rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$



## Corollary

Let  $V$  and  $W$  be representations of  $G$ . Then  $V$  and  $W$  are isomorphic if and only if  $\chi_V = \chi_W$ .

## Proof.

Suppose  $\chi_V = \chi_W$ . We can find non-negative integers  $m_i$  and  $l_j$  such that

$$V = U_1^{m_1} \oplus \dots \oplus U_r^{m_r} \quad \text{and} \quad W = U_1^{l_1} \oplus \dots \oplus U_r^{l_r}$$

where  $U_1, \dots, U_r$  are distinct irreps of  $G$ . Then

$$\chi_V = m_1\chi_1 + \dots + m_r\chi_r \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_W = l_1\chi_1 + \dots + l_r\chi_r.$$

It follows that

$$m_i = \langle \chi_V | \chi_i \rangle = \langle \chi_W | \chi_i \rangle = l_i$$

for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$  since  $\chi_V = \chi_W$ .



## Lemma

$$\chi_{\text{reg}}(g) = \begin{cases} |G| & \text{if } g = e \\ 0 & \text{if } g \neq e \end{cases}$$

## Proposition

*The multiplicity of any irreducible representation in the regular representation equals its dimension.*

## Proof.

Let  $V$  be an irreducible representation of  $G$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \chi_{\text{reg}}, \chi_V \rangle &= \frac{1}{|G|} \chi_{\text{reg}}(e) \overline{\chi_V(e)} \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} |G| (\dim V) = \dim V. \end{aligned}$$



## Corollary

There are finitely many irreducible representations of  $G$ , up to isomorphism.

## Corollary

Let  $U_1, \dots, U_r$  be the irreducible representations of  $G$  with degrees  $d_1, \dots, d_r$ . Then

$$|G| = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i^2$$



## Definition

A **class function** on  $G$  is a function on  $G$  whose values are invariant by conjugation of elements in  $G$ .

## Note

The character  $\chi_V$  of a representation  $V$  of  $G$  is a class function on  $G$ . To find the inner product of  $\chi_V$  and  $\chi_W$ , we just need to calculate  $\chi$  once on each conjugacy class, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \chi_V | \chi_W \rangle &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \rho_V(g) \overline{\rho_W(g)} \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{[g]} |[g]| \rho_V(g) \overline{\rho_W(g)}\end{aligned}$$

where the latter sum ranges over the conjugacy classes  $[g]$  of  $G$ .

## Definition

We define **the character table of  $G$**  to be the table of complex numbers whose:

- rows are index by the isomorphism classes of irreducible representations of  $G$ ,
- columns are indexed by the conjugacy classes of  $G$ ,
- $i, j$  entry is given by value of the character corresponding to row  $i$  evaluated at the isomorphism class corresponding to column  $j$ .

# Character table of $D_3$

## Example

Consider  $G = D_3 = \langle \sigma, \tau | \sigma^3 = \tau^2 = e, \tau\sigma\tau^{-1} = \sigma^{-1} \rangle$ . We have seen three irreducible representations of  $D_3$ , namely the 1-dimensional trivial representation, the 1-dimensional alternating representation, and the 2-dimensional irreducible representation  $W$  constructed geometrically. Observe that

$$|D_3| = 6 = 1^2 + 1^2 + 2^2$$

so these are all of the irreducible representations of  $D_3$  up to isomorphism.

# Character table of $D_3$

## Example

The conjugacy classes of  $D_3$  are  $\{e\}$ ,  $\{\sigma, \sigma^2\}$ , and  $\{\tau, \tau\sigma, \tau\sigma^2\}$ . Thus, the character table of  $D_3$  is given by

Character table of $D_3$			
Conjugacy class representative $[g]$	$[e]$	$[\tau]$	$[\sigma]$
$\chi_1$ (1-d trivial reprn)	1	1	1
$\chi_{\text{sgn}}$ (1-d sign reprn)	1	-1	1
$\chi_W$ (2-d reprn obtained geometrically)	2	0	-1

# Character Table of $D_4$

## Example

Let  $G = D_4$ . Let  $U_1, \dots, U_r$  be the irreducible representations of  $D_4$ , with dimensions  $d_1, \dots, d_r$  respectively, and let  $U_1$  be the 1-dimensional trivial representation. Then

$$d_2^2 + \dots + d_r^2 = |G| - d_1^2 = 8 - 1 = 7.$$

There are two possibilities:

1.  $r = 8$ , and  $d_i = 1$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq 8$ .
2. or  $r = 5$ , and  $d_2 = d_3 = d_4 = 1$ ,  $d_5 = 2$ .

We saw earlier that  $G$  has a two-dimensional irreducible representation, so in fact (2) holds.

# Character Table of $D_4$

## Example

The remaining 1-dimensional representations are easy to find, since they must satisfy the relations  $\rho(\sigma)^2 = 1$  and  $\rho(\tau)^2 = 1$ . Thus the character table for  $D_4$  is as follows:

Character table of $D_4$					
Conjugacy class	$\{1\}$	$\{\sigma, \sigma^3\}$	$\{\sigma^2\}$	$\{\tau, \sigma^2\tau\}$	$\{\sigma\tau, \sigma^3\tau\}$
$\chi_1$	1	1	1	1	1
$\chi_2$	1	1	1	-1	-1
$\chi_3$	1	-1	1	1	-1
$\chi_4$	1	-1	1	-1	1
$\chi_W$ (2-d reprn)	2	0	-2	0	0