

GGN: 4050373422691

Registration number of producer/ producer group (from CB):

GLOBALG.A.P. RISK ASSESSMENT ON SOCIAL PRACTICE (GRASP)

PROOF OF ASSESSMENT

According to

GRASP General Rules V1.3-1-i July 2020

Option 2

Issued to

Producer Group JAY AGRO EXPORT

9, Bhavik Appartment Near Prasad Mangal Karyalay, Gangapur Road, ,, 422013 Nasik, India

The Annex contains details of the GRASP results (and the covered producer group members).

The Certification Body Bioagricert srl declares that the producer group mentioned on this proof has been assessed according to the GLOBALG.A.P. Risk Assessment on Social Practice Version 1.3-1-i July 2020.

GLOBALG.A.P. RISK ASSESSMENT ON SOCIAL PRACTICE (GRASP) - PROOF OF ASSESSMENT

GLOBALG.A.P.-certified products covered by GRASP:

Products	Assessment Number	Product Handling	Remote Assessment	Employee Interview	No. of GRASP internally assessed producers	Total number of group members
Grape (table)	00120-FXNTH-0002	Yes	N/A	Yes	21	50
Onion	00120-FXNTH-0002	Yes	N/A	Yes	1	1
Total:				22	5	51

1. Overall assessment result: Fully compliant

Fully compliant

Fully compliant

2. QMS result: Fully compliant

3. Assessment result in detail:

Control Point 1

Control Point 10

Control Point 2 Fully compliant Control Point 3 Fully compliant Control Point 4 Fully compliant Fully compliant Control Point 5 Control Point 6 Fully compliant Control Point 7 Fully compliant Fully compliant Control Point 8 Control Point 9 Not applicable

Control Point 11 Fully compliant

GGN: 4050373422691

Date of Assessment: 10-04-2023

Date of Upload: 11-05-2023

Validity: 10-04-2023 - 08-04-2024 (depending on GLOBALG.A.P. certificate validity)

The actual status of this proof is always displayed at: https://database.globalgap.org



GLOBALG.A.P. RISK ASSESSMENT ON SOCIAL PRACTICE

GRASP Checklist - Version 1.3-1-i

Checklist Producer Group (Option 2)

Valid from: July 2020

Mandatory from: October 2020



1. CERTIFICATE HOLDER REGISTR	ATION DATA								
Producer Group GGN/GLN:*	4050373422691		Registration N°:						
Company name:*	JAY AGRO EXPORT		Address:*			9, BHAVIK APARTMENT NEAR PRASAD MANGAL KARYALAY,GANGAPUR ROAD,NASIK-422013			
Telephone:*	9322455937								
Email:			Fax:						
Assessment date:*	10/04/2023	/04/2023 Contact person:*				Ravindra G	Gunjal		
Previous assessment date(s):									
Does the producer group have any other e	external audits or certification covering	social practices?	? If yes, which?						
Standard 1:	Standard 2:		Standard 3:			Standard 4	:		
Valid to:	Valid to:		Valid to:			Valid to:			
Has the Certification Body detected any sign	gnificant breach of legal requirements of	concerning labor	r conditions?				YES	[NO NO
Has the Certification Body reported this fin	ding to the local/national responsible a	ind competent a	uthority?				YES	[NO NO
Comments:									
Company description: Jay Agro Export is s Samarth Agro Export. Total sample is of 4 square root of the total number of workers. wages and one holiday in a week has been	farmers and 1 facility for GRASP. Inte Sufficient time (according to set proto-	erviews has beer col for high- risk	n conducted for the countries) has been	workers at far n used to inte	m as well as pa	ck house leve	el with docun	nent che	eck of 50% of
		YEAR							
Total number of producer group members	participating in GRASP:		50						
Total number of producer group members	ertificate:	50							
Total number of externally assessed GRAS	SP producer group members:		4						

* Mandatory field

List the C	st the GLOBALG.A.P. Numbers (GGN) or Global Location Number (GLN) of the externally assessed GRASP producer group members:											
4056186	184597	4063651565577	4063061778048	4063651138535	4063061862471							
Are prod	uce handli	ng (PH) facilities in	cluded in the GRAS	SP assessment?		Y	YES		NO			
	Is produce handling sub-contracted?				YES	\checkmark	NO					
Does the p		produce handling	facility(ies) have an	y social standards ir	mplemented?	☐ YES		Y	NO	If yes, which?		
					If yes:	Name of	the PH c	ompany:		Jay Agro Export		
					GGN/GLI	N of the F	PH compa	ny (if applicable):	4050373422691			
Name an	nd location	of the assessed P	H Facilities:									
PH Facili	ity 1		RTH AGRO EXPOR AL-DINDORI,DIST-			PH Facil	ity 4					
PH Facili	ity 2					PH Facil	ity 5					
PH Facili	ity 3					PH Facil	ity 6					
Does the	company	subcontract any o	ther activities?			Y	YES] NO			
If yes, wh	nich one?					Are the s	ubcontract	ted activi	ties includ	ed in the GRASP as	ssessment?	
	Pest and rodent control			YES	9	NO NO						
		Crop	protection				YES] NO			
		Harv	est				YES] NO			
		Othe	rs (please specify):	NA			YES] NO			

2. STRUCTURE OF EMPLOYMENT										
Month(s) of peak season (if applicable):	DECEMBER	ECEMBER TO APRIL					% of employees living in accommodation provided by the company (if applicable):		30	
Nationalities of employees INDIAN										
Total number of employees	Local Cro		Cross-Border I	Cross-Border Migrants			National Migrants			
	Permanent	Temporary	Agency	Permanent	Temporary	Agency	Permanent	Temporary	Agency	
in agricultural production	0	205	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	205
in product handling facility(ies)	5	45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	50
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	255

3. PRESENCE DURING THE ASSESSMENT									
	SITE MANAGEMENT		PERSON RESPONSIBING IMPLEMENTATION OF		EMPLOYEES' REPRESENTATIVE				
Names ¹ :									
Present at the opening meeting?	✓ YES	□ NO	✓ YES	□ NO	✓ YES	□ NO			
Present at the assessment?	✓ YES	□ NO	✓ YES	□ NO	✓ YES	□ NO			
Present at the closing meeting?	✓ YES	□ NO	✓ YES	□ NO	✓ YES	□ NO			
OVERALL ASSESSMENT RESULT:	lly based on the results p	per sub-controlpoint)		Fully compliant					
Assessment results reviewed with company management?	✓ YES	□ №							
Name of certification body:	Bioagricert		Duration of the assessn	nent:	45026				
Name of assessor:	Neeraj Puri								
Name of company management:	Ravindra Gunjal								
¹ Only mention the names if the persons have agreed to release there personal data to be uploaded with the checklist to the GLOBALG.A.P. Database.									

GRASP CHECKLIST

N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA	VERIFICATION	C	OMPLIANC	CE					
			Υ	N	N/A					
EMPLO	YEES' REPRESENTATIVE(S)									
1	CP: Is there at least one employee or an employees' council to represent the interests of the staff to the management throu	igh regular meetings where labor is	ssues are	addressed	d?					
CC: Documentation demonstrates that an employees' representative(s) or an employees' council representing the interests of the employees to the management is elected or in exceptional cases nominated by all employees and recognized by the management. The election or nomination takes place in the ongoing year or production period and is communicated to all employees. This employees' representative(s) shall be aware of his/her/their role and rights and be able to discuss complaints and suggestions with the management. Meetings between employees' representative(s) and management occur at accurate frequency. The dialogue taking place in such meetings is duly documented. If a producer group member has less than 5 employees, it is allowed to have an employees' representative at the level of the producer group.										
1.1	The election/nomination procedure has been defined and communicated to all employees.		5	0	0					
1.2	Documentation shows that the election and the counting of votes were carried out fairly and openly. In case of representative(s) not elected but nominated, there is a document justifying why elections could not take place.		5	0	0					
	The results of the election (name of employees' representative(s) or in case of council composition of the council) were communicated to all employees.		5	0	0					
1.4	The election/nomination has taken place in the ongoing year or production period. The representation is current (all elected/nominated person(s) according to the list still working for the company).		5	0	0					
	The employees' representative(s) is/are recognized by the management and a job description clearly defines his/her/their role and rights. The employees' representative(s) is/are aware of his/her/their role and rights (in case of an employees' council, all members are interviewed).		5	0	0					
1.6	There is documentary evidence of regular meetings at accurate frequency between the employees' representative(s) and the management, where GRASP related issues are addressed.		5	0	0					
COMPL	LIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT 1: (Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)		Fully compliant							
JAE/GR	Evidence/Remarks: PHU is managed by the Producer Group. Different Worker representatives have been selected for male as well as female workers, verified election procedure and records JAE/GRASP/SOP/01 and JAE/GRASP/FRM/01 respectively, dated: 22-1-23. For male and for female. They found to be the fittest as they are in direct contact with all of the employees, and excess to personal data including contracts, attendence sheets, etc. In case of farmers each farm is having its own worker representative where applicable. Roles and responsibilities are well defined.									
Correcti	ive Actions:									

N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA	VERIFICATION	C	OMPLIAN	CE
			Υ	N	N/A
сом	PLAINT PROCEDURE				
2	CP: Is there a complaint and suggestion procedure available and implemented in the company through which employees ca	an make a complaint or suggestior	1?		
	CC: A complaint and suggestion procedure appropriate to the size of the company exists. The employees are regularly info made without being penalized and are discussed in meetings between the employees' representative(s) and the management complaints and suggestions and take corrective actions. Complaints, suggestions and their follow-up from the last 24 months.	ent. The procedure specifies a time			can be
2.1	A documented complaint and suggestion procedure is available, appropriate to the size of the company.		5	0	0
2.2	Employees are regularly and actively informed about the complaint and suggestion procedure.		5	0	0
2.3	The procedure states clearly that employees will not be penalized for filing complaints or suggestions.		5	0	0
2.4	Complaints and suggestions are discussed in meetings between the employees' representative(s) and the management.	4	5	0	0
2.5	The procedure sets a timeframe to resolve complaints and suggestions (e.g. during the next month).		5	0	0
2.6	The complaints, suggestions and their follow-up are documented and available for the last 24 months.		5	0	0
СОМ	PLIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT 2: (Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)		Fu	ılly compli	ant
	nce/Remarks: SOP (JAE/GRASP/SOP-02) is there for complaint handling of the workers with inclusion of the point that they w house and complaints of workers in pack house is resolved in specific time frame of 7 days.Records of last two years are avaiants.		omplaint l	box is the	re in
Corre	ctive Actions:				

N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA	VERIFICATION	C	OMPLIAN	CE					
			Υ	N	N/A					
SELF	-DECLARATION ON GOOD SOCIAL PRACTICES									
3	CP: Has a self-declaration on good social practice regarding human rights been signed by the management and the employees?	yees' representative(s) and has thi	s been co	mmunica	ted to					
	CC: The management and the employees' representative(s) have signed, displayed and put in practice a self-declaration assuring good social practice and human rights of all employees. This declaration contains at least the commitment to the ILO core labor conventions (ILO Conventions: 111 on discrimination, 138 and 182 on minimum age and child labor, 29 and 105 on forced labor, 87 on freedom of association, 98 on the right to organize and collective bargaining, 100 on equal remuneration and 99 on minimum wage) and transparent and non-discriminative hiring procedures and the complaint procedure. The self-declaration states that the employees' representative(s) can file complaints without personal sanctions. The employees have been informed about the self-declaration and it is revised at least every 3 years or whenever necessary.									
3.1	The declaration is complete and contains at least all points referred to ILO core labor conventions.		5	0	0					
3.2	The declaration has been signed by the management and by the employees' representative(s).		5	0	0					
3.3	The declaration is actively communicated to the employees (e.g. displayed on the production site/in the handling unit/management office or attached to the working contract, information at meetings etc.).		5	0	0					
3.4	The management, the responsible person for the implementation of GRASP and the employees' representative(s) know the content of the declaration and confirm that it is put into practice.	* * *	5	0	0					
3.5	It is stated that the employees' representative(s) can file complaints without personal sanctions.		5	0	0					
3.6	The declaration is checked and revised at least every 3 years or whenever necessary.		5	0	0					
COM	PLIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT 3: (Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)		Fu	Fully compliant						
Evide on 1-	ence/Remarks: GOOD SOCIAL PRACTICES (JAE/GRASP/SOP/03) covering all the points has been displayed both at pack ho 10-22	use and farmers sites and updated	l every ye	ar, last up	odated					
Corre	ective Actions:									

N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA	VERIFICATION	C	OMPLIAN	CE							
			Υ	N	N/A							
ACCE	SS TO NATIONAL LABOUR REGULATIONS											
4	CP: Do the person responsible for the implementation of GRASP (RGSP) and the employees' representative(s) have know	edge of or access to recent nation	al labor re	egulations	?							
	CC: The person responsible for implementation of GRASP (RGSP) and the employees' representative(s) have knowledge of or access to national labor regulations, such as gross and minimum wages, working hours, trade union membership, anti-discrimination, child labor, labor contracts, holiday and mate <u>rnity leave. Both the RGSP and the</u> employees' representative(s) know the essential points of working conditions in agriculture as formulated in the applicable GRASP National Interpretation Guidelines.											
4.1	The RGSP provides the employees' representative(s) with the valid labor regulations (e.g. the GRASP National Interpretation Guidelines).		5	0	0							
4.2	RGSP and employees' representative(s) have knowledge about or access to the valid labor regulations on gross and minimum wages and deductions from wages.		5	0	0							
4.3	RGSP and employees' representative(s) have knowledge about or access to the valid labor regulations on working hours.		5	0	0							
4.4	RGSP and employees' representative(s) have knowledge about or access to the valid labor regulations on freedom of association and right to collective bargaining.		5	0	0							
4.5	RGSP and employees' representative(s) have knowledge about or access to the valid labor regulations on anti-discrimination.		5	0	0							
4.6	RGSP and employees' representative(s) have knowledge about or access to the valid labor regulations on child labor and minimum age of working.		5	0	0							
4.7	RGSP and employees' representative(s) have knowledge about or access to the valid labor regulations on holiday and maternity leave.		5	0	0							
COMF	PLIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT 4: (Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)		Fully compliant									
Evide	nce/Remarks: Books and web site is readiliy available to workers represenatatives of pack house and farm level. Books on Ind	ustrial and Labour law.		-								
Correc	ctive Actions:											

N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA	VERIFICATION	COMPLIANCE								
			Υ	N	N/A						
WORI	KING CONTRACTS										
5	CP: Can valid copies of working contracts be shown for the employees? Are the working contracts compliant with applicable they indicate at least full names, nationality, a job description, date of birth, date of entry, the regular working time, wage an the employee and the employer?										
	CC: For every employee, a contract can be shown to the assessor on request on a sample basis. The contracts correspond with the applicable legislation and/or collective bargaining agreements. Both the employees as well as the employer have signed them. Records contain at least full names, nationality, a job description, date of birth, date of entry, the regular working time, wage and the period of employment (e.g. permanent, period or day laborer etc.) and for non-national employees their legal status and working permit. The contract does not show contradiction to the self-declaration on good social practices. Records of the employees must be accessible for at least 24 months.										
5.1	Random checks show availability of written contracts for all employees signed by both parties.	0 4	5	0	0						
5.2	There is evidence that the employees have the correct contract according to national legislation and/or collective bargaining agreements (as stipulated in the applicable GRASP National Interpretation Guideline).		5	0	0						
5.3	The working contracts include at least basic information on the employee's name, date of birth and nationality according to the applicable GRASP National Interpretation Guideline.		5	0	0						
5.4	The working contracts or attachments to the contracts include basic information on the contract period (e.g. permanent, period or day laborer etc.), the wage, working hours, breaks, and a basic job description.		5	0	0						
5.5	In the contract, there is no contradiction to the self-declaration on good social practice.		5	0	0						
5.6	If non-national employees are working for the company, records indicate their legal status for being employed by the company. A respective working permit is available.		0	0	5						
5.7	Records of the employees must be accessible for at least 24 months.		5	0	0						
COMF	LIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT 5: (Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)		Fu	lly compli	ant						
	nce/Remarks: Working contracts are available both at pack house and farm level describing about the basic job description, but is.Records of the employees are accessible for last 24 months.For instance: Verfied documents for workers i.e. P-01, P-02, P			for the							
Corre	tive Actions:										

N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA	VERIFICATION	COMPLIANCE									
			Υ	N	N/A							
PAYS	LIPS											
6	CP: Is there documented evidence indicating regular payment of salaries corresponding to the contract clause?											
	CC: The employer shows adequate documentation of the regular salary transfer (e.g. employee's signature on pay slip, bank transfer). Employees sign or receive copies of pay slips/pay register that make the payment transparent and comprehensible for them. Regular payment of the employees during the last 24 months is documented.											
6.1	Documented evidence that the payment is made in defined intervals (e.g. pay slips or pay registers) is available for the employees (random checks).	0 4	5	0	0							
6.2	Pay slips or pay registers indicate that payments are made in accordance with the working contracts (e.g. employee's signature on pay slips, bank transfer etc.).		5	0	0							
6.3	The records of payments are kept for at least 24 months.		5	0	0							
COMP	PLIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT 6: (Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)		Fully compliant									
Evidence/Remarks Wages are given timely according to signed contracts, verified wage register, signs of both employee and employer are there, records of last 24 months available. For instance: Verfied documents for workers i.e. P-01, P-02, P-03, P-04, W-01, W-02, W-03, W-04.												
Correc	Corrective Actions:											

N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA	VERIFICATION	CC	OMPLIAN	CE					
			Υ	N	N/A					
WAGE	ES CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY									
7	CP: Do pay slips/pay registers indicate the conformity of payment with at least legal regulations and/or collective bargaining	agreements?								
	CC: Wages and overtime payment documented on the pay slips/pay registers indicate compliance with legal regulations (minimum wages) and/or collective bargaining agreements as specified in the GRASP National Interpretation Guideline. If payment is calculated per unit, employees shall be able to gain at least the legal minimum wage (on average) within regular working hours.									
7.1	Pay slips or pay registers give clear indication on the number of compensated working time or harvested amount including overtime (hours/days).		5	0	0					
7.2	Wages and overtime payments as shown in the records are according to the contracts and indicate compliance with national labor regulations (minimum wages), and/or collective bargaining agreements as specified in the GRASP National Interpretation Guideline.		5	0	0					
7.3	Independently from the calculation unit, pay slips/pay registers document that employees gain in average at least the legal minimum wage within regular working times (especially check when piece-rate is implemented). If there are deductions from salaries and employees are being paid below minimum wage, the deductions must be justified in writing.		5	0	0					
COMF	COMPLIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT 7: (Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint) Fully compliant									
Evidence/Remarks: Pay register shows that payments are made at regular interval and it also reflects the number of working hrs. as per records there are no deductions as such and payment in the range of INR 430 per day (8 hrs.) is given to the workers, and as per interview with GRASP rep. it is clear that if there will be any deduction it will be justified in records. For instance: Verfied documents for workers i.e. Verfied documents for workers i.e. P-01, P-02, P-03, P-04, W-01, W-02, W-03, W-04.										

Corrective Actions:

NIO	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE ORITERIA	VEDICIONATION		OMPLIAN	^F		
N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA VERIFICATION			COMPLIANCE			
				N	N/A		
NON-E	MPLOYMENT OF MINORS						
8	CP: Do records indicate that no minors are employed at the company?						
	CC: Pecords indicate compliance with national legislation regarding minimum age of employment. If not covered by national	I legislation, children below the ag	e of 15 ar	e not emr	loved If		
	CC: Records indicate compliance with national legislation regarding minimum age of employment. If not covered by national legislation, children below the age of 15 are not employed. If children—as core family members—are working at the company, they are not engaged in work that is dangerous to their health and safety, jeopardizes their development, or prevents						
	them from finishing their compulsory school education.						
8.1	Dates of birth on the records show that no employee is aged below the legal minimum age of employment or, if not specified in the GRASP National Interpretation Guideline, under the age of 15.						
			5	0	0		
8.2	If children – as core family members – are working at the company, they are not engaged in work that is dangerous to their	ir .		 			
0.2	health and safety (according to the applicable IFA All Farm Base Module), that jeopardizes their development or prevents		0	0	5		
	them from finishing their compulsory school education.						
COMP	COMPLIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT 8: (Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint) Fully compliant						
	(Canada and Canada and						
Evidence/Remarks: According to the date of births seen in the working contract, no minors or child labour is involved in the work that is harmful to their health, all are found to be above 18 years.							
No chil	dren (below 15 years of age) - as core family member is engaged in the work.						
Correct	tive Actions:	Corrective Actions:					

N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA	VERIFICATION	COMPLIANCE		CE		
			Υ	N	N/A		
ACCE	SS TO COMPULSORY SCHOOL EDUCATION						
9	CP: Do the children of employees living on the company's production/handling sites have access to compulsory school edu	cation?					
	CC: There is documented evidence that children of employees at compulsory schooling age (according to national legislation) living on the company's production/handling sites have access to compulsory school education, either through provided transport to a public school or through on-site schooling.						
9.1	There is a list of all children in the age of compulsory schooling age living on the company's production/handling sites, with sufficient indications on name, name of parents, date of birth, school attendance, etc. Children of management may be excluded.		0	0	5		
9.2	There is evidence of transport facilities if children cannot reach school within acceptable walking distance (half an hour walking or according to GRASP National Interpretation Guideline).		0	0	5		
9.3	There is evidence of an on-site schooling system when access to schools is not available.				5		
COMPLIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT 9: (Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)				Not applicable			
Eviden	Evidence/Remarks: Children with compusary age of schooling are not residing at pack house and farms. No schooloing at site. No transportation to schools for children.						
Correc	Corrective Actions:						

N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA	VERIFICATION	COMPLIANCE					
					N/A			
TIME I	IME RECORDING SYSTEM							
10	CP: Is there a time recording system that shows daily working time and overtime on a daily basis for the employees?							
	CC: There is a time recording system implemented appropriate to the size of the company that makes working hours and overtime transparent for both employees and employer on a daily basis. Working times of the employees during the last 24 months are documented. Records are regularly approved by the employees and accessible for the employees' representative(s).							
10.1	A time recording system is implemented, appropriate to the size of the company (e.g. time record sheet, check clock, electronic cards, etc.).		5	0	0			
10.2	The records indicate the regular working time for employees on a daily basis. The records indicate the overtime hours as defined by contracts per legislation for all employees on a daily basis.		5	0	0			
10.3			5	0	0			
10.4	The records indicate the breaks/festive days for the employees (on a daily basis).		5	0	0			
10.5	The working records are regularly approved by the employees (e.g. regularly signed record sheet, checking clock).		5	0	0			
10.6	Access to these records is provided to the employees' representative(s).		5	0	0			
10.7	The records are kept for at least 24 months.		5	0	0			
COMPLIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT 10: (Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)				Fully compliant				
Evidence/Remarks: Time recording system (Muster book) is there with 8 hrs. per day. Working contract indicates breaks for having lunch (1 hr.), It has been observed that one holiday per week is provide also weekly offs are there. No overtime has been observed in records, but it is indicated in working contract. All worker has signed it verified randomly time recording register for the months of 2022, For instance: Verfied documents for workers i.e. P-01, P-02, P-03, P-04, W-01, W-02, W-03, W-04.								

Corrective Actions:

N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA VERIFICATION			COMPLIANCE			
			Υ	N	N/A		
WORK	KING HOURS & BREAKS						
11	CP: Do working hours and breaks documented in the time records comply with applicable legislation and/or collective bargaining agreements?						
	CC: Documented working hours, breaks and rest days are in line with applicable legislation and/or collective bargaining agreements. If not regulated more strictly by legislation, records indicate that regular weekly working hours do not exceed a maximum of 48 hours. During peak season (harvest), weekly working time does not exceed a maximum of 60 hours. Rest breaks/days are also guaranteed during peak season.						
11.1	nformation on valid labor regulation and/or collective bargaining agreements regarding working hours and breaks is vailable (e.g. in the GRASP National Interpretation Guideline).		5	0	0		
11.2	Working hours including overtime as shown in the records indicate compliance with legal regulations and/or collective bargaining agreements.		5	0	0		
11.3	Rest breaks/days as shown in the records indicate compliance with national regulations and/or bargaining agreements.		5	0	0		
11.4	If not regulated more strictly by applicable legislation, regular weekly working time does not exceed 48 hours. During peak season (harvest), weekly working time does not exceed 60 hours.		5	0	0		
11.5	The records indicate that rest breaks/days are also guaranteed during peak season.				0		
COMPLIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT 11: (Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)				Fully compliant			
Evidence/Remarks: Working hours i.e. 8 hrs per day and 100 % overtime is according to the National law. Breaks for lunch is also provided. One holiday per week is also provided which is according to the legal regulations. For instance: Verfied documents for workers i.e. P-01, P-02, P-03, P-04, W-01, W-02, W-03, W-04.							

Corrective Actions:

ONLY APPLICABLE FOR PRODUCER GROUPS

N°	ONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA VERIFICATION		COMPLIANCE		CE			
					N/A			
INTEGE	INTEGRATION INTO QMS							
QMS	CP: Does the assessment of the Quality Management System (QMS) of the producer group show evidence of the correct in members?	nplementation of GRASP for all pa	rticipating	producer	group			
	CC: The assessment of the Quality Management System of the producer group demonstrates that GRASP is correctly implemented and internally assessed. Non-compliances are identified and corrective actions are taken to enable compliance of all participating producer group members.							
QMS1	The implementation of GRASP is included in the Quality Management System of the producer group, based on the respective part of the GLOBALG.A.P. General Regulations for Producer Group Certification.		Х					
QMS2	There is a system in place to regularly inform and train key staff on GRASP related issues.		Х					
QMS3	All steps taken in the frame of the QMS to implement GRASP among all participating producer group members are documented.		Х					
QMS4	There is evidence that the producer group fosters compliance of all participating producer group members with the GRASP requirements and assesses the progresses and problems complying with GRASP every year.		Х					
QMS5	A register is maintained of all GLOBALG.A.P. producers implementing GRASP. It contains for every producer group member the internal assessment date as well as the compliance level reached, all non-compliances detected in internal and external assessments and corrective actions given to non-compliances.		Х					
QMS6	There is a procedure to implement corrective actions from previous internal assessments.		Х					
QMS7	The internal producer group inspector is qualified according to the GRASP General Rules.		Х					
COMPLIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT QMS: (Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint) Fully compliant. Not compliant.								
Evidence/Remarks: Producer group is going for GRASP for all approved producers, producer register is maintained.GRASP is regulated under the QMS, there is a manual available for all integrated farmers from where specific rules are stated and can be followed. Internal audit has been done by the qualified internal auditor checklists and results were available during the audit.								
Corrective Actions:								

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR GOOD PRACTICE

N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA			
ADDIT	TONAL GOOIAL BENEFITO			
ADDII	IONAL SOCIAL BENEFITS			
R1	What other forms of social benefit does the company offer to employees, their families and/or the community? Please specify (incentives for good and safe working performance, bonus payment, support of professional development, social benefits, child care, improvement of social surroundings etc.).			
Eviden	nce/Remarks: NA			

ANNEX for GGN 4050373422691

Producer Group Members:

Product(s)	GLOBALG.A.P. Number (GGN)	Company/Producer Name and Address
Grape (table)	4056186184597	Dilip Baban Shinde, At Post: Gajarwadi, Tal-Niphad, Nashik, 422303, India
Grape (table)	4056186221155	Arjun Pingale, At Post: Matori, Tal-Nashik, Nashik, 422003, India
Grape (table)	4056186977793	Ashok Fakirrao Jadhav, At Post: Niphad, Tal-Niphad, Nashik, 422303, India
Grape (table)	4056186978011	Dnyaneshwar Baburao Khalkar, At Post: Niphad, Tal-Niphad, Nashik, 422303, India
Grape (table)	4056186978134	Kisan Dagadu Kunde, At Post: Niphad, Tal-Niphad, Nashik, 422303, India
Grape (table)	4056186978141	Kondaji Karbhari Khalkar, At Post: Niphad, Tal-Niphad, Nashik, 422303, India
Grape (table)	4056186978509	Ramnath Devram Borade, At Post: Pimpalnare, Tal- Dindori, Nashik, 422004, India
Grape (table)	4059883468199	Dhananjay Raghunath Kunde, At Post: Niphad, Tal-Niphad, Nashik, 422303, India
Grape (table)	4059883468281	Ganesh Bajirao Kunde, At Post: Niphad, Tal-Niphad, Nashik, 422303, India
Grape (table)	4059883951868	Sushila Ananda Mahale, At Post: Shivare, Tal-Dindori, Nashik, 422215, India
Grape (table)	4063061376565	Vijay Bajirao Shinde, At Post: Niphad, Tal-Niphad, Nashik, 422303, India
Grape (table)	4063061778000	Sahebrao Mahale, At Post: Anterveli ,Tal-Niphad ,Dist-Nashik, NASHIK, 422209, India
Grape (table)	4063061778048	Narayan Kunde, At Post: Niphad, Tal-Nashik, Dist: Nashik, NASHIK, 422303, India
Onion	4063061862471	Jayashree Ahire, At Post: Khedgaon, Tal-Kalvan, Dist-Nashik, Nashik, 423501, India
Grape (table)	4063651138467	Alka Balkrushna Pawar, At Post: Rasegaon ,Tal-Dindori ,Dist:Nashik, Nashik, 422003, India
Grape (table)	4063651138498	Digamber chandrabhan Jadhav, At Post: Khadak Malegaon, Tal-Niphad , Dist: Nashik, Nashik, 422304, India
Grape (table)	4063651138511	Ashok Gangadhar Gawali, At Post: Khadak Jamb, Tal-Niphad , Dist: Nashik, Nashik, 423117, India
Grape (table)	4063651138535	Ushir Bapu Haribhau, At Post: Khadak Jamb,Tal-Niphad ,Dist:Nashik, Nashik, 423117, India

Grape (table)	4063651138559	Sunil Narayan Ghangale, At Post: Kumbhari ,Tal-Niphad ,Dist:Nashik, Nashik, 422308, India
Grape (table)	4063651143485	Manikrao Haribhau Dharrao, At Post: Vadner Bhairav,Tal-Chandwad,Dist:Nashik, Nashik, 423111, India
Grape (table)	4063651143515	Vimalbai Babanrao Pagar, At Post: Khadak Jamb, Tal-Niphad , Dist: Nashik, Nashik, 423117, India
Grape (table)	4063651449761	Keda Dawal Bhamare, At Post: Pingalwade ,Tal-Baglan, Nashik, 423301, India