Proof by Induction (Lecture – 3)

Dr. Nirnay Ghosh

Proof by Strong Induction (1)

- Show that if n is an integer greater than 1, then n can be written as the product of primes.

Solution: Let $P(n)$ be the proposition that n can be written as the product of primes.

BASIS STEP: $P(2)$ is true, because 2 can be written as the product of one prime, itself. (Note that $P(2)$ is the first case we need to establish.)

INDUCTIVE STEP: The inductive hypothesis is the assumption that $P(j)$ is true for all integers j with $2 \leq j \leq k$, that is, the assumption that j can be written as the product of primes whenever j is a positive integer at least 2 and not exceeding k. To complete the inductive step, it must be shown that $P(k+1)$ is true under this assumption, that is, that $k+1$ is the product of primes.

There are two cases to consider, namely, when $k+1$ is prime and when $k+1$ is composite. If $k+1$ is prime, we immediately see that $P(k+1)$ is true. Otherwise, $k+1$ is composite and can be written as the product of two positive integers a and b with $2 \leq a \leq b < k+1$. Because both a and b are integers at least 2 and not exceeding k, we can use the inductive hypothesis to write both a and b as the product of primes. Thus, if $k+1$ is composite, it can be written as the product of primes, namely, those primes in the factorization of a and those in the factorization of b. ◀

Proof by Strong Induction (2)

- Consider a game in which two players take turns removing any positive number of matches they want from one of two piles of matches. The player who removes the last match wins the game. Show that if the two piles contain the same number of matches initially, the second player can always guarantee a win.

Solution: Let n be the number of matches in each pile. We will use strong induction to prove $P(n)$, the statement that the second player can win when there are initially n matches in each pile.

BASIS STEP: When $n = 1$, the first player has only one choice, removing one match from one of the piles, leaving a single pile with a single match, which the second player can remove to win the game.

INDUCTIVE STEP: The inductive hypothesis is the statement that $P(j)$ is true for all j with $1 \le j \le k$, that is, the assumption that the second player can always win whenever there are j matches, where $1 \le j \le k$ in each of the two piles at the start of the game. We need to show that $P(k+1)$ is true, that is, that the second player can win when there are initially $k+1$ matches in each pile, under the assumption that $P(j)$ is true for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, k$. So suppose that there are $k+1$ matches in each of the two piles at the start of the game and suppose that the first player removes r matches $(1 \le r \le k)$ from one of the piles, leaving $k+1-r$ matches in this pile. By removing the same number of matches from the other pile, the second player creates the situation where there are two piles each with $k+1-r$ matches. Because $1 \le k+1-r \le k$, we can now use the inductive hypothesis to conclude that the second player can always win. We complete the proof by noting that if the first player removes all $k+1$ matches from one of the piles, the second player can win by removing all the remaining matches. ◀

Proof by Strong Induction (3)

- A simple polygon with n sides, where n is an integer with $n \geq 3$, can be triangulated into $n - 2$ triangles.
- To prove this, we use the following lemma:
- **Lemma**: Every simple polygon with at least four sides has an interior diagonal.

BASIS STEP: $T(3)$ is true because a simple polygon with three sides is a triangle. We do not need to add any diagonals to triangulate a triangle; it is already triangulated into one triangle, itself. Consequently, every simple polygon with $n = 3$ has can be triangulated into $n - 2 = 3 - 2 = 1$ triangle.

INDUCTIVE STEP: For the inductive hypothesis, we assume that $T(j)$ is true for all integers j with $3 \leq j \leq k$. That is, we assume that we can triangulate a simple polygon with j sides into $j - 2$ triangles whenever $3 \leq j \leq k$. To complete the inductive step, we must show that when we assume the inductive hypothesis, $P(k + 1)$ is true, that is, that every simple polygon with $k + 1$ sides can be triangulated into $(k + 1) - 2 = k - 1$ triangles.

So, suppose that we have a simple polygon P with $k + 1$ sides. Because $k + 1 \geq 4$, Lemma 1 tells us that P has an interior diagonal ab. Now, ab splits P into two simple polygons Q, with s sides, and R, with t sides. The sides of Q and R are the sides of P, together with the side ab, which is a side of both Q and R. Note that $3 \leq s \leq k$ and $3 \leq t \leq k$ because both Q and R have at least one fewer side than P does (after all, each of these is formed from P by deleting at least two sides and replacing these sides by the diagonal ab). Furthermore, the number of sides of P is two less than the sum of the numbers of sides of Q and the number of

Proof by Strong Induction (3)

sides of R, because each side of P is a side of either Q or of R, but not both, and the diagonal ab is a side of both Q and R, but not P. That is, $k+1 = s+t-2$.

We now use the inductive hypothesis. Because both $3 \leq s \leq k$ and $3 \leq t \leq k$, by the inductive hypothesis we can triangulate Q and R into $s-2$ and $t-2$ triangles, respectively. Next, note that these triangulations together produce a triangulation of P. (Each diagonal added to triangulate one of these smaller polygons is also a diagonal of P.) Consequently, we can triangulate P into a total of $(s-2)+(t-2) = s+t-4 = (k+1)-2$ triangles. This completes the proof by strong induction. That is, we have shown that every simple polygon with n sides, where $n \geq 3$, can be triangulated into $n-2$ triangles. $\triangleleft$

Creative Use of Mathematical Induction (1)

- Let n be a positive integer. Show that every $2^n \times 2^n$ checkerboard with one square removed can be tiled using right triominoes, where these pieces cover three squares at a time, as shown in the figure

Solution: Let $P(n)$ be the proposition that every $2^n \times 2^n$ checkerboard with one square removed can be tiled using right triominoes. We can use mathematical induction to prove that $P(n)$ is true for all positive integers n.

BASIS STEP: $P(1)$ is true, because each of the four 2×2 checkerboards with one square removed can be tiled using one right triomino, as shown in Figure 5.



Creative Use of Mathematical Induction (1)

INDUCTIVE STEP: The inductive hypothesis is the assumption that $P(k)$ is true for the positive integer k; that is, it is the assumption that every $2^k \times 2^k$ checkerboard with one square removed can be tiled using right triominoes. It must be shown that under the assumption of the inductive hypothesis, $P(k+1)$ must also be true; that is, any $2^{k+1} \times 2^{k+1}$ checkerboard with one square removed can be tiled using right triominoes.

To see this, consider a $2^{k+1} \times 2^{k+1}$ checkerboard with one square removed. Split this checkerboard into four checkerboards of size $2^k \times 2^k$, by dividing it in half in both directions. This is illustrated in Figure 6. No square has been removed from three of these four checkerboards. The fourth $2^k \times 2^k$ checkerboard has one square removed, so we now use the inductive hypothesis to conclude that it can be covered by right triominoes. Now temporarily remove the square from each of the other three $2^k \times 2^k$ checkerboards that has the center of the original, larger checkerboard as one of its corners, as shown in Figure 7. By the inductive hypothesis, each of these three $2^k \times 2^k$ checkerboards with a square removed can be tiled by right triominoes. Furthermore, the three squares that were temporarily removed can be covered by one right triomino. Hence, the entire $2^{k+1} \times 2^{k+1}$ checkerboard can be tiled with right triominoes.



FIGURE 6 **Dividing a $2^{k+1} \times 2^{k+1}$ Checkerboard into Four $2^k \times 2^k$ Checkerboards.**



FIGURE 7 **Tiling the $2^{k+1} \times 2^{k+1}$ Checkerboard with One Square Removed.**

Creative Use of Mathematical Induction (2)

Odd Pie Fights: An odd number of people stand in a yard at mutually distinct distances. At the same time each person throws a pie at their nearest neighbor, hitting this person. Use mathematical induction to show that there is at least one survivor, that is, at least one person who is not hit by a pie.

Solution: Let *P(n)*: "there is a survivor whenever $2n + 1$ people stand in a yard at distinct mutual distances and each person throws a pie at their nearest neighbor". To prove this result, we will show that *P(n)* is true for all positive integers n. This follows because as n runs through all positive integers, $2n + 1$ runs through all odd integers greater than or equal to 3. Note that one person cannot engage in a pie fight because there is no one else to throw the pie at.

Creative Use of Mathematical Induction (2)

<u>BASIS STEP</u>: When $n = 1$, there are $2n + 1 = 3$ people in the pie fight. Of the three people, suppose that the closest pair are A and B, and C is the third person. Because distances between pairs of people are different, the distance between A and C and the distance between B and C are both different from, and greater than, the distance between A and B. It follows that A and B throw pies at each other, while C throws a pie at either A or B, whichever is closer. Hence, C is not hit by a pie. This shows that at least one of the three people is not hit by a pie, completing the basis step.

Creative Use of Mathematical Induction (2)

INDUCTIVE STEP: For the inductive step, assume that $P(k)$ is true for an arbitrary odd integer k with $k \geq 3$. That is, assume that there is at least one survivor whenever $2k + 1$ people stand in a yard at distinct mutual distances and each throws a pie at their nearest neighbor.

We must show that if the inductive hypothesis $P(k)$ is true, then $P(k + 1)$, the statement that there is at least one survivor whenever $2(k + 1) + 1 = 2k + 3$ people stand in a yard at distinct mutual distances and each throws a pie at their nearest neighbor, is also true.

So suppose that we have $2(k + 1) + 1 = 2k + 3$ people in a yard with distinct distances between pairs of people. Let A and B be the closest pair of people in this group of $2k + 3$ people. When each person throws a pie at the nearest person, A and B throw pies at each other.

We have two cases to consider, *(i)* when someone else throws a pie at either A or B and *(ii)* when no one else throws a pie at either A or B.

Creative Use of Mathematical Induction (2)

<u>Case (i)</u>: Because A and B throw pies at each other and someone else throws a pie at either A and B, at least three pies are thrown at A and B, and at most $(2k + 3) - 3 = 2k$ pies are thrown at the remaining $2k + 1$ people. This guarantees that at least one person is a survivor, for if each of these $2k + 1$ people was hit by at least one pie, a total of at least $2k + 1$ pies would have to be thrown at them.

<u>Case (ii)</u>: No one else throws a pie at either A and B. Besides A and B, there are $2k + 1$ people. Because the distances between pairs of these people are all different, we can use the inductive hypothesis to conclude that there is at least one survivor S when these $2k + 1$ people each throws a pie at their nearest neighbor. Furthermore, S is also not hit by either the pie thrown by A or the pie thrown by B because A and B throw their pies at each other, so S is a survivor because S is not hit by any of the pies thrown by these $2k + 3$ people.