# Logic & Proofs (Lecture – 6)

Dr. Nirnay Ghosh

#### Introduction to Proofs

- A proof is a valid argument that establishes the truth of a mathematical statement.
- The methods of proof are important not only for proving mathematics statements but also used in many computer science applications.
  - Verifying the correctness of computer programs, establishing that operating systems are secure, making inferences in artificial intelligence, showing that system specifications are consistent, and so on.
- Consequently, understanding the techniques used in proofs is essential both in mathematics and in computer science.

### Terminologies

- **Theorem:** It is a statement that can be shown to be true.
  - Example: It may be universal quantification of a conditional statement with one or more premises and a conclusion or some other type of logical statements.
- **Proof:** A proof is a valid argument that establishes the truth of a theorem.
  - The statements used in a proof can include **axioms** (or postulates), which are statements we assume to be true, the premises, if any, of the theorem, and previously proven theorems.
  - Rules of inference, together with definitions of terms, are used to draw conclusions from other assertions, tying together the steps of a proof. In practice, the final step of a proof is usually just the conclusion of the theorem.

### Terminologies

- <u>Lemma</u>: A less important theorem that is helpful in proving other results.
- <u>Corollary</u>: It is a theorem that can be established directly from a theorem that has been proved.
- <u>Conjecture</u>: It is statement that is being proposed to be a true statement, usually on the basis of some partial evidence, a heuristic argument, or the intuition of an expert.
  - When a proof of a conjecture is found, the conjecture becomes a theorem. Many times conjectures are shown to be false, so they are not theorems.

## Methods of Proving Theorems: Direct Proofs

- To prove: conditional statement  $p \rightarrow q$  is true
- Approach:
  - Assumption: *p* is true
  - Subsequent steps are constructed using rules of inference, axioms, definitions, previously proven theorems to show that *q* must also be true.
- Direct proofs of many results are quite straightforward, with a fairly obvious sequence of steps leading from the hypothesis to the conclusion.
- However, direct proofs sometimes require particular insights and can be quite tricky.

The integer n is *even* if there exists an integer k such that n = 2k, and n is *odd* if there exists an integer k such that n = 2k + 1. (Note that every integer is either even or odd, and no integer is both even and odd.) Two integers have the *same parity* when both are even or both are odd; they have *opposite parity* when one is even and the other is odd.

# Methods of Proving Theorems: Proof by Contraposition

- Direct proofs often reach dead ends while proving theorems of the form  $\forall x (P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))$ .
- Need for other proof techniques: indirect proof
  - They do not start with the premises and end with the conclusion
- **Proofs by contraposition** make use of the fact that the conditional statement  $p \rightarrow q$  is equivalent to its contrapositive,  $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$ .
  - The conditional statement  $p \rightarrow q$  can be proved by showing that its contrapositive,  $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$ , is true.
  - We take  $\neg q$  as a premise, and using axioms, definitions, and previously proven theorems, together with rules of inference, we show that  $\neg p$  must follow

The real number r is *rational* if there exist integers p and q with  $q \neq 0$  such that r = p/q. A real number that is not rational is called *irrational*.

### Vacuous Proof

- We can quickly prove that a conditional statement  $p \rightarrow q$  is true when we know that p is false.
- Consequently, if we can show that p is false, then we refer that proof, as a **vacuous proof** of the conditional statement  $p \rightarrow q$ .
- Vacuous proofs are often used to establish special cases of theorems that state that a conditional statement is true for all positive integers
  - **Example**: Show that the proposition P(0) is true, where P(n) is "If n > 1, then  $n^2 > n$ " and the domain consists of all integers.
  - **Solution**: Note that P(0) is "If 0 > 1, then  $0^2 > 0$ ." We can show P(0) using a vacuous proof. Indeed, the hypothesis 0 > 1 is false. This tells us that P(0) is automatically true.

#### **Trivial Proof**

- We can also quickly prove a conditional statement  $p \rightarrow q$  if we know that the conclusion q is true.
- By showing that q is true, it follows that p → q must also be true.
  A proof of p → q that uses the fact that q is true is called a trivial proof.
- Trivial proofs are often important when special cases of theorems are proved.
  - **Example**: Let P(n) be "If a and b are positive integers with  $a \ge b$ , then  $a^n \ge b^n$ ," where the domain consists of all nonnegative integers. Show that P(0) is true..
  - **Solution**: The proposition P(0) is "If  $a \ge b$ , then  $a^0 \ge b^0$ ." Because  $a^0 = b^0 = 1$ , the conclusion of the conditional statement "If  $a \ge b$ , then  $a^0 \ge b^0$ " is true. Hence, this conditional statement, which is P(0), is true. This is an example of a trivial proof. Note that the hypothesis, which is the statement " $a \ge b$ ," was not needed in this proof.

9/9/2020

## Methods of Proving Theorems: Proof by Contradiction

- Suppose we want to prove that a statement p is true. Furthermore, suppose that we can find a contradiction q such that  $\neg p \rightarrow q$  is true. Because q is false, but  $\neg p \rightarrow q$  is true, we can conclude that  $\neg p$  is false, which means that p is true.
  - How can we find a contradiction q that might help us prove that p is true in this way?
- The statement  $r / \neg r$  is a contradiction whenever r is a proposition.
- We can prove that p is true if we can show that  $\neg p \rightarrow (r \land \neg r)$  is true for some proposition r.
- Proof of this type are called **proof by contradiction**.
  - Because a proof by contradiction does not prove a result directly, it is another type of indirect proof.

9/9/2020