

Proof technique - 2

Wednesday, September 9, 2020 10:26 AM

Proof-6 Let us assume that proposition p is " $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational." To start a proof by contradiction, we have to consider $\neg p$ is true where $\neg p$ is the statement " $\sqrt{2}$ is rational".

By the definition of rational numbers, there ~~there~~ exists two integers a and b with $\sqrt{2} = \frac{a}{b}$, where $b \neq 0$ and $a \nmid b$ do not have a common factor. (So the fraction a/b is in lowest term).

Because, $\sqrt{2} = \frac{a}{b}$, ignoring both sides,

$$2 = \frac{a^2}{b^2} \Rightarrow 2b^2 = a^2$$

By the defn. of even integers, it follows that a^2 is even. There exists an integer c such that $a = 2c$. Thus, $2b^2 = 4c^2 \Rightarrow b^2 = 2c^2 \Rightarrow b^2$ is also even by defn. of even numbers.

We have now shown that $\neg p$ leads to the equation $\sqrt{2} = \frac{a}{b}$, where a and b are even and 2 divides both a & b .

Because our assumption of $\neg p$ leads to a contradiction, it follows that $\neg p$ must be false.

Because our assumption that $\sqrt{2}$ is rational leads to a contradiction, it follows that $\neg p$ must be false. That is the statement p , " $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational" is true.

Proof-7 "If $3n+2$ is odd, then n is odd" =

To construct proof by contradiction, we assume both p & $\neg q$ are true. That is, $3n+2$ is odd and n is not odd i.e. n is even. If n is even, there exists an integer k such that $n = 2k$. This implies, $3n+2 = 3(2k)+2 = 6k+2 = 2(3k+1)$. is also even. Thus we have both p and $\neg q$ to be true, which is a contradiction. This implies that our initial assumption of $\neg q$ is false i.e. q is true. Henceforth, if $3n+2$ is odd, then n is also odd.

Proof-8

We can take the negation of the statement and suppose it to be true i.e. there exists a greatest integer N . Then $N \geq m$ for every integer m . Let $M = N+1$. Now, M is another integer since it is the sum of two integers. Also, $M > N$ since $M = N+1$. Thus, M is an integer which is greater than N . So, N is the greatest integer and N is not the greatest integer, which is a contradiction. This shows that our supposition $\neg p \rightarrow q$ means the statement is true.

Contradiction. This shows that our supposition is false, and hence the statement is true.

Proof-9

We take the negation of the hypothesis and suppose it is to be true i.e. there is at least one integer which is both odd and even. By defn. of even, $n = 2k$, for some integer k . And, by defn. of odd, $n = 2l + 1$ for some integer l .

Consequently,

$$2k = 2l + 1$$

$$\text{or, } k - l = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Since, k & l are both integers, their difference must also be an integer. But $k - l = \frac{1}{2}$, which is not an integer. Thus, $k - l$ is an integer and $k - l$ is not an integer, which is a contradiction. This contradiction shows that our supposition was false, and hence the hypothesis is true.

Proof-10

$$r = p/q, q \neq 0$$

We take the negation of the theorem ~~the theorem~~ and suppose it to be true i.e. the sum of rational and an irrational number is rational. Let r be a rational and s be an irrational no. r is rational. By defn. of rational numbers, rational number $r = a/b$ and the sum of r and $s = c/d$ for some integers a, b, c , and d , with $b \neq 0$ and $d \neq 0$. By

and d , with $b \neq 0$ and $d \neq 0$. By substitution,

$$\frac{a}{b} + s = \frac{c}{d}$$

$$\text{Or, } s = \left(\frac{c}{d} - \frac{a}{b} \right) = \left(\frac{bc - ad}{bd} \right)$$

Now, $(bc - ad)$ and bd are both integers and as $b \neq 0$, $d \neq 0$, it follows $bd \neq 0$. Therefore, by the definition of rational nos., s is also rational. This is a contradiction to our supposition that s is irrational. Therefore, the given statement is true.

Proof-II

We take the negation of the statement and suppose it to be true. i.e. $1 + 3\sqrt{2}$ is rational. Then, by the definition of rational numbers,

$$1 + 3\sqrt{2} = \frac{a}{b}, \text{ for some integers } a, b \text{ with } b \neq 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow 3\sqrt{2} = \frac{a}{b} - 1 = \frac{a-b}{b}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sqrt{2} = \left(\frac{a-b}{3b} \right)$$

$(a-b)$ and $3b$ are integers. and $3b \neq 0$. Hence, $\sqrt{2}$ is a quotient of two integers $\therefore 2 \mid a-b$. It follows

Hence, $\sqrt{2}$ is a quotient of two integers $(a-b)$ and $3b$ with $3b \neq 0$. It follows that $\sqrt{2}$ is rational. But this contradicts our previous proof of $\sqrt{2}$ being irrational.

This is a contradiction and hence our initial supposition was false. Hence, $1+3\sqrt{2}$ is irrational.

if and only if (iff) \Rightarrow bi-conditional statement

$$\textcircled{p \leftrightarrow q} \equiv \underline{(p \rightarrow q)} \wedge \underline{(q \rightarrow p)}$$

If n is odd, then n^2 is odd $(p \rightarrow q) \equiv$
 If n^2 is odd, then n is odd $(q \rightarrow p) \equiv$

direct proof
 proof by contraposition

p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n ~~are~~ propositions.

All these propositions are equivalent.

$$p_1 \leftrightarrow p_2 \leftrightarrow p_3 \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow p_n$$

All n propositions have the same truth table.
 and consequently for all i and j with $1 \leq i \leq n$, and $1 \leq j \leq n$, p_i and p_j are eq; valent.

~~Tautology~~ $\not\models (p_1 \leftrightarrow p_2 \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow p_n) \equiv$

$$\text{True} \left(p_1 \leftrightarrow p_2 \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow p_n \right) \equiv \\ (p_1 \rightarrow p_2) \wedge (p_2 \rightarrow p_3) \wedge \dots \wedge (p_n \rightarrow p_1)$$

Show that n conditional statements are true then the propositions p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n are all equivalent.

p_1, p_2, p_3

This is much efficient than proving $p_i \rightarrow p_j$ for all $i \neq j$ with $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$.

(No. of conditional statements to be proved true = $n^2 - n$)

Proof - 1

$$p_1 \leftrightarrow p_2 \leftrightarrow p_3 \equiv (p_1 \rightarrow p_2) \wedge (p_2 \rightarrow p_3) \wedge (p_3 \rightarrow p_1)$$

p_1 : n is even.

p_2 : $(n-1)$ is odd.

p_3 : n^2 is even.

$$\begin{array}{c} (p_1 \rightarrow p_2), (p_2 \rightarrow p_3) \\ \hline \boxed{p_1 \rightarrow p_2, p_2 \rightarrow p_1} \\ \quad | \\ \quad p_1 \rightarrow p_3, p_3 \rightarrow p_1 \\ \quad | \\ \quad p_2 \rightarrow p_3, p_3 \rightarrow p_2 \end{array}$$

We will show that these statements are equivalent by showing that conditional statements

$p_1 \rightarrow p_2, p_2 \rightarrow p_3$ and $p_3 \rightarrow p_1$ are true.

$\therefore + \vdash L \vdash L$ we can use direct proof.

11' 12) 1^c 1^d

(i) To show $p_1 \rightarrow p_2$ we can use direct proof.
Suppose n is even. Then $n = 2k$ for some integer k . Consequently, $(n-1) = 2k-1 = 2(k-1)+1$. This means $(n-1)$ is odd because it is of the form $2t+1$, where $t = k-1$ is another integer.

(ii) We again use direct proof to show $p_1 \rightarrow p_3$. We suppose $(n-1)$ is odd. Then $n-1 = 2k+1$ for some integer k . Hence, $n = 2k+2$ which follows $n^2 = (2k+2)^2 = 4k^2 + 8k + 4 = 2(2k^2 + 4k + 2)$. This can be expressed as $n^2 = 2t$, where $t = 2k^2 + 4k + 2$ is another integer. $\rightarrow (p_1 \rightarrow p_3)$

(iii) We use the proof by contraposition to show $p_3 \rightarrow p_1$. That is, we prove that if n is not even then n^2 is not even i.e. if n is odd, then n^2 is odd.