



UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE SERGIPE
CENTRO DE CIÊNCIAS EXATAS E TECNOLOGIA
DEPARTAMENTO DE COMPUTAÇÃO

Padrões de desenvolvimento de aplicações com arquitetura de microserviços da perspectiva da cultura DevOps

Trabalho de Conclusão de Curso

João Paulo Feitosa Secundo



São Cristóvão – Sergipe

2022

UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE SERGIPE
CENTRO DE CIÊNCIAS EXATAS E TECNOLOGIA
DEPARTAMENTO DE COMPUTAÇÃO

João Paulo Feitosa Secundo

**Padrões de desenvolvimento de aplicações com arquitetura de
microserviços da perspectiva da cultura DevOps**

Trabalho de Conclusão de Curso submetido ao Departamento de Computação da Universidade Federal de Sergipe como requisito parcial para a obtenção do título de Bacharel em Ciência da Computação.

Orientador(a): Rafael Oliveira Vasconcelos

São Cristóvão – Sergipe

2022

Lista de abreviaturas e siglas

API	Application Programing Interface - Interface para programação de aplicação
HTTP	HyperText Transfer Protocol - Protocolo de Transferência de HiperTexto

Sumário

1	Introdução	5
1.1	Objetivos	5
1.1.1	Objetivo geral	5
1.1.2	Objetivos específicos	6
2	Fundamentação teórica	7
	<i>Introdução sobre arquitetura de microserviços e DevOps, revisão da literatura.</i>	
2.1	O que são microserviços	7
2.1.1	São autônomos e isolados	7
2.1.2	São elásticos, resilientes, e responsivos	8
2.1.3	São orientados-a-mensagens e programáveis	9
2.1.3.1	Implicações	9
2.1.4	São configuráveis	9
2.1.5	São automatizados	10
2.2	A arquitetura de microserviços	11
2.3	Vantagens da arquitetura de microserviços	11
2.4	DevOps	11
3	Desenvolvimento	13
3.1	Problemas, soluções e desafios.	13
3.1.1	Design of MSA based systems in DevOps	14
3.1.2	Implementation of MSA based systems in DevOps	14
3.1.3	Testing of MSA based systems in DevOps	15
3.1.4	Deployment of MSA based systems in DevOps	15
3.1.5	Monitoring of MSA based Systems in DevOps	16
3.1.6	Organizational Problems	16
3.1.7	Resource Management Problems	16
3.2	Requerimentos de sistemas baseados em microserviços em DevOps	17
3.3	Design de sistemas baseados em microserviços em DevOps	17
	Referências	18

Apêndices	19
APÊNDICE A Quisque libero justo	20
APÊNDICE B Nullam elementum urna vel imperdiet sodales elit ipsum pharetra ligula ac pretium ante justo a nulla curabitur tristique arcu eu metus	21
Anexos	22
ANEXO A Morbi ultrices rutrum lorem.	23
ANEXO B Cras non urna sed feugiat cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis parturient montes nascetur ridiculus mus	24
ANEXO C Fusce facilisis lacinia dui	25

1

Introdução

In recent years, the rise of the internet and the ubiquity of mobile computing have made it necessary for application developers to design their applications focusing on a lightweight, self-contained component.

Developers need to deploy applications quickly and make changes to the application without a complete redeployment. This has led to a new development paradigm called "microservices," where an application is broken into a suite of small, independent units that perform their respective functions and communicate via APIs.

Although independent units, any number of these microservices may be pulled by the application to work together and achieve the desired results.

For the past several years, we have been developing standards and practices for team development of large, complex systems using a layered, monolithic architecture. This is reflected in how we organize into teams, structure our solutions and source code control systems, and package and release our software.

1.1 Objetivos

Esta seção descreve os objetivos do trabalho. Esta é a [seção 1.1](#). Veja os objetivos específicos em [subseção 1.1.2](#).

1.1.1 Objetivo geral

Modelar uma implementação de arquitetura de microserviços, baseado nas práticas DevOps.

1.1.2 Objetivos específicos

- Reunir práticas comuns na implementação de microserviços;
- Analisar/testar a eficiência dessas práticas no contexto devops, por meio (estudos de caso? análise da literatura? exemplos de empresas que as usam?);
- Reunir ferramentas bem estabelecidas na implementação de microserviços;
- Analisar/testar a eficiência dessas ferramentas, por meio de (estudos de caso? análise da literatura? exemplos de empresas que as usam?);
- Propor uma combinação dessas ferramentas e práticas a para construção de um aplicativo.

2

Fundamentação teórica

Introdução sobre arquitetura de microserviços e DevOps, revisão da literatura.

2.1 O que são microserviços

The term microservice can be a bit misleading. The prefix “micro” implies that microservices are either tiny little entities. Microservices do work on our behalf but they are not always tiny. The “micro” in microservices is actually in reference to the scope of functionality that the service provides

A microservice provides a business or platform capability through a well-defined API, data contract, and configuration. It provides this function and only this function. It does one thing and it does it well. This simple concept provides the foundation for a framework that will guide the design, development, and deployment of your microservices.

Within the context of doing one thing and doing it well, microservices also exhibit a number of other properties and behaviors; it is these elements that differentiate microservices from previous incarnations of service-oriented approaches.

2.1.1 São autônomos e isolados

Autônomo - Existe ou é capaz de existir independentemente das outras partes.

Isolado - Separado das outras partes.

Isso significa que microserviços são unidades auto-contidas de funcionalidade com dependências de outros serviços fracamente acopladas e são projetados, desenvolvidos, testados e lançados independentemente.

Implicações

For the past several years, we have been developing standards and practices for team development of large, complex systems using a layered, monolithic architecture. This is reflected in how we organize into teams, structure our solutions and source code control systems, and package and release our software. Monolithic solutions are built, tested, and deployed as one large body of code, typically across set of server or VM instances, in order to provide scale and performance. If a bug is fixed or a feature added or content updated, the entire solution is built, tested, and deployed across the server farm as one large entity. The process of building, deploying, and regression testing the monolith is costly and time-consuming. Over time, these monoliths turn into large, complex, tightly coupled systems that are nearly impossible to maintain and evolve in new directions. If you want to adopt a microservices architecture, your standards and practices will need to adapt to this new pattern. Teams will need to be organized in such a way as to support the development of microservices as distinct, independent products. The development, test, and production environments will need to be organized to support these teams, developing and deploying their microservice products separate of one another. When changes are made, only the microservice affected needs to go through the deployment pipeline, thus simplifying the process of updating the system and delivering new features and functions. By dividing the solution up into its microservice component parts and treating them as separate development efforts, the speed of development will increase and the cost of making changes will go down.

2.1.2 São elásticos, resilientes, e responsivos

Elastic: Capable of returning to its original length, shape, etc., after being stretched, deformed, compressed, or expanded.

Resilient: Able to become strong, healthy, or successful again after something bad happens.

Responsive: Quick to respond or react.

Microserviços são reusados entre muitas soluções diferentes e portanto devem ser escaláveis de acordo com o uso. Devem ser tolerantes a falhas e ter um tempo de recuperação razoável se algo der errado. E também devem ser responsivos, tendo um desempenho razoável de acordo com o uso.

Implicações

The environment in which you deploy your microservices must provide dynamic scale and high availability configurations for both stateful and stateless services. This is achieved by leveraging a modern cloud platform such as Microsoft Azure. Azure provides all the necessary capabilities to support elastic scale, fault tolerance, and high availability as well as configuration

options that allow you the right size for performance. You will delve into how Azure is a microservices platform in greater detail in Chapter 4.

2.1.3 São orientados-a-mensagens e programáveis

Orientado-a-mensagens: Software que conecta sistemas separados em uma rede, carregando e distribuindo mensagens entre eles.

Programável: Um plano de tarefas que são executadas com para alcançar um objetivo específico.

Microserviços dependem de APIs e contratos de dados para definir como interagir com o serviço. A API define um conjunto de endpoints acessíveis por rede, e o contrato de dados define a estrutura da mensagem que é enviada ou retornada.

2.1.3.1 Implicações

Defining service end points and data contracts is not new. Microservice architecture builds on the evolution of industry standards to define the interaction semantics. If these standards evolve or new ones are introduced, a microservice architecture will evolve to adopt these new standards. At the time of this writing, the industry has generally settled on Representational State Transfer (ReST) over HTTP for defining API endpoints and JavaScript Object Notation (JSON) for the definition of data contracts. In addition, service bus capabilities such as store and forward message queues are used to provide loose coupling between components and an asynchronous programming model.

APIs and data contracts are the outermost edge of a microservice and define how a client of the service can invoke a function. Behind this API may be a very sophisticated set of software components, storage mediums, and multiple VM instances that provide the function. To the consumer of the service this is all a black box, meaning they know the published inputs and outputs but nothing else about the inner workings. As a consumer of that service, they have knowledge of the published inputs and outputs, and nothing more. What is expected is that a message is constructed, the API is invoked, and a response is returned. The interaction between the consumer and the service is finite and distinct.

2.1.4 São configuráveis

Configurável: Projetado ou adaptado para formar uma configuração ou para algum propósito.

Microserviços devem provêr mais do que apenas uma API e um contrato de dados. Para que seja reusável e para que possa resolver as necessidades de que sistema que o use, cada microserviço tem níveis diferentes de configuração, e esta configuração pode ser feita de diferentes formas.

Implicações

As you begin the design process for a microservice, you will soon discover that multiple APIs will emerge. Along with the public-facing API that you want to expose to the world, other endpoints will surface that are more of an administrative function and will be used to define how to bootstrap, monitor, manage, scale, configure, and perform other perfunctory operations on the service. Like any good software product, a microservice should provide an easy-to-use interface or console for administrative functions to configure and manage running instances. Behind the console is, of course, a set of private to semi-private APIs that provides access to the underlying data and configuration settings driving the service. A microservice, then, is more than just its public-facing ReST API and consists of multiple APIs with varying levels of access, supporting administrative consoles and a runtime infrastructure to support all of the above. It is a software product with all the trimmings.

2.1.5 São automatizados

Automatizados: Funcionar sem precisar ser controlado diretamente.

O ciclo de vida de um microserviço é totalmente automatizado, desde o design até o lançamento.

Implicações

As you ponder this new world of software product development made up of microservices, it may occur to you that this whole effort could be quite complex with a proliferation of independent microservice products and all the complexity that entails, and you would not be wrong. This approach should not be undertaken without first having complete automated control over the software development lifecycle. This is about having the right set of tools to support a fully automated development pipeline, but more importantly it is about evolving to a DevOps culture. A DevOps culture is one that promotes collaboration and integration of the development and operations teams. When you form a team that is responsible for the design, implementation, and deployment of a microservice, that team should be cross functional, consisting of all the skills necessary to carry the process from design through deployment. That means that traditional development teams consisting of architects, developers, and testers should be expanded to include operations. While developers are traditionally responsible for the automation from build through test, called continuous integration, the operations group is traditionally responsible for deployment across test, staging, and production. Combining these teams offers the opportunity to make automation of the entire product development pipeline as well as the monitoring, diagnostics, and recovery operations a first class activity of the product team. This process is called automation, and the entire team takes responsibility for smooth operation of product releases.

2.2 A arquitetura de microserviços

Microserviços são uma abordagem arquitetônica e organizacional do desenvolvimento de software na qual o software consiste em pequenos serviços independentes que se comunicam usando APIs bem definidas. Esses serviços pertencem a pequenas equipes autossuficientes.

A arquitetura de microserviços (AMS) está ganhando força no desenvolvimento e entrega de aplicações de software como um conjunto de pequenos serviços granulares que podem ser integrados por meio de mecanismos de comunicação leve, normalmente APIs RESTful [10]. Microserviços são componentes pequenos e facilmente entendíveis que possuem capacidades de negócio no meio dos serviços [11]. Esses serviços podem ser escalados independentemente (já que são desacoplados) pela implementação de stacks de tecnologias diferentes [2]. Muitos pesquisadores e praticantes dizem que AMS é uma evolução da Arquitetura orientada a serviços (AOS), como visto no contexto de serviços independentes/auto-suficientes e de natureza leve [12]. Por outro lado, AMS pode ser diferenciada da AOS em termos de compartilhamento de componentes, comunicação de serviços, mediação de serviços, e acesso remoto aos serviços [13]. (Bar, f., 2018, tradução nossa).

De acordo com Fowler, M., existem alguns pré-requisitos para começar a aplicar a usar a arquitetura de microserviços em um projeto - Provisionamento rápido, monitoramento básico, e entrega (deployment) rápido.

All of these services and the infrastructures where the services are developed, tested, and deployed require robust automation to handle the number of the processes and velocity of change [19]. It is argued that DevOps can reduce the impact of the challenges related to MSA development and operations [20]

2.3 Vantagens da arquitetura de microserviços

Evolução do software.

Quanto maior e mais antigo o software, mais difícil é de dar manutenção. E monolitos envelhecem com maior velocidade do que microserviços. Mas é possível migrar de um sistema monolito para a arquitetura de microserviços aos poucos, um serviço por vez, identificando capacidades de negócio, implementando-as como um microserviço, e integrando com uso de padrões de acoplamento solto [relaxado?folgado?] (loose coupling)

2.4 DevOps

DevOps is a culture that combines new or improved practices, processes, team structures and responsibilities, and tools to maximize the ability of an organization to deliver applications and services quickly [15, 22]. DevOps acts as a process framework that can be used for developing,

deploying, and managing MSA [1]. The coexistence of microservices and DevOps enables reusability, decentralized data governance, automation, and built-in scalability [2]. MSA and DevOps have many common characteristics that make them a perfect fit for each other. For instance, DevOps practices and MSA promote the idea of decomposing large problems into smaller pieces and then address them through small cross-functional teams [23]. Containerized microservices can be realized independently because DevOps gives them a favor of continuous integration and deployment. Although it is not compulsory to design software systems based on MSA in DevOps, most of the challenges arisen in DevOps can be resolved by using MSA [17]. This combination is expected to increase the team's throughput and the overall quality of the system [1, 23]. For example, with MSA and DevOps, Netflix and Amazon engineers can do hundreds of deployments each day [19]. The MSA and DevOps combination brings several other benefits, including frequent software release, reliability and scalability of systems, resilience in the case of failure, and management of decentralized teams to control the application development [24, 25]. Moreover, the DevOps toolchain helps to continually code, build, test, package, release, configure, and monitor the MSA based systems. Furthermore, both MSA and DevOps are designed to offer great agility and operational efficiency for an enterprise

3

Desenvolvimento

Para provisionamento, considerar a ferramenta

Para lançamento, considerar a tecnologia

Para comunicação entre serviços, APIs são a método mais comum.

3.1 Problemas, soluções e desafios.

Requerimentos de sistemas baseados em AMS em DevOps

This category reports the problems and solutions related to the requirements of MSA based systems. To address performance overhead issues, Study (S08) presents DevOps based CAOPLE language Integrated Development Environment (CIDE). This platform provides precise control over the deployment and testing of microservices to address the performance overhead. Study (S33) proposes the VM autoconfiguration methodology to address performance issues; VM auto-configuration method creates the central domain control agent for optimizing the performance of MSA based systems. Study (S18) proposes Unicorn framework to avoid delays and network performance issues, whereas Study (S24) suggests that architects should try not to decompose microservices too fine-grain. Study (S16) presents a DevOps based approach called “Neo-Metropolis”. This approach offers open source solutions (e.g., Terraform, Ansible, Mesos, and Hadoop) to deal with scalability and elasticity of MSA based systems across different cloud platforms. Study (S18) argues for the use of containers to deal with scalability issues because containers provide an easy way to scale operations by creating more copies of the services (S18). Study (S41) suggests that developing microservices around business capabilities can address this scalability issue.

3.1.1 Design of MSA based systems in DevOps

This category reports the problems and solutions related to the design of MSA based systems in DevOps (see Figure 6), which can be further classified into application decomposition (S28, S33, S35, S37), security and privacy (S10, S18, S20, S36), and uncertainty (S01). Study (S28) recommends the Domain-Driven Design (DDD) pattern to address the application decomposition problem. By applying the DDD pattern, architects identify the bounded context (capabilities within the system) that can be used as a starting point for defining microservices. Similarly, Study (S33) recommends the Model-ViewController (MVC) pattern for application decomposition into microservices in terms of business scope, functionalities, and responsibilities. Study (S10) presents the DevOps based ARCADIA framework to address security issues. This framework enables security and privacy across the microservices development lifecycle by providing multi-vendor security solutions (e.g., FWaaS and OAuth 2). Study (S18) presents DevOps based Unicorn framework that offers policies and constraints to meet security requirements of MSA based systems, whereas Study (S36) suggests that a combination of standard cryptographic primitives (e.g., hash and MAC functions for authentication encryption) can provide a high level of security to microservices communication and flexible authentication to DevOps teams. To deal with uncertainty issues in cloud-native architecture, Study (S01) proposes the theory-based control models at runtime patterns. Models at runtime patterns address the uncertainty aspects (e.g., resource availability) dynamically through the control loop.

3.1.2 Implementation of MSA based systems in DevOps

The identified problems and solutions in this category belong to microservices integration and managing databases for microservices. To deal with the operational and configuration complexity issue, Study (S20) recommends a CD platform, which provides a CD pipeline for each service that can give control over the integration of microservices. To address the complexity issues due to a large number of microservices, Study (S24) suggests two guidelines: first, keep the interface of each microservice as simple as possible for integration purposes, and second, it is recommended to use the technology which does not require specific programming language while implementing microservices to avoid from integration issues. Moreover, Study (S03) proposes a platform (i.e., HARNESS) to facilitate the integration of microservices that are developed in geographically distributed locations. In addition to these guidelines, Study (S08) also proposes the CIDE platform that provides precise control over testing, deployment, and integration of the new functionality into existing systems. To handle the problem of data management of MSA based systems, Study (S24) discusses the use of database per service and a shared database for multiple microservices patterns. Database per service pattern can be implemented through defining a separate set of tables per function, scheme per service, and database server per service, whereas a shared database pattern can be implemented by defining a single database for a group of microservices. Usually, microservices are grouped according to the business context to use the

shared database.

3.1.3 Testing of MSA based systems in DevOps

The number of services, inter-communication processes, dependencies, instances, and other variables influence the testing process for MSA based systems in DevOps. We identified six studies that stress on excessive testing of MSA based systems in DevOps. Study (S28) claims that all traditional testing strategies (e.g., unit testing, functional testing, regression testing, etc.) can be used to test MSA based systems. Moreover, Study (S28) also recommends internal testing, service testing, protocol testing, composition testing, protocol testing, scalability/throughput testing, failover/fault tolerance testing, and penetration testing strategies. Apart from the testing strategies mentioned above, Study (S08) and Study (S11) presents the CIDE platform that can be used to test MSA based systems in DevOps. The tools we identified from the selected studies that can be used to test MSA based systems are listed in Figure 7.

3.1.4 Deployment of MSA based systems in DevOps

Many solutions have been proposed to address the issues of MSA based system deployment in DevOps (e.g., complexity, dynamic deployment, and deployment in development, production, and testing environment). For instance, Study (S12) recommends a multipurpose Docker Compose tool, which can work in different environments, such as staging, development, deployment, and testing environments, and smooth the deployment process of microservices in the development environment. Study (S27) recommends Kubernetes, working with a range of containers tools (e.g., Dockers), to deploy and scale microservices into the production environment. Study (S20) recommends that the frequent deployment of microservices must be automated through a CD pipeline to finish within due time. To address the problem of complexity in dynamic deployment of many microservices, Study (S08) and Study (S11) present the CIDE platform, which provides precise control over dynamic deployment through Communication Engine (CE) and Local Execution Engine (LEE). To deal with the problem of MSA based SaaS deployment, Study (S21) proposes the SmartVM framework to automate the deployment of MSA based SaaS. Study (S21) also provides strategies (e.g., Traefik, HTTP reverse proxy, round-robin) for load balancing and separating the functional and operational concerns. Jolie Redeployment Optimiser (JRO) has been employed to achieve an optimal deployment of MSA based systems (S25). JRO consists of three components: Zephyrus, Jolie Enterprise (JE), and Jolie Reconfiguration Coordinator (JRE), in which Zephyrus generates detailed and optimal architecture for MSA based systems, JE provides a framework for deploying and managing microservices, and JRE interacts with Zephyrus and JE for optimized deployment.

3.1.5 Monitoring of MSA based Systems in DevOps

A factory design pattern-based approach, called Omnia, has been proposed to address monitoring infrastructure problem (S05). This approach provides a component called monitoring interface, which enables developers to monitor MSA based systems independently and helps system administrators to build monitoring systems that are compatible with such interface by using monitoring factory components. Some tools can help address logging issues (see Figure 7). To address the problem of monitoring fine-grain microservices at runtime in a shared execution environment, Study (S18) presents DevOps based Unicorn framework, which can monitor highly decomposed MSA based systems at runtime (S18).

3.1.6 Organizational Problems

This theme reports problems related to culture, people, cost, and organization and team structure in the context of MSA and DevOps combination. To handle the problems that may be faced with when introducing MSA and DevOps combination in a given organization, Study (S23) suggests some guidelines, such as adopting new organizational structure, introducing small cross-functional teams, training for learning new skills (e.g., MSA, DevOps), changing employee habits toward the team work and sharing of responsibilities, and providing separate physical locations to teams, etc. Study (S24) suggests that the monolithic organizational structure needs to be aligned with the architecture of MSA based systems. Similarly, to address the issue related to establishing skilled and educated DevOps teams, Study (S24) suggests that the organization should arrange training programs for their employees for learning and adopting microservices in DevOps.

3.1.7 Resource Management Problems

This category provides the mapping of problems and solutions for different types of resources required to implement MSA in DevOps. Study (S01) recommends the virtualization of applications, infrastructures, and platforms resources as a solution for addressing resource management problems. Study (S09) suggests using containers and VMs for microservices in DevOps to get the desired level of efficiency in resource utilization. Study (S03) proposes the HARNESS approach (i.e., a DevOps based approach) that provides a cloud-based platform for bringing together commodity and specialized resources (e.g., skilled people). Study (S19) introduces an MSA based SONATA NFV platform with DevOps to address resource management problems by providing a set of tools (e.g., GitHub, Jenkins, Docker). The SONATA NFV platform can also create the CI/CD pipeline to automate steps in software delivery process. Study (S09) argued that dedicated access to the host's hardware can be increased either by giving extra privileges to microservices or by enhancing the capability of containers to access the host resources.

3.2 Requerimentos de sistemas baseados em microserviços em DevOps

- Performance Issue due to Lack of Dedicated Access to the Host's Hardware (S09) .
Enable Dedicated Access to the Host's Hardware (S09)

- Empowering Developers through intelligent Software (S45) . Machine Learning-based
Plug-In (S45)

- Performance Overhead due to Fine Grain Decomposition (S02, S06, S08, S11, S18,
S24, S30, S33, S45) . Unicorn Framework (S18) . VM Auto-configuration Method (S18) . CIDE
Platform (S08)

Scaling MSA-based Systems (S16, S18, S41) . Neo-Metropolis Platform (S16) . Designing
microservices around business capabilities (S41) . Containers (S18)

3.3 Design de sistemas baseados em microserviços em DevOps

Security and Privacy Across Cloud-Native Applications (S10, S18, S20, S23) . ARCADIA
framework (S10)

Referências

Apêndices

APÊNDICE A – Quisque libero justo

Quisque facilisis auctor sapien. Pellentesque gravida hendrerit lectus. Mauris rutrum sodales sapien. Fusce hendrerit sem vel lorem. Integer pellentesque massa vel augue. Integer elit tortor, feugiat quis, sagittis et, ornare non, lacus. Vestibulum posuere pellentesque eros. Quisque venenatis ipsum dictum nulla. Aliquam quis quam non metus eleifend interdum. Nam eget sapien ac mauris malesuada adipiscing. Etiam eleifend neque sed quam. Nulla facilisi. Proin a ligula. Sed id dui eu nibh egestas tincidunt. Suspendisse arcu.

APÊNDICE B – Nullam elementum urna vel imperdiet sodales elit ipsum pharetra ligula ac pretium ante justo a nulla curabitur tristique arcu eu metus

Nunc velit. Nullam elit sapien, eleifend eu, commodo nec, semper sit amet, elit. Nulla lectus risus, condimentum ut, laoreet eget, viverra nec, odio. Proin lobortis. Curabitur dictum arcu vel wisi. Cras id nulla venenatis tortor congue ultrices. Pellentesque eget pede. Sed eleifend sagittis elit. Nam sed tellus sit amet lectus ullamcorper tristique. Mauris enim sem, tristique eu, accumsan at, scelerisque vulputate, neque. Quisque lacus. Donec et ipsum sit amet elit nonummy aliquet. Sed viverra nisl at sem. Nam diam. Mauris ut dolor. Curabitur ornare tortor cursus velit.

Morbi tincidunt posuere arcu. Cras venenatis est vitae dolor. Vivamus scelerisque semper mi. Donec ipsum arcu, consequat scelerisque, viverra id, dictum at, metus. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Ut pede sem, tempus ut, porttitor bibendum, molestie eu, elit. Suspendisse potenti. Sed id lectus sit amet purus faucibus vehicula. Praesent sed sem non dui pharetra interdum. Nam viverra ultrices magna.

Aenean laoreet aliquam orci. Nunc interdum elementum urna. Quisque erat. Nullam tempor neque. Maecenas velit nibh, scelerisque a, consequat ut, viverra in, enim. Duis magna. Donec odio neque, tristique et, tincidunt eu, rhoncus ac, nunc. Mauris malesuada malesuada elit. Etiam lacus mauris, pretium vel, blandit in, ultricies id, libero. Phasellus bibendum erat ut diam. In congue imperdiet lectus.

Anexos

ANEXO A – Morbi ultrices rutrum lorem.

Sed mattis, erat sit amet gravida malesuada, elit augue egestas diam, tempus scelerisque nunc nisl vitae libero. Sed consequat feugiat massa. Nunc porta, eros in eleifend varius, erat leo rutrum dui, non convallis lectus orci ut nibh. Sed lorem massa, nonummy quis, egestas id, condimentum at, nisl. Maecenas at nibh. Aliquam et augue at nunc pellentesque ullamcorper. Duis nisl nibh, laoreet suscipit, convallis ut, rutrum id, enim. Phasellus odio. Nulla nulla elit, molestie non, scelerisque at, vestibulum eu, nulla. Ut odio nisl, facilisis id, mollis et, scelerisque nec, enim. Aenean sem leo, pellentesque sit amet, scelerisque sit amet, vehicula pellentesque, sapien.

ANEXO B – Cras non urna sed feugiat cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis parturient montes nascetur ridiculus mus

Sed consequat tellus et tortor. Ut tempor laoreet quam. Nullam id wisi a libero tristique semper. Nullam nisl massa, rutrum ut, egestas semper, mollis id, leo. Nulla ac massa eu risus blandit mattis. Mauris ut nunc. In hac habitasse platea dictumst. Aliquam eget tortor. Quisque dapibus pede in erat. Nunc enim. In dui nulla, commodo at, consectetur nec, malesuada nec, elit. Aliquam ornare tellus eu urna. Sed nec metus. Cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis parturient montes, nascetur ridiculus mus. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas.

ANEXO C – Fusce facilisis lacinia dui

Phasellus id magna. Duis malesuada interdum arcu. Integer metus. Morbi pulvinar pellentesque mi. Suspendisse sed est eu magna molestie egestas. Quisque mi lorem, pulvinar eget, egestas quis, luctus at, ante. Proin auctor vehicula purus. Fusce ac nisl aliquam ante hendrerit pellentesque. Class aptent taciti sociosqu ad litora torquent per conubia nostra, per inceptos hymenaeos. Morbi wisi. Etiam arcu mauris, facilisis sed, eleifend non, nonummy ut, pede. Cras ut lacus tempor metus mollis placerat. Vivamus eu tortor vel metus interdum malesuada.