# **SOLVING EQUATIONS**

**QUANTITATIVE ECONOMICS 2024** 

Piotr Żoch

October 30, 2024

# INTRODUCTION

- Systems of linear equations.
- Nonlinear equations (to be added)

# LINEAR SYSTEMS OF EQUATIONS

• One of the most common problems in scientific computation: solve

$$Ax = b$$
,

for **x**, where **A** is a square matrix and **b** is a vector.

- Seems like an easy problem, but it will teach us many things.
- Multiple specialized libraries for numerical linear algebra: LAPACK, BLAS, IMKL...

#### **DIRECT METHODS**

- Elementary operations:
  - mutliply a row by a scalar,
  - add a scalar multiple of a row to another row,
  - interchange two rows.
- Solve  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  by using elementary row operations on the augmented matrix  $[\mathbf{A} \mid \mathbf{b}]$ .
- Transform A into a reduced row echelon form.

#### **DIRECT METHODS**

- Two step procedure:
  - Forward elimination.

- Backward elimination.

#### FLOATING POINT NUMBERS

- Forward elimination: to deal with the first column we need  $n^2$  operations, for the second  $n^2 1$ , for the third  $n^2 2$  and so on.
- Backward elimination: to deal with the last column we need n operations, for the second to last n-1, for the third to last n-2 and so on.
- Forward elimination is  $O(n^3)$ , backward elimination is  $O(n^2)$ .

#### TRIANGULAR SYSTEMS

Lower triangular system:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ l_{21} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ l_{31} & l_{32} & 1 & 0 \\ l_{41} & l_{42} & l_{43} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \\ y_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ b_3 \\ b_4 \end{bmatrix}$$

can be solved using forward elimination, starting from the top

$$y_i = b_i - \sum_{i=1}^{i-1} l_{ij} y_j$$
.

# **UPPER TRIANGULAR SYSTEMS**

Upper triangular system:

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_{11} & u_{12} & u_{13} & u_{14} \\ 0 & u_{22} & u_{23} & u_{24} \\ 0 & 0 & u_{33} & u_{34} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & u_{44} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \\ y_4 \end{bmatrix}$$

can be solved using backward elimination, starting from the bottom

$$x_i = \frac{1}{u_{ij}} \left( y_i - \sum_{j=i+1}^n u_{ij} x_j \right).$$

Suppose we can write

$$A = LU$$

where **L** is a lower triangular matrix and **U** is an upper triangular matrix.

We have

$$L(Ux) = b \rightarrow Ly = b$$

which we can solve for **y** using forward elimination.

We then solve

$$\mathbf{U}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$$

for **x** using backward elimination.

- This is known as Gaussian elimination.
  - 1. Compute L and U.
  - 2. Solve  $\mathbf{L}\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{b}$  for  $\mathbf{y}$  using forward elimination.
  - 3. Solve **Ux** = **y** for **x** using backward elimination.
- Step 1. is known as LU decomposition.
- Nice thing: we can keep **L** and **U** and recycle them for different **b**.
- How to perform the decomposition?

#### MATRIX MULTIPLICATION BY OUTER PRODUCTS

- Write the columns of **A** as  $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ .
- Write the rows of **B** as  $\mathbf{b}_1^{\mathsf{T}}, \dots, \mathbf{b}_n^{\mathsf{T}}$ .
- We have

$$\mathbf{AB} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbf{a}_{k} \mathbf{b}_{k}^{\top}.$$

 Useful: for triangular matrices L, U only the first outer product contributes to the first row and the first column of LU

$$\mathbf{e}_1^{\mathsf{T}} \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{l}_k \mathbf{u}_k^{\mathsf{T}} = l_{11} \mathbf{u}_1^{\mathsf{T}}, \quad \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{l}_k \mathbf{u}_k^{\mathsf{T}}\right) \mathbf{e}_1 = u_{11} \mathbf{l}_1.$$

# LU FACTORIZATION WITHOUT PIVOTING

# Algorithm LU Factorization without Pivoting

```
Require: Matrix \mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}

1: for j = 1 to n do

2: for i = j + 1 to n do

3: a_{ij} = \frac{a_{ij}}{a_{jj}}

4: for k = j + 1 to n do

5: a_{ik} = a_{ik} - a_{ij}a_{jk}

6: end for

7: end for

8: end for
```

- This algorithm uses A matrix to store L and U.
- Problem when  $a_{ij} = 0$  at any step -

## SOLVING THE SYSTEM

We need

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=j+1}^{n} \left( 1 + \sum_{k=j+1}^{n} 2 \right) = \frac{2}{3} n^3 - \frac{1}{2} n^2 - \frac{1}{6} n$$

operations to perform the factorization.

We then need

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( 2 + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} 2 \right) = n^2 + n$$

operations for forward and backward substitution each.

LU decomposition is the most costly step.

## SOLVING THE SYSTEM

We need

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=j+1}^{n} \left( 1 + \sum_{k=j+1}^{n} 2 \right) = \frac{2}{3} n^3 - \frac{1}{2} n^2 - \frac{1}{6} n$$

operations to perform the factorization.

We then need

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( 2 + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} 2 \right) = n^2 + n$$

operations for forward and backward substitution each.

LU decomposition is the most costly step.

# SOLVING THE SYSTEM

- In practice we use a similar method, but with pivoting
- PLU factorization:

$$\tilde{\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{L}\mathbf{U},$$

where  $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$  is a matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  with its rows permuted.

- It works if and only if A is non-singular.
- Asymptotically uses the same number of operations and LU without pivoting.

#### **NORMS**

- A vector norm is a function  $\|\cdot\| : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  that satisfies:
  - 1.  $\|\mathbf{x}\| \ge 0$ ,
  - 2.  $\|\mathbf{x}\| = 0 \iff \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$
  - 3.  $\|a\mathbf{x}\| = |a| \|\mathbf{x}\|$ ,
  - 4.  $\|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\| \le \|\mathbf{x}\| + \|\mathbf{y}\|$ ,

for all  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ .

• Common vector norms:  $\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_\infty$ :

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_{1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_{i}|, \quad \|\mathbf{x}\|_{2} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}}, \quad \|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty} = \max_{i=1,...,n} |x_{i}|.$$

#### **NORMS**

- For matrices we have matrix norms.
- A Frobenius norm is

$$\|\mathbf{A}\|_F = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}^2}.$$

- Imagine representing a matrix as a vector with columns stacked on top of each other.
- An induced matrix norm is

$$\|\mathbf{A}\|_p = \max_{\|\mathbf{x}\|_p=1} \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\|_p$$
.

• In Julia: norm(A) is the Frobenius norm, opnorm(A,p) is the induced norm.

#### **NORMS**

- We have
  - 1.  $\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\| \leq \|\mathbf{A}\| \|\mathbf{x}\|$ ,
  - 2.  $\|AB\| \le \|A\| \|B\|$ ,
  - 3. for a square matrix,  $\|\mathbf{A}^k\| \le \|\mathbf{A}\|^k$  for any integer  $k \ge 0$ .
- Two common matrix norm are the 1-norm and the ∞-norm:

$$\|\mathbf{A}\|_1 = \max_{j=1,\dots,n} \sum_{i=1}^m |a_{ij}|, \quad \|\mathbf{A}\|_{\infty} = \max_{i=1,\dots,m} \sum_{i=1}^n |a_{ij}|.$$

Consider the perturbed system

$$A(x+h)=b+d.$$

• The condition number is the relative change in the solution divided by the relative change in the data:

$$\kappa = \frac{\|\mathbf{h}\| / \|\mathbf{x}\|}{\|\mathbf{d}\| / \|\mathbf{b}\|} = \frac{\|\mathbf{h}\| \|\mathbf{b}\|}{\|\mathbf{d}\| \|\mathbf{x}\|}.$$

• Note that  $\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{d}$  so

$$\|\mathbf{h}\| \le \|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\| \|\mathbf{d}\|.$$

• Use  $\|\mathbf{h}\| \le \|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\| \|\mathbf{d}\|$  to write

$$\frac{\|h\| \|b\|}{\|d\| \|x\|} \le \frac{\|A^{-1}\| \|d\| \|A\| \|x\|}{\|d\| \|x\|} = \|A^{-1}\| \|A\|.$$

- We can prove that inequality is tight.
- The matrix condition number of an invertible square matrix A is

$$\kappa(\mathbf{A}) = \|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\| \|\mathbf{A}\|.$$

• If  $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x} + \Delta \mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{b} + \Delta \mathbf{b}$  then

$$\frac{\left\|\Delta \boldsymbol{x}\right\|}{\left\|\boldsymbol{x}\right\|} \leq \kappa \left(\boldsymbol{A}\right) \frac{\left\|\Delta \boldsymbol{b}\right\|}{\left\|\boldsymbol{b}\right\|}.$$

- The condition number is a measure of how sensitive the solution is to changes in the data.
- We can derive a similar result for perturbed A.
- The condition number is at least equal to 1.
- A condition number of  $10^k$  means that we lose k digits of precision.

- Suppose that we compute a "solution"  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$  to the system  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ .
- We would like to compare  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$  to the true solution  $\mathbf{x}$  but we do not know  $\mathbf{x}$ .
- We can calculate the residual

$$\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A}\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$$

.

We have

$$\frac{\left\|\boldsymbol{x}-\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}\right\|}{\left\|\boldsymbol{x}\right\|} \leq \kappa\left(\boldsymbol{A}\right) \frac{\left\|\boldsymbol{r}\right\|}{\left\|\boldsymbol{b}\right\|}.$$

- We saw that Gaussian elimination is  $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$ .
- This is prohibitive for large *n* (unless a matrix has a special structure).
- But matrix-vector multiplication is  $O(n^2)$ .
- In some cases we can apply repeated matrix-vector multiplication to solve the system.
- We call these iterative methods.

# JACOBI METHOD

- Suppose we want to solve  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ .
- This can be written as

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} x_j = b_i, \quad \text{or} \quad x_i = \frac{1}{a_{ii}} \left( b_i - \sum_{j \neq i} a_{ij} x_j \right).$$

• Start from some initial  $\mathbf{x}^{(0)}$  and iterate:

$$x_i^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{a_{ii}} \left( b_i - \sum_{j \neq i} a_{ij} x_j^{(k)} \right)$$

until  $\mathbf{x}^{(k+1)}$  is close enough to  $\mathbf{x}^{(k)}$ .

# **GAUSS-SEIDEL METHOD**

We can also write

$$x_i = \frac{1}{a_{ii}} \left( b_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij} x_j - \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} a_{ij} x_j \right).$$

• The Gauss-Seidel method uses the newest values of x<sub>i</sub>:

$$x_i^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{a_{ij}} \left( b_i - \sum_{i=1}^{i-1} a_{ij} x_j^{(k+1)} - \sum_{i=i+1}^{n} a_{ij} x_j^{(k)} \right).$$

• Rewrite  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{P} - \mathbf{N}$  so that the system is

$$Px = Nx + b.$$

An iterative method is

$$\mathbf{Px}^{(k+1)} = \left(\mathbf{Nx}^{(k)} + \mathbf{b}\right).$$

- P is called a preconditioner
- Jacobi and Gauss-Seidel differ in the choice of P.
- Since  $\mathbf{x}^{k+1} = \mathbf{P}^{-1} \left( \mathbf{N} \mathbf{x}^{(k)} + \mathbf{b} \right)$  a good preconditioner should be easy to invert.

- Why do we call **P** a preconditioner?
- Suppose we have a system  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ .
- The condition number is  $\kappa(\mathbf{A})$ .
- Suppose we have a preconditioned system  $P^{-1}Ax = P^{-1}b$ .
- The condition number is  $\kappa(\mathbf{P}^{-1}\mathbf{A})$ .
- If  $\mathbf{P} \approx \mathbf{A}^{-1}$ , then  $\kappa \left( \mathbf{P}^{-1} \mathbf{A} \right) \approx 1$ .

- Iterative methods do not always converge.
- They are guaranteed to converge if A is diagonally dominant:

$$|a_{ii}| > \sum_{i \neq i} |a_{ij}|.$$

 There are better methods than Jacobi and Gauss-Seidel - the idea is to choose P so that the convergence is faster.