Operating systems and concurrency B07

David Kendall

Northumbria University

Introduction

- Semaphores can be used to solve a number of classical synchronisation problems
- We will consider:
 - Producer/consumer problem
 - Readers/writers problem

Producer/consumer problem

- Very often in OS and concurrent applications programs, we have one or more tasks that produce data that must be used (consumed) by some other task(s).
- The rate at which data is produced may be, occasionally, greater than the rate at which data can be consumed
- A buffer can be useful to smooth out the differences in the rates of production and consumption

Producer/consumer problem

Real-world analogy

Imagine two people washing up. One person (the producer) washes the dishes and puts them in the dish rack (the buffer). The other person (the consumer) takes the dishes from the dish rack and dries them. If the dish rack fills up, the washer has to wait until the drier takes a dish from the rack. If the rack is empty, the drier has to wait for the washer to wash another dish and put it in the rack. (from [Goetz et al., 2006])

- Our problem is to implement the dish rack . . .
- ... and to ensure that the washer-up and drier use it properly

Naive circular buffer (.h)

```
#ifndef BUFFER H
#define BUFFER H
#include < stdint.h>
enum {
  BUF SIZE = 6UL
};
typedef struct message {
  uint32 t data;
 message t;
void putBuffer(message t const * const);
void getBuffer(message_t * const);
#endif
```

Naive circular buffer (.c)

```
#include < stdint.h>
#include <buffer.h>
static message_t buffer[BUF_SIZE];
static uint8 t front = 0;
static uint8 t back = 0;
void putBuffer(message t const * const msg) {
  buffer[back] = *msg;
  back = (back + 1) % BUF SIZE;
void getBuffer(message t * const msg) {
  *msg = buffer[front];
  front = (front + 1) % BUF SIZE;
```

Naive circular buffer (Use)

```
/* producer */
#include <buffer.h>
message_t msg;
msg.data = 27;
putBuffer(&msg);
/* consumer */
#include <buffer.h>
message t msg;
getBuffer(&msg);
lcdWrite(''%u'', msg.data);
```

Problems with a naive buffer

- Interference between producer(s) and consumer(s)
 - Imagine two producers (P1 and P2) concurrently executing putBuffer: P2 does buffer[back] = ... and is then descheduled; P1 starts and finishes putBuffer(...); P2 finishes putBuffer(...).
 - What has gone wrong? Draw the state of the buffer.
- Attempt to put data into a full buffer
 - No room on the dish rack must wait.
- Attempt to get data from an empty buffer
 - No dishes to dry must wait.

Elements of a solution

- Enforce mutual exclusion to avoid interference
 - Semaphore bufMutex initialized to the value 1
- Enforce producer wait if no buffer slots are empty
 - Semaphore emptySlot initialized to the value BUF_SIZE
- Enforce consumer wait if no buffer slots are full
 - Semaphore fullSlot initialized to the value 0

Structure of producer

```
while (true)
  // produce an item
 pend (emptySlot);
 pend (bufMutex);
  // add the item to the buffer
  post (bufMutex);
  post (fullSlot);
```

Structure of consumer

```
while (true) {
  pend (fullSlot);
  pend (bufMutex);
  // remove an item from buffer
  post (bufMutex);
  post (emptySlot);
    consume the item
```

Readers and writers

- Multiple tasks require access to a shared data structure, database or filesystem
- Some tasks only need to read the data (readers)
- Other tasks only need to write the data (writers)
- We need to avoid interference (how might this occur?)
- Full mutual exclusion may be inefficient (why?)
- So we require:
 - Any number of readers can be allowed to read simultaneously
 - A writer must have exclusive access (ie no other writers and no readers can access the data at the same time as the writer)

Elements of a solution

- Ensure mutually exclusive access to the data when writing
 - Semaphore writeMutex initialised to 1
- Keep a count of the number of readers
 - uint32_t nReaders initialised to 0
- Ensure mutually exclusive access to the readers count
 - Semaphore nReadersMutex initialised to 1

Structure of writer

```
while (true) {
  pend(writeMutex);

/* write the data */

  post(writeMutex);

/* do non-critical stuff */
}
```

- Protocol for a writer is very simple...
- ...it needs exclusive access to the data

Structure of a reader

```
while (true) {
  pend(nReadersMutex);
  nReaders += 1;
  if (nReaders == 1) {
    pend(writeMutex);
  post(nReadersMutex);
  /* read the data */
  pend(nReadersMutex);
  nReaders -= 1;
  if (nReaders == 0) {
    post(writeMutex);
  post(nReadersMutex);
  /* do non-critical stuff */
```

- We keep track of the number of readers
- The first reader to arrive needs to ensure that there are no writers

```
pend(writeMutex)
```

- The last reader to finish should release any waiting writers post (writeMutex)
- Readers that arrive while the first reader is waiting for a writer to finish are suspended by the first

pend(nReadersMutex)

Problem with this solution

• There's a problem with this solution that we'll consider next time

Summary

- Semaphores allow us to solve a variety of synchronisation problems
- Care is required to avoid a number of problems . . .
- ... to be considered next time

Acknowledgements

- Silberschatz, Galvin, Gagne, Operating System Concepts, John Wiley, 2008
- B. Goetz with T. Peierls, J. Bloch, J. Bowbeer, D. Holmes, and D. Lea, *Java Concurrency in Practice*, Addison Wesley, 2006