## PSU ECE Capstone Design Project Oral Presentation Evaluation

Project	 	 
Sponsor	 	 
Evaluator		

## Rating: 1 – unacceptable; 2 – below expectations 3 – meets expectations; 4 – exceeds expectations

Assignment statement: At the end of a Capstone Design project students are expected to deliver two items: a) oral presentation explaining their work and results, and b) written report describing their work in more detail. This form helps instructors and Capstone team leaders to evaluate students' work but can also be used by students to guide their preparation of the presentation. A separate file explains how written reports are evaluated. The goal of the oral presentation is to present the results of the project to a technical audience, e.g. fellow students and engineers from a sponsoring organization or company. It should cover all relevant methods, accomplishments and final results of the project. Each member of the team is expected to cover some part of the presentation. The length of the presentation should be determined after a discussion with instructor and team leader.

Team member	# 1 (name)	# 2 (name)	# 3 (name)	# 4 (name)	# 5 (name)
Overall organization					
Preparedness					
Visual aids quality/effect					
Technical content					
Delivery					
Handling of questions					
Effective use of time					
Total individual score					
Overall group score					

General comments:

The following table provides rubrics and metrics which are used to judge the quality of the presentation.

Rubric	1	2	3	4	
Overall Organization	<ul> <li>□ no discernable organization,</li> <li>□ topics switch back and forth,</li> <li>□ no logical flow among the slides</li> <li>□ none or poor introduction and conclusion.</li> <li>□ No "lessons learned"</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Some part(s) of the presentation are out of order,</li> <li>□ hard to follow the flow of slides,</li> <li>□ too much information</li> <li>□ few or unimportant "lessons learned" discussed</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Sequence of slides is logical;</li> <li>□ has all the required parts (intro &amp; motivation, problem statement, data, discussion, conclusion)</li> <li>□ reflects on lessons learned</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Exceptionally well organized,</li> <li>□ no missing parts,</li> <li>□ easy to follow and understand</li> </ul>	
Preparedness	<ul> <li>□ Information         presented is wrong         or out of date;</li> <li>□ does not explain         critical information</li> </ul>	□ Some topics are not well understood and not well presented; □ underlying theory not fully understood	☐ Grasps all important concepts and fundamentals.	☐ All fundamentals and many details are fully understood.	
Visual Aids Quality & Effect	<ul> <li>□ No attempt at presenting information in appropriate way</li> </ul>	Uses several visual aids (graphs, tables, etc) but they are not well done	☐ All aids are of high quality (readable, simple, effective)	☐ Includes some  "dynamic"  information or  animation to  illustrate some  important effects	
Technical Content	<ul> <li>Many important topics not covered at all or poorly explained</li> </ul>	Most of the important topics covered and explained	☐ All of the important topics are covered and explained well and in concise manner	<ul> <li>Exceptional quality and coverage with some new insights</li> </ul>	
Delivery (posture, eye contact, modulated voice, clarity, interesting)	<ul> <li>☐ Hunched over</li> <li>☐ Barely audible,</li> <li>☐ does not speak clearly,</li> <li>☐ monotone voice</li> <li>☐ long pauses between slides,</li> <li>☐ does not engage listeners</li> </ul>	□ Lacking in one or two of the elements, but OK in others.	<ul><li>□ All elements are satisfied;</li><li>□ maintains listeners interest</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Exceptional in all respects;</li> <li>makes you want to find out more about this topic</li> </ul>	
Handling of Questions	☐ Gives inaccurate or confusing answers to simple questions about the material	☐ Gets confused by some follow-up questions; does not answer the question directly	☐ Gives accurate and concise answers to most questions	☐ Has no problems in answering and engages the audience.	
Effective Use of Time	☐ Spends all the time on one slide and then barely mentions others	<ul> <li>□ Spends too much time on some unimportant detail;</li> <li>□ too little time on conclusions;</li> <li>□ maybe 5 minutes over or under time</li> </ul>	☐ On-time, covers all important topics; leads naturally to conclusions		