

# Some Elliptic PDE/BVP Theory

Jon Staggs

January 10, 2024

## 1 Introduction

We show a few problems where we derive weak/variational forms of elliptic PDEs. Once the weak forms are developed one can select a space of test functions (such as  $P1/\hat$  functions) to solve these PDEs with the Finite Element Method. We also show how one typically proves existence and uniqueness of solutions to elliptic PDEs via Lax-Milgram Theorem.

## 2 Existence and Uniqueness

1. Consider the boundary value problem  $u(x, y) : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} -u_{xx} + e^y u &= f, \quad \text{for } (x, y) \in (0, 1)^2, \\ u(0, y) &= 0, u(1, y) = \cos(y), \quad \text{for } y \in (0, 1). \end{aligned}$$

Rewrite this as a variational problem and show that there exists a unique solution. Be sure to define your function spaces carefully and identify where  $f$  must lie.

*Proof.* We seek to rewrite our BVP as a homogeneous problem. To this end, we consider the lift  $w = u - x \cos(y)$  so that  $u = w + x \cos(y)$ . Our BVP then becomes,

$$\begin{cases} -w_{xx} + e^y w = f - e^y x \cos(y) & \text{on } (0, 1)^2 \\ w(0, y) = 0, \quad w(1, y) = 0. \end{cases}$$

To formulate the variational problem we take a test function  $v$  and integrate. At this point, we see that we can then take  $v \in H = \{g \in H^1((0, 1)^2) \mid g(0, y) = g(1, y) = 0\}$ .

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 -w_{xx} v \, dx dy + \int_{(0,1)^2} e^y w \, dx dy = \int_{(0,1)^2} (f - e^y x \cos(y)) v \, dx dy.$$

We now integrate by parts in  $x$  on the second derivative term which gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 -w_{xx} v \, dx dy &= \int_0^1 \int_0^1 w_x(0, y) v(0, y) - w_x(1, y) v(1, y) \, dx dy + \int_0^1 \int_0^1 w_x v_x \, dx dy \\ &= \int_{(0,1)^2} w_x v_x \, dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

We then have the variational form,

$$B(w, v) = \int_{(0,1)^2} w_x v_x \, dx dy + \int_{(0,1)^2} e^y w v \, dx dy,$$

$$F(v) = \int_{(0,1)^2} (f - e^y x \cos(y))v \, dx dy.$$

To show that there exists a unique solution we need to satisfy the hypothesis of Lax-Milgram. It is clear that  $B$  is indeed bilinear and  $F$  is indeed linear. It stands to show 1) continuity of  $B$ , 2) continuity of  $F$ , and 3) coercivity of  $B$ .

For the continuity of  $B$ , we want to show that  $|B(w, v)| \leq c \|w\|_{H^1} \|v\|_{H^1}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} |B(w, v)| &\leq \int_{(0,1)^2} |w_x v_x| \, dx dy + \int_{(0,1)^2} |e^y w v| \, dx dy \\ &\leq \int_{(0,1)^2} |w_x v_x| \, dx dy + e \int_{(0,1)^2} |w v| \, dx dy \\ &\leq \|w_x\|_{L^2} \|v_x\|_{L^2} + e \|w\|_{L^2} \|v\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq e \|w\|_{H^1} \|v\|_{H^1}. \end{aligned}$$

For continuity of  $F$  we want to show that  $|F(v)| \leq c \|v\|_{H^1}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} |F(v)| &\leq \int_{(0,1)^2} |f v| \, dx dy + \int_{(0,1)^2} |e^y x \cos(y) v| \, dx dy \\ &\leq \int_{(0,1)^2} |f v| \, dx dy + e \int_{(0,1)^2} |v| \, dx dy \\ &\leq \|f\|_{L^2} \|v\|_{L^2} + e \|\chi_{(0,1)}\|_{L^2} \|v\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq 2 \max(\|f\|_{L^2}, e) \|v\|_{H^1} \end{aligned}$$

At this point, we see that we ought to take  $f \in L^2$ .

For coercivity of  $B$ , we want to show that  $\gamma \|v\|_{H^1}^2 \leq |B(v, v)|$ .

$$\begin{aligned} |B(v, v)| &= \left| \int_{(0,1)^2} v_x^2 \, dx dy + \int_{(0,1)^2} e^y v^2 \, dx dy \right| \\ &\geq \left| \int_{(0,1)^2} v_x^2 \, dx dy + \int_{(0,1)^2} v^2 \, dx dy \right| \end{aligned}$$

■

2. Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be a bounded domain with a Lipschitz boundary,  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ , and  $\alpha > 0$ . Consider the Robin boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + u = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} + \alpha u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

- a) For this problem, formulate a variational principle,

$$B(u, v) = (f, v) \quad \text{for all } v \in H^1(\Omega).$$

- b) Show that this problem has a unique weak solution.

*Proof.* We take  $v \in H^1(\Omega)$ , multiply, and integrate to obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} -\Delta u v \, dx + \int_{\Omega} u v \, dx &= \int_{\Omega} f v \, dx \\ \iff \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla v \, dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nu v \, dx + \int_{\Omega} u v \, dx &= \int_{\Omega} f v \, dx \end{aligned}$$

Now using the Robin boundary condition we have the Bilinear and linear forms, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} B(u, v) &= \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla v \, dx + \int_{\Omega} u v \, dx + \alpha \int_{\partial\Omega} u v \, dx, \\ F(u, v) &= \int_{\Omega} f v \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

To show that there exists a unique weak solution we show that the variational problem satisfies Lax-Milgram. It is clear that  $B, F$  are linear. First, we show the continuity of  $F$ . We want to show  $|F(v)| \leq c \|v\|_{H^1}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\Omega} f v \, dx \right| &\leq \|f\|_{L^2} \|v\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \|f\|_{L^2} \|v\|_{H^1} \end{aligned}$$

For continuity of  $B$ , we want to show  $|B(u, v)| \leq c \|u\|_{H^1} \|v\|_{H^1}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} |B(u, v)| &= \left| \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla v \, dx + \int_{\Omega} u v \, dx + \alpha \int_{\partial\Omega} u v \, dx \right| \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u \nabla v| \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |u v| \, dx + \alpha \int_{\partial\Omega} |u v| \, dx \\ &\leq \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla v\|_{L^2} + \|u\|_{L^2} \|v\|_{L^2} + \alpha \|u\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} \|v\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} \\ &\leq 2\|u\|_{H^1} \|v\|_{H^1} + \alpha c^2 \|u\|_{H^1} \|v\|_{H^1} \\ &= (2 + \alpha c^2) (\|u\|_{H^1} \|v\|_{H^1}), \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality comes from the Trace Theorem.

Now it stands to show coercivity of  $B$ , that is  $|B(v, v)| \geq \gamma \|v\|_{H^1}^2$ . For sake of contradiction, suppose otherwise so that for each  $\gamma_n > 0$  there exists  $v_n$  such that

$$|B(v_n, v_n)| \leq \gamma_n \|v_n\|_{H^1}^2.$$

We take  $\gamma_n = 1/n$  and  $v_n$  to be normalized so we have that,

$$\begin{aligned}
|B(v_n, v_n)| &\leq \frac{1}{n} \\
\Rightarrow \left| \int_{\Omega} \nabla v_n^2 \, dx + \int_{\Omega} v_n^2 \, dx + \alpha \int_{\partial\Omega} v_n^2 \, dx \right| &\leq \frac{1}{n} \\
\Rightarrow \|v_n\|_{H^1}^2 + \alpha \left| \int_{\partial\Omega} v_n^2 \, dx \right| &\leq \frac{1}{n} \\
\Rightarrow \|v_n\|_{H^1}^2 &\leq \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 0.
\end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction since we assumed that  $v_n$  had norm 1. ■

3. Suppose  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  is a bounded Lipschitz domain. Consider the Stokes problem for vector  $u$  and scalar  $p$  given by

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + \nabla p = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where the first equation holds for each coordinate (i.e.  $-\Delta u_j + \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_j} = f_j$  for each  $j = 1, \dots, d$ ). This problem is not a minimization problem; it is a *saddle-point problem* in that we minimize some energy subject to the *constraint*  $\nabla \cdot u = 0$ . However, if we work over the constrained space, we can handle this problem by the ideas of this chapter. Let,

$$H = \{v \in (H_0^1(\Omega))^d \mid \nabla \cdot v = 0\}.$$

- a) Verify that  $H$  is a Hilbert space.

*Proof.* We define the inner-product,

$$\langle u, v \rangle_H = \sum_{j=1}^d \langle u_j, v_j \rangle_{H_0^1} + \langle \nabla \cdot u, \nabla \cdot v \rangle_{L^2}.$$

For  $u, v \in H$ , we have that  $\nabla \cdot u = \nabla \cdot v = 0$  then

$$\langle u, v \rangle_H = \sum_{j=1}^d \langle u_j, v_j \rangle_{H_0^1}.$$

Let  $\{u_n\}$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $H$ . We then have that

$$\langle u_n - u_m, u_n - u_m \rangle_H = \sum_{j=1}^d \langle u_{n_j} - u_{m_j}, u_{n_j} - u_{m_j} \rangle_{H_0^1} \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $(H_0^1)^d$  is Hilbert, namely complete, there exists a  $u \in (H_0^1)^d$  such that  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $(H_0^1)^d$  which is to say,

$$\sum_{j=1}^d \langle u_{n_j} - u_j, u_{n_j} - u_j \rangle_{H_0^1} \rightarrow 0.$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nabla \cdot (u_n - u_m), \nabla \cdot (u_n - u_m) \rangle &= \|\nabla \cdot (u_n - u_m)\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq \|u_n - u_m\|_H^2 \end{aligned}$$

hence  $\{\nabla \cdot u_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $L^2$  and since  $L^2$  is complete then there exists a  $g \in L^2$  such that  $\nabla \cdot u_n \rightarrow g$  in  $L^2$ .

We now need to show that  $\nabla \cdot u = g$  and  $\nabla \cdot u = 0$ . Recall that  $L^2$  convergence implies distributional convergence. Take  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$  then we have,

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \cdot u_n - g| \phi \, dx \leq \|\nabla \cdot u_n - g\|_{L^2} \|\phi\|_L^2 \rightarrow 0.$$

We now have that  $\nabla \cdot u_n \rightarrow g$  distributionally.

Similarly,  $H_0^1$  convergence implies distributional convergence. This gives us,

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_{\Omega} u_n \cdot \nabla \phi \, dx &\rightarrow - \int_{\Omega} u \cdot \nabla \phi \, dx \\ \implies \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \cdot u_n) \phi \, dx &\rightarrow \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \cdot u) \phi \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

This gives us that (in a distributional sense)  $\nabla \cdot u_n \rightarrow \nabla \cdot u$  and since  $\nabla \cdot u_n = 0$  then since  $\nabla u_n \rightarrow g$  we have that  $g = \nabla \cdot u = 0$ . Then Lebesgue Lemma gives us the desired result for the functions. Hence,  $u \in H$  and we conclude  $H$  is a Hilbert space. ■

- b) Determine an appropriate Sobolev space for  $f$ , and formulate an appropriate variational problem for the constrained Stokes problem.

*Proof.* Let  $v \in H \subset (H_0^1)^d$  and  $f \in (H^{-1})^d$ . We then have,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} -\Delta u_j v_j \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_j} v_j \, dx &= f_j(v_j) \\ \implies \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla v \, dx + \int_{\partial \Omega} v_j (\nabla u_j \nabla v_j) \cdot \nu \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_j} v_j \, dx &= f_j(v_j). \end{aligned}$$

Enforcing the boundary condition gives us the bilinear and linear forms,

$$\begin{aligned} B(u, v) &= \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_j \nabla v_j \, dx, \\ F(v) &= f_j(v_j) - \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_j} v_j \, dx. \end{aligned}$$
■

- c) Show that there is a unique solution to the variational problem.

*Proof.* Since we are in a Hilbert space  $H$ , we need to satisfy the conditions of Lax-Milgram. By our choice of  $F$  we only need to put a condition on  $p$ . If we take  $p \in H^1$  then  $F(v)$  is continuous. Now we show continuity of  $B$ .

$$\begin{aligned} |B(u, v)| &= \left| \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_j \nabla v_j \, dx \right| \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u \nabla v| \, dx \\ &\leq \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla v\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \|u\|_H \|v\|_H. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to show coercivity of  $B$ . Take  $v \in H$ , which means  $\nabla \cdot v = 0$ , and compute,

$$\begin{aligned} |B(v, v)| &= \int_{\Omega} (\nabla v)^2 \, dx \\ &= \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\geq c^2 \|v\|_{H_0^1}^2 \\ &= c^2 \|v\|_{H_0^1}^2 + \langle \nabla v, \nabla v \rangle_{L^2} \\ &= c^2 \|v\|_H^2. \end{aligned}$$

The first inequality comes from Poincaré's Inequality. ■

### 3 Finite Element Method

4. (finite elements) Use the Galerkin finite element method with continuous piecewise linear basis functions to solve the problem

$$\frac{d}{dx} \left( (1+x^2) \frac{du}{dx} \right) = f(x), \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1$$

$$u(0) = 0, u(1) = 0.$$

- a) Derive the matrix equation you will need to solve this problem.

*Proof.* We use the basis of continuous piecewise linear basis functions which are hat functions of the form,

$$\phi_i(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x-x_{i-1}}{x_i-x_{i-1}}, & [x_{i-1}, x_i] \\ \frac{x_{i+1}-x}{x_{i+1}-x_i}, & [x_i, x_{i+1}] \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

We also observe that if  $u$  satisfies the above differential equation then it also satisfies

$$\langle Lu, \phi \rangle = \langle f, \phi \rangle \quad (2)$$

where  $L[\cdot] = -\frac{d}{dx}((1+x^2)\frac{d[\cdot]}{dx})$ ,  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is the  $L_2$  inner product, and  $\phi$  can be written as a linear combination of our basis function. We can write eqn. (2) as,

$$\int_0^1 -\frac{d}{dx}((1+x^2)\frac{du}{dx})dx = \int_0^1 f(x)\phi(x)dx$$

$$\implies -(1+x^2)\frac{du}{dx}\phi(x)|_0^1 + \int_0^1 (1+x^2)\frac{du}{dx}\frac{d\phi}{dx}dx = \int_0^1 f(x)\phi(x)dx. \quad (3)$$

We take our approximation of  $u$  to be  $\hat{u} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} c_j \phi_j$ . Since inner product is linear and  $\phi$  is a linear combination we can write eqn. (refeq:innerprod-weak-form) as  $\langle L\hat{u} - f, \phi \rangle = \langle L\hat{u} - f, \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} d_i \phi_i \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \langle L\hat{u} - f, \phi_i \rangle$ . For each  $i$  we have,

$$-(1+x^2)\frac{d\hat{u}}{dx}\phi_i(x)|_0^1 + \int_0^1 (1+x^2)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} c_j \frac{d\phi_j}{dx}\right)\frac{d\phi_i}{dx}dx = \int_0^1 f(x)\phi_i(x)dx, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1. \quad (4)$$

Observe that our basis is defined so that  $\phi(0) = \phi(1) = 0$  so it boundary term vanishes and since we have a finite sum we can safely pull it out from the integral and obtain,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} c_j \int_0^1 (1+x^2)\frac{d\phi_j}{dx}\frac{d\phi_i}{dx}dx = \int_0^1 f(x)\phi_i(x)dx, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1. \quad (5)$$

From eqn. (1) we have

$$\frac{d\phi_i(x)}{dx} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{x_i-x_{i-1}}, & [x_{i-1}, x_i] \\ \frac{-1}{x_{i+1}-x_i}, & [x_i, x_{i+1}] \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

We can then write our problem as  $A\vec{c} = \vec{f}$  where  $\vec{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_{n-1})$  and  $f_i(x) = \int_0^1 f(x)\phi_i(x)dx$ . Now observe  $\phi_i$  and  $\phi_j$  are both nonzero only if  $j = i, i \pm 1$ . This means we only have elements on the upper, lower, and main diagonals. The entries of our matrix can then be written as,

$$a_{i,j} = \int_0^1 \frac{d\phi_j}{dx} \frac{d\phi_i}{dx} dx.$$

Our diagonal elements are then,

$$a_{i,i} = \int_0^1 (1+x^2) \left( \frac{d\phi_i(x)}{dx} \right)^2 dx = \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} (1+x^2) \left( \frac{d\phi_i(x)}{dx} \right)^2 dx + \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} (1+x^2) \left( \frac{d\phi_i(x)}{dx} \right)^2 dx \quad (7)$$

and the upper and lower diagonal elements are,

$$a_{i,i+1} = a_{i+1,i} = \int_0^1 (1+x^2) \frac{d\phi_{i+1}}{dx} \frac{d\phi_i}{dx} dx = \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} (1+x^2) \frac{d\phi_{i+1}}{dx} \frac{d\phi_i}{dx} dx. \quad (8)$$

The elements of the right hand side vector  $\vec{f}$  can be determined from numerical integration. We could in this case compute the integral. The computation would be,

$$\int_0^1 f(x) \phi_i(x) dx = \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} f(x) \frac{x - x_{i-1}}{x_i - x_{i-1}} dx + \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} f(x) \frac{x_{i+1} - x}{x_{i+1} - x_i} dx. \quad (9)$$

■

5. Consider the 1D Poisson Equation,

$$\begin{cases} -u'' = f & \text{on } (0, 1) \\ u(0) = u(1) = 0 \end{cases}$$

a) Modify the method to account for non-homogeneous Neumann conditions.

*Proof.* Let  $u'(0) = a, u'(1) = b$ . We try to write the variational problem and discover what issues we need to fix. Take  $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ , multiply, and integrate by parts to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -u'v \Big|_0^1 + \int_0^1 u'v' dx &= \int_0^1 f v dx \\ \iff -av(0) + bv(1) + \int_0^1 u'v' dx &= \int_0^1 f v dx. \end{aligned}$$

We then have the Bilinear and linear forms,

$$\begin{aligned} B(u, v) &= \int_0^1 u'v' dx, \\ F(v) &= \int_0^1 f v dx - av(0) + bv(1). \end{aligned}$$

Since we have no first order term and Neumann boundary conditions our solution  $u$  would not be unique (since we could add any constant and satisfy the same equation) so we instead need to take  $u, v \in \tilde{H} = \{v \in H^1 \mid \int_{\Omega} v = 0\} \cong H^1/Z$  where  $Z = \{v \in H^1(\Omega) \mid v \text{ constant a.e. in } \Omega\}$ . In other words,  $u$  has zero average on  $\Omega$ . However, this space does not admit a nice basis like  $P_1$ /hat functions so we really want  $u \in \tilde{H}$  and  $v \in H^1$ . We have that  $B(u, v + \alpha) = B(u, v)$  and since  $B(u, v + \alpha) = F(v) + F(\alpha)$  so we want constant functions to be in the kernel of  $F$  which is to say that we need,

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx = a - b.$$

We can conclude the continuity of  $F$  from Hölder's and the Trace theorem.

■



b) Modify the method to account for non-homogeneous Dirichlet conditions.

*Proof.* Consider the Dirichlet lift  $\tilde{u} = -xb - (1-x)a + u$ . We then have that  $\tilde{u}'' = u$ . We now have the homogeneous problem,

$$\begin{cases} -\tilde{u}'' = f & \text{on } (0, 1) \\ \tilde{u}(0) = \tilde{u}(1) = 0 \end{cases}.$$

We then proceed in the usual manner. ■