

Homework 7 - Dictionaries

2/c Joram Stith
COM 212 Data Structures
Dr. Parker
22FEB2022

Problem 7

Problem #7 due on 22 Feb.
Describe how to implement a dictionary that has an average lookUp, insert, and delete time of $O(1)$, but uses an array of no more than 2 times the maximum number of elements that will be stored in the dictionary.
Do the five Dictionary functions.
What is the worst case time for lookUp?

This additional information is provided about the definition of a dictionary and functions for a dictionary:

Dictionaries

A Dictionary is a set S made up of n elements: $x_0 \ x_1 \ x_2 \ x_3 \dots x_{n-1}$ that has the following functions.

Functions:

<code>createEmptySet()</code>	returns a newly created empty set
<code>lookUp(S, k)</code>	returns the node in S that has the key k
<code>insert(S, x)</code>	returns S with x added
<code>delete(S, k)</code>	returns S with x (found using $x.k$) removed
<code>isEmptySet(S)</code>	returns true if S is empty and false if it is not

Functions	Definitions
<code>createEmptySet()</code>	returns a newly created empty set
<code>lookUp(S, k)</code>	returns the node in S that has the key k
<code>insert(S, x)</code>	returns S with x added
<code>delete(S, k)</code>	returns S with x (found using $x.k$) removed
<code>isEmptySet(S)</code>	returns true if S is empty and false if it is not

Methodology

I admit to having some prior knowledge with dictionaries - I use them all the time for log file analysis and parsing in cyber security competitions. However, beyond the key-value relationship and the use of hash functions, I don't know any specifics. So, I made up a basic and completely arbitrary hashing algorithm for values going into the dictionary. Assuming one uses a sufficiently high entropy hash, the more values in the dictionary the closer to $O(1)$ from $O(n)$ the complexity of adding values will get (the more slots to store data, the less likely one has been used).

Solution

createEmptySet()

returns a newly created empty set

To create a new empty dictionary, instantiate an array of size $2n$ for n elements possible in the dictionary, as well as an index variable for how many elements are currently in use.

```
def createEmptySet(size):  
    arr = new array(size)  
    n = 0
```

lookUp(S, k)

returns the node in S that has the key k

To get a value from a key, we go to the value of key in the array and check which value later in the array that points to. Jump to that value in the array and return the value.

```
def lookUp(S, k):  
    return S[k].pointsTo()
```

insert(S, x)

returns S with x added

To insert a value, we need to first find a key, then use our hashing algorithm to give that value a spot in the array and save that location with the key. If the spot the hash gives is already in use (likely for dicts with almost as many entries as spots) keep adding until you get an open one (won't always be $O(1)$ but is closer than $O(n)$).

```
def insert(S,x):  
    # Not sure how this is done without passing a key, we'll just use the first key  
    for an example  
        key = S[0]  
        index = hash(key) % (size / 2)  
        # This will come closer and closer to O(1) as the dict gets larger  
        for x in range(size / 2, size):
```

```

        if not S[x].isEmpty():
            index += 1
        S[index] = x
        key.index = index
    return S

```

delete(S, k)

returns S with x (found using x.k) removed

To delete a value, we first go to the key and find what spot the key is referencing, and delete it. Then, remove the index variable from the key.

```

def delete(S, k):
    key = S[k]
    # Remove value
    S[key.index] = null
    key.index = 0
    return S

```

isEmptySet(S)

returns true if S is empty and false if it is not

To determine if the set is empty, we can check if there are any keys in the dictionary or not.

```

def isEmptySet(S):
    for x in range(0, len(S) / 2):
        if not S[x] == null:
            return False
    return True

```

Other Thoughts

I'm not sure how to go about accessing the keys themselves in $O(1)$, or how we add values without adding a key with that value. However, I think the methodology of using a one way function to find where to store values for a key is the right way to go, since I know from practice that you can't find a key from a value, only a value from a key, and it wouldn't make sense to impose that limitation for no reason.

Hand Written Notes

