Insertion Sort — Comprehensive Notes

1) What is sorting?

- Task: Reorder a collection according to a specified total order.
- Inputs in CS: Typically an array (or list) of items.
- Order examples:
 - Numbers: ascending using ≤
 - Strings: lexicographic (dictionary) order
 - Custom objects: any order defined by a comparator or key function

Two invariants (must-haves)

- 1. **Same multiset of elements.** Output contains exactly the input elements (no additions/deletions).
- 2. Sorted according to the given order. For ascending, $A^{[1]} \le A^{[2]} \le ... \le A^{[n]}$.

Descending sort is identical except you use \geq as the order.

2) Where sorting shows up

- Spreadsheets (sort by any column)
- Program languages' generic sort (numbers, strings, user-defined objects via comparator or key)
- Organizing "big data" pipelines before joins/merges, etc.

Common algorithms (you'll meet later): **Insertion sort**, **Merge sort**, **Heapsort**, **Quicksort**, and hybrids like **Timsort** (Python's default). A practical fast combo is **Quicksort + Insertion sort** (for small runs).

3) Big-picture idea of Insertion Sort

We maintain two regions inside the array:

- Sorted prefix (left/pink)
- Unsorted suffix (right/blue)

We scan elements from left to right. For each new element A[j] in the unsorted part, **insert** it into the correct position inside the sorted prefix, shifting larger elements one spot to the right.

Illustrative example

```
Start: [2, 7, 4, 1, 3, 6, 5, 0]
Sorted grows step by step:
```

- [2] | 7 4 1 3 6 5 0
- insert $7 \rightarrow [2, 7] \mid 4 \ 1 \ 3 \ 6 \ 5 \ 0 \ (7 \ already in place)$
- insert $4 \rightarrow [2, 4, 7] \mid 13650$
- insert $1 \rightarrow [1, 2, 4, 7] \mid 3 6 5 0$
- ...
- final: [0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7]

4) The Insert subroutine (local step)

Two equivalent ways to implement the "insert A[j] into sorted A[1..j-1]":

(A) Right-to-left swapping (matches your lecture narrative)

You compare adjacent pairs and swap while the new item is "too small," bubbling it left into place.

Pseudocode (1-indexed, inclusive bounds)

```
procedure INSERT(A, j):
    for i = j-1 down to 1:
        if A[i] > A[i+1]:
            swap(A[i], A[i+1])
        else:
            break
```

- The loop both **finds the position** and **does the shifts** (via swaps).
- Early break if the element is already in the proper place (best case).

(B) Shifting version (classic insertion sort style; fewer swaps)

Store key = A[j], shift larger elements right by one, and place the key once.

Pseudocode (1-indexed)

```
procedure INSERT(A, j):  key \leftarrow A[j] \\  i \leftarrow j - 1 \\  while i \ge 1 \text{ and } A[i] > key: \\  A[i+1] \leftarrow A[i] \qquad \# \text{ shift right} \\  i \leftarrow i - 1 \\  A[i+1] \leftarrow key \qquad \# \text{ drop key into hole}
```

• This does **O(shifts)** assignments and typically fewer writes than swap-based.

5) Full Insertion Sort algorithm

Pseudocode (1-indexed arrays, both loop ends inclusive)

```
procedure INSERTION-SORT(A, n):
```

```
for j = 1 to n:
    INSERT(A, j)
```

Notes:

- In practice we often start at j = 2 since a single element is trivially sorted.
- Pseudocode conventions here are 1-indexed and for bounds are inclusive.

6) Correctness sketch (loop invariant)

Invariant: At the start of each outer iteration j, the prefix A[1..j-1] is sorted and contains exactly the original elements from positions 1..j-1.

- Initialization: For j=2, A[1] is trivially sorted.
- Maintenance: INSERT places A[j] into the correct place in A[1..j-1], preserving sortedness and membership.
- **Termination:** When j = n+1, the invariant implies A[1..n] is sorted and a permutation of the input.

7) Time/space complexity

Cost model (as in the lecture)

Let:

- C₁ = cost of a comparison,
- C₂ = cost of a swap (or constant number of assignments in shifting),
- C₃ = loop bookkeeping per iteration,

• (optionally) C₄ = constant for a quick break/return.

Insert step

• **Best case:** New element already ≥ last of sorted prefix → one check then break.

Cost
$$\approx C_3 + C_1 + C_4 = \Theta(1)$$

 Worst case: Must travel to the beginning, doing j-1 iterations, each with compare + swap + loop cost.

Cost
$$\approx (j-1) \cdot (C_1 + C_2 + C_3) = \Theta(j)$$

Insertion Sort overall

- Best case (already sorted array): Each insert is $\Theta(1) \rightarrow \Theta(n)$
- Worst case (reverse-sorted): Inserts cost 1 + 2 + ... + $(n-1) \rightarrow \Sigma$ (j-1) = n(n-1)/2 times $(C_1 + C_2 + C_3) \rightarrow \Theta(n^2)$
- Average case: Θ(n²) (not derived in this lecture, but standard)

Space

- In-place: O(1) extra space.
- **Stable**: Yes (equal keys preserve relative order) both versions are stable if you use > and not ≥.

8) Practical notes

- Great for **small arrays** and **nearly-sorted data** (adaptive behavior).
- Often used inside faster sorts (e.g., switch to insertion sort when subarray size ≤ threshold).
- For custom objects, pass a key extractor or comparator.

9) Python implementations

A) Swap-based "insert" (close to the lecture demo)

```
def insertion_sort_swap(a):
    a = list(a)  # copy if you want to keep input
    n = len(a)
    for j in range(n):  # 0-indexed; corresponds to 1..n in
pseudocode
    i = j - 1
    while i >= 0 and a[i] > a[i+1]:
        a[i], a[i+1] = a[i+1], a[i]  # swap neighbors
        i -= 1
    return a
```

B) Shifting version (classic; fewer writes)

C) With a key function (custom ordering)

```
def insertion_sort_key(a, key=lambda x: x):
    a = list(a)
    n = len(a)
    for j in range(1, n):
        item = a[j]
```

```
k = key(item)
i = j - 1
while i >= 0 and key(a[i]) > k:
    a[i+1] = a[i]
    i -= 1
a[i+1] = item
return a
```

D) Descending order

 Replace > with < in while-condition (or sort keys negated, or pass reverse=True in an adapted API).

10) Worked trace (shifting version) on your example

```
Array a = [2, 7, 4, 1, 3, 6, 5, 0]
```

- j=1, key=7: compare with $2 \rightarrow 7 \ge 2 \rightarrow$ no shifts $\rightarrow [2, 7, 4, 1, 3, 6, 5, 0]$
- j=2, key=4: compare with $7 \rightarrow \text{shift } 7 \rightarrow [2, 7, 7, 1, 3, 6, 5, 0]$ then $4 \ge 2 \rightarrow \text{stop} \rightarrow \text{place key} \rightarrow [2, 4, 7, 1, 3, 6, 5, 0]$
- j=3, key=1: shift 7, 4, 2 \rightarrow [2, 2, 4, 7, 3, 6, 5, 0] \rightarrow place key at 0 \rightarrow [1, 2, 4, 7, 3, 6, 5, 0]
- ...
- final \rightarrow [0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7]

11) When is each case triggered?

• Best case Θ(n): Input already sorted ascending.

- Worst case Θ(n²): Input sorted descending (reverse order), each new element must travel to the front.
- Nearly sorted: Very fast in practice (few shifts).

12) Quick reference (copy/paste)

Pseudocode (1-indexed)

```
procedure INSERTION-SORT(A, n):

for j = 2 to n:

key \leftarrow A[j]

i \leftarrow j - 1

while i \ge 1 and A[i] > key:

A[i+1] \leftarrow A[i]

i \leftarrow i - 1

A[i+1] \leftarrow key
```

Python (0-indexed)

```
def insertion_sort(a):
    a = list(a)
    for j in range(1, len(a)):
        key = a[j]
        i = j - 1
        while i >= 0 and a[i] > key:
            a[i+1] = a[i]
            i -= 1
        a[i+1] = key
    return a
```

13) Practice prompts

1. Prove stability of the shifting version (equal keys keep relative order).

- 2. Modify insertion sort to sort descending.
- 3. Adapt insertion_sort_key to sort a list of (last_name, first_name) by last_name, then first_name.
- 4. Show that if the array has at most k inversions per element, insertion sort runs in $\theta(n \cdot k)$ time.