Technology Arts Sciences TH Köln

Origami Diagramming

Evaluating and Improving the Origami Diagramming Tool Origrammer

BACHELOR THESIS

by Julian Hardtung

at

TH KÖLN UNIVERSITY OF APPLIED SCIENCES
CAMPUS GUMMERSBACH
INSTITUTE OF INFORMATICS AND ENGINEERING

Course of Studies
Media Informatics

First supervisor: Prof. Dr. Martin Eisemann

TH Köln University of Applied Sciences

Second supervisor: Matthias Groß

TH Köln University of Applied Sciences

Gummersbach, July 13, 2020

Adresses:

Julian Hardtung Lachtstraße 12 51645 Gummersbach ju.hardtung@gmx.de

Prof. Dr. Martin Eisemann TH Köln University of Applied Sciences Institute of Informatics and Engineering Steinmüllerallee 1 51643 Gummersbach martin.eisemann@th-koeln.de

Matthias Groß
TH Köln University of Applied Sciences
Institute of Informatics and Engineering
Steinmüllerallee 1
51643 Gummersbach
matthias.gross2@th-koeln.de

CONTENTS CONTENTS

Contents

1	Abstract	4
2	Introduction	5
3	Selecting Evaluation Methods	7
	3.1 10 Usability Heuristics by Jakob Nielsen and Rolf Molich $$	7
4	Evaluating Origrammer	8
	4.1 Origrammer Feature List	9
	4.2 10 Usability Heuristics	10
5	Planning of Solutions to Fix Discovered Flaws	14
6	Evaluating Solutions	15
7	Problems & other Findings	16
8	Prospect	17
\mathbf{G}	lossary	18

1 Abstract

2 Introduction

Origami is the Japanese art of folding paper into models of animals, people or other objects. Creating instructions for folding these Origami models is a tedious and time consuming task. These so called origami diagrams (see Figure 2.1) have to be accurate representations of the paper for every folding step, in order to unambiguously explain how to fold the model. Every flap, crease and edge has to be drawn (see Section ?? for exceptions), which makes the process slow and especially error-prone for complex models. While for example the crane model in Figure 2.1 can be folded in 17 steps, more complex models can take hundreds of steps to complete.




Figure 2.1: Crane Diagram - [Andrew Hudson 2011 [2]]

Historically, diagrams got either drawn by hand or created with the help of digital vector programs like Inkscape¹ or Adobe Illustrator². Even though the digital diagramming improved the accuracy of the finished instructions, the task itself was still quite time consuming especially for models with hundreds of steps.

In contrast to diagramming programs there have been several attempts at creating programs that virtually fold the paper in order to create models. These include amongst others eGami by Jack Fastag [1], Origami Simulation by Robert J. Lang [3] and the Foldinator Project by John Szinger [5]. However none of these projects ever got outside the prototype phase and they haven't been updated in years.

¹https://inkscape.org/

²https://www.adobe.com/de/products/illustrator.html

Up until the point of this publication there is no publicly available program that offers specific features for the origami diagramming process. The previously mentioned vector programs can be used for diagramming, however as they weren't developed with origami diagramming in mind, there are a lot of shortcomings in their feature set. These shortcomings of current programs will be further elaborated on in Section ??.

The goal for this project is to develop a desktop application that implements features specifically for the origami diagramming process. The standardized symbols and overall notations have to be included and the program has to offer functions that increase the efficiency of creating diagrams.

This work starts with an overview and subsequently a categorization of current origami diagramming notations. Based on these findings, a requirements analysis can be carried out in order to define the required features of the planned program. Any problems or other findings during the development process will be documented so that they may be beneficial to others in the future.

3 Selecting Evaluation Methods

3.1 10 Usability Heuristics by Jakob Nielsen and Rolf Molich

"A disadvantage of the method is that it sometimes identifies usability problems without providing direct suggestions for how to solve them."[4]

The Origrammer should mostly be tailored for professionals that are already well versed in the area of Origami. There is a lot of initial knowledge required when developing new Origami models and subsevently diagrams. This is the main reason why novices most likely won't be using the Origrammer. But that still means that knowledgeable Origami artists should be assisted where possible through Origrammers featureset.

4 Evaluating Origrammer

To begin the evaluation process the 10 usability heuristics by Jakob Nielsen [4] will be used in order to facilitate a base, on which can be build upon with other evaluation methods if required. As established in 3, the Usabilty Heuristics sometimes only identify problems without providing direct solutions. This is why this chapter will focus on finding problems and short-comings of the Origrammer first. Afterwards, solutions can be developed that optimally fix most, or all, discovered issues without contradicting or counteracting other measures.

As the current (at the time of writing this thesis) Covid-19 pandemic hinders user involvement for the evaluation process, other measures have to be taken to ensure maximum efficiency and thoroughness. This is why there is an exhaustive list of all parts and features of the Origrammer below. Figure 4.1 roughly shows what features are located where on the Origrammer.




Figure 4.1: Menu Bar (Purple), Top Panel (Yellow), Side Panel (Red), Editing Panel (Green), Navigation Panel (Blue)

4.1 Origrammer Feature List

1. Menu Bar (Purple)

- (a) New File
- (b) Open File
- (c) Save File

- (d) Export File
- (e) Model Preferences
- (f) Origrammer Preferences

2. Side Bar (Red)

- (a) Selection Tool
 - 1. Click to Select 2. Hover over Object 3. Rectangular Selection
- (b) Line Input
 - 1. Two Point Input 2. Triangle Incenter
- (c) Vertex Input
 - 1. Absolute Position 2. Fraction of a Line
- (d) Arrow Input
 - 1. Valley Fold 2. Mountain Fold 3. Turn over
 - 4. Push Here 5. Pull out 6. Inflate here
- (e) Symbol Input
 - 1. Leader
- 2. Repetition Box
- 3. Next View Here

- 4. Rotations
- 5. Hold Here
- 6. Hold Here and Pull

- 7. X-Ray Circle
- 8. Fold Over & Over
- 9. Equal Distances

- 10. Equal Angles
- 11. Crimps
- 12. Pleats

- 13. Closed Sinks
- (f) Measure Tool
 - 1. Measure Length 2. Measure Angle
- (g) Fill Tool
- (h) Grid Settings
- (i) Scaling Settings

3. Navigation Panel (Blue)

1. Fold Instructions 2. Step Navigation 3. New Step Options

4. Top Panel (Yellow)

See Side Panel for related features, as options for the selected Side Panel Tools appear on the Top Panel.

5. Editing Panel (Green)

See Side Panel for related features, as the selected Side Panel Tools are being used on the Editing Panel.

4.2 10 Usability Heuristics

With the Origrammer Feature List as a basis, the evalutation using the 10 Usability Heuristics can be carried out. Every feature from the list will be checked against all 10 heuristics in order to try and maximise the completeness of the result. The found usability issues will then lead to the planning of potential usability improvements.

1. Visibility of System Status

Nr:	Affects	Impact	Description
01	2.b; 2.d-2.g	3	When an action requires multiple in-
			put points (e.g. placing a Fold Line by
			two endpoints), the user doesn't know
			where he is in the process.
15	2.d; 2.e	7	Always show a preview of the
			OriArrow or OriSymbol before final
			placing

2. Aesthetic and Minimalist Design

Nr:	Affects	Impact	Description
02	2.a-2.i	4	The text in the Tool Selection on the
			Side Panel should be replaced by icons
21	4	3	The text in the TopPanel for input
			and editing options should be replaced
			by icons
22	2.f; 3	6	The User Interface should always fit
			properly (is currently not fitting in the
			SidePanel for MeasureTool & for some
			settings in the TopPanel)

3. User Control and Freedom

Nr:	Affects	Impact	Description
03	2.a; 2.b; 2.d;	5	User should always be able to cancel
	2.e; 2.g		an action (e.g. when inputting an ob-
			ject with multiple input points))

4. Consistency and Standards

Nr:	Affects	Impact	Description
04	2.a; 2.b; 2.d;	2	Editing options on the TopPanel
	2.e; 2.g		should be labeled correctly (consistent
			naming scheme needed)
05	2.a; 4; 5	6	When selecting and editing Sym-
			bols/Arrows make it consistent (e.g.
			when selecting different OriObject
			types like OriArrows and OriSymbols
			at the same time, the TopPanel over-
			fills)
23	1; 2; 3; 4	6	UI elements should have consis-
			tent sizes (e.g. "Set" Buttons,
			JTextFields)
24	1; 2; 3; 4	4	The sequential placement of UI parts
			should be consistent (e.g. for In-
			put and Editing options on the Top-
			Panel, have JTextFields first and
			then JCheckBoxes to the right

5. Error Prevention

Nr:	Affects	Impact	Description
06	2.b.2	7	Selecting the same point multiple
			times breaks the RabbitEar lines
07	2.e.10	8	Selecting points in the wrong order
			breaks inputs for the EqualAngle sym-
			bol
08	2.g	7	Selecting the same point multiple
			times breaks the FillTool
14	1.a; 1.e;	6	Restrict the input of every JTextBox
			(e.g. only allow numbers for number
			inputs)

6. Recognition Rather than Recall

Nr:	Affects	Impact	Description
09	2.f	5	User should not be forced to remem-
			ber the measured values (currently
			the measured values are being hidden,
			once the user selects a different tool
			from the SideBar)

7. Flexibility and Efficiency of Use

Nr:	Affects	Impact	Description
10	2.h	3	Give shortcuts for the Grid halve/
			double buttons
11	2.i	3	Give shortcuts for the ResetView but-
			ton
12	2.i	3	Give shortcuts for the step by step
			zoom-in/zoom-out
20	2.b	8	Give more Line Input options to avoid
			tedious work with grid adjustments

8. Recognition, Diagnosis and Recovery from Errors

Nr:	Affects	Impact	Description
13	2.b-2.	5	Error messages for wrong user inputs
			should be self-explanatory
19	2.b-2.g	4	Error messages for wrong user inputs
			should explain how to fix the error

9. Help and Documentation

Nr:	Affects	Impact	Description
16	2.a-2.i; 3; 4	6	Show tooltips for all icons or non self-
			explanatory parts
17	2.a-2.g	7	Show short explanation on how inputs
			work for every possible input feature
			(could be combined with Nr.01 \rightarrow 4.2
			Visibility

10. Match between System and Real World

Nr:	Affects	Impact	Description
18	2.b-2.e	5	Use "Origami terminology" every-
			where (e.g. Rabbit Ear instead of Tri-
			angle Incenter; Next View Here Sym-
			bol instead of Eye Symbol)

5 Planning of Solutions to Fix Discovered Flaws

6 Evaluating Solutions

7 Problems & other Findings

This section discusses decisions and problems during the development process.

8 Prospect

Glossary REFERENCES

Glossary

Origami (jpn: ori = folding and kami = paper) is the art of folding paper into models of animals, people or other objects. 5

List of Figures

2.1	Crane Diagram	5
4.1	Menu Bar (Purple), Top Panel (Yellow), Side Panel (Red),	
	Editing Panel (Green), Navigation Panel (Blue)	8

References

- [1] Jack Fastag. egami: Virtual paperfolding and diagramming software. In Robert J. Lang, editor, *Origami 4*, pages 273–284. A K Peters, Ltd., 2006.
- [2] Anrew Hudson. Crane, 2011. https://ahudsonorigami.files.wordpress.com/2011/11/tsuru.pdf [Online; accessed March 21, 2020].
- [3] Robert J. Lang. Origami simulation, 2015. http://www.langorigami.com/article/origami-simulation [Online; accessed March 21, 2020].
- [4] Jakob Nielsen. 10 usability heuristics for user interface design, April 1994. https://www.nngroup.com/articles/ten-usability-heuristics/ [Online; accessed June 10, 2020].
- [5] John Szinger. The foldinator project, 2009. http://zingman.com/origami/foldinator.php [Online; accessed March 21, 2020].