Lab 7

Juan D Astudillo

11:59PM March 31, 2019

Generate \mathbb{D} with n=100 and p=1 where x is created from iid realizations from a standard uniform, y comes from f(x)=3-4x and δ are iid realizations from a T distribution with 10 degrees of freedom.

```
set.seed(1997)
n = 100
p = 1
X = matrix(runif(n) , ncol = 1)
f_x = 3 - 4 * X
y = f_x + rt(n, df = 10)
```

Run the linear model using 1m and compute b, RMSE and R^2 .

[1] 0.4044066

summary(linear_mod)\$r.squared

Progressively add columns of x (as draws from a standard uniform), run the linear model, and show R^2 goes to 1 and s_e goes to zero. Save the s_e in a vector called in_sample_s_e.

```
in_sample_s_e = array(NA, n - 2)
linear_mods = list()

for (j in 1 : (n - 2)){
    X = cbind(X, runif(n))
    linear_mods[[j]] = lm(y ~ ., data.frame(X))
    in_sample_s_e[j] = sd(linear_mods[[j]]$residuals)
}
dim(X)
```

```
## [1] 100 99
summary(linear_mods[[j]])$r.squared
```

```
## [1] 1
in_sample_s_e
```

```
## [1] 1.28649282 1.28232218 1.27201474 1.25707441 1.23002701 1.22829460

## [7] 1.22670007 1.22643605 1.21086228 1.16906116 1.16899113 1.14450895

## [13] 1.14430182 1.14429580 1.12528678 1.12513648 1.11418967 1.11103788

## [19] 1.10707910 1.10617314 1.10593501 1.10383989 1.09059722 1.05983015

## [25] 1.05668551 1.04443529 1.03545946 1.03542747 1.01766877 1.00972008

## [31] 0.94628966 0.94173458 0.93946800 0.92946819 0.91433407 0.91400464
```

```
## [37] 0.91178872 0.90295678 0.90275680 0.88591059 0.87734241 0.87731196
## [43] 0.87038874 0.87012819 0.86867611 0.85599859 0.84341701 0.84331509
## [49] 0.84231901 0.83898042 0.83429865 0.83191842 0.79093216 0.78712763
## [55] 0.76614183 0.74416204 0.74347964 0.74237630 0.74050592 0.72910998
## [61] 0.72569710 0.71281965 0.71163428 0.70538923 0.70016453 0.58618111
## [67] 0.58106388 0.56639234 0.55400937 0.55397187 0.54946747 0.54303693
## [73] 0.54086858 0.53230397 0.52439764 0.52245609 0.52184794 0.51818504
## [79] 0.51403634 0.51239241 0.48767310 0.46032595 0.44978526 0.32203624
## [85] 0.31974154 0.31231435 0.31111980 0.30818469 0.30506651 0.27027212
## [91] 0.22558040 0.21105584 0.19626704 0.18477877 0.17373682 0.14093467
## [97] 0.03613263 0.00000000

d = diff(in_sample_s_e)
all(d < 0)
```

[1] TRUE

Compute a corresponding vector <code>oos_s_e</code> and show that it is increasing (for the most part) in degrees of freedom.

```
n_star = 1e5
p = 1
X_star = matrix(runif(n_star) , ncol = 1)
f_x_{star} = 3 - 4 * X_{star}
y_star = f_x_star + rt(n_star, df = 10)
oos s e = array(NA, n - 2)
for (j in 1 : (n - 2)){
  X_star = cbind(X_star, runif(n_star))
  y_hat_star = predict(linear_mods[[j]], data.frame(X_star))
  oos_s_e[j] = sd(y_star - y_hat_star)
}
oos_s_e
##
   [1]
         1.138872
                   1.145037
                             1.158311
                                       1.174007
                                                  1.203102 1.205684
                                                                      1.209192
   [8]
         1.211103
                                       1.300797
                                                            1.306549
##
                   1.245249
                             1.301276
                                                  1.306607
                                                                      1.306628
## [15]
        1.333529
                   1.332744
                             1.363185
                                       1.365351
                                                  1.371233
                                                            1.370490
                                                                      1.372865
## [22]
        1.375974 1.379607
                             1.408161
                                       1.394222
                                                  1.426631
                                                            1.402238 1.399934
## [29]
        1.438706
                                       1.543536
                                                            1.508717
                   1.435763
                            1.546897
                                                  1.543032
                                                                      1.537036
## [36]
         1.538435
                   1.543836
                             1.536747
                                       1.536909
                                                  1.576790
                                                            1.566087
                                                                      1.565106
## [43]
         1.593066
                   1.593993
                             1.599078
                                       1.626604
                                                  1.616842
                                                            1.617316
                                                                      1.625600
## [50]
         1.618205
                   1.614296
                             1.593533
                                        1.691716
                                                  1.717884
                                                            1.821657
                                                                      1.796961
                                                                      1.846605
## [57]
         1.787966
                   1.817988
                             1.836788
                                       1.852059
                                                  1.863737
                                                            1.838741
## [64]
         1.912911
                   1.931040
                             2.144888
                                        2.156903
                                                  2.236306
                                                            2.314845
                                                                      2.314554
        2.324415
                   2.364255
## [71]
                             2.376579
                                        2.488367
                                                  2.417481
                                                            2.491206
                                                                      2.500137
## [78]
        2.420275
                   2.381528
                             2.395049
                                       2.737752
                                                  2.694287
                                                            2.893602
                                                                      3.232287
## [85]
         3.276438
                   3.303585
                                       3.397106
                                                  3.440856
                                                            3.663598 3.941270
                             3.417506
## [92]
         4.244160
                   4.170434
                             4.293145
                                       4.653674
                                                 5.214444 10.300784 14.797485
d = diff(oos_s_e)
all(d > 0)
```

[1] FALSE

Validate the linear model for the Boston housing data.

```
Xy = MASS::Boston
K = 10
test indices = sample(1 : nrow(Xy), 1 / K * nrow(Xy))
train_indices = setdiff(1 : nrow(Xy), test_indices)
Xy_train = Xy[train_indices, ]
Xy_test = Xy[test_indices, ]
lin_mod = lm(medv ~ ., Xy_train)
lin_mod
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = medv ~ ., data = Xy_train)
## Coefficients:
  (Intercept)
                                                  indus
                        crim
                                       zn
                                                                chas
##
     35.679799
                  -0.105926
                                 0.044428
                                              0.036199
                                                            1.785549
##
                                                    dis
                                                                 rad
           nox
                         rm
                                      age
##
   -18.514293
                   4.075433
                                -0.000166
                                              -1.454425
                                                            0.310478
##
                                    black
                                                  lstat
           tax
                    ptratio
                                 0.009001
##
     -0.012749
                  -0.989053
                                              -0.492947
sd(lin_mod$residuals)
## [1] 4.725718
y_hat_test = predict(lin_mod, Xy_test)
sd(Xy_test$medv - y_hat_test)
## [1] 4.344533
dim(Xy)
```

[1] 506 14

Let x be iid realizations from a U(0,5), y comes from $f(x) = 3 - 4x + 2x^2$ and ϵ are iid realizations from a standard normal distribution. With no limit on the number of samples you cant take, use regular OLS without a quadratic term, find the true $h^*(x)$ (there will be no sampling variability at $n \to \infty$ and find the oos variance of the residuals.

```
set.seed(89)
beta0 = 1
beta1 = 1
x = as.matrix(cbind(runif(n, 0, 5)))
f_x = 3 - 4^x + 2 * x^2
y = f_x + runif(n)
h_star = beta0 + beta1 * x
y_2 = 3 - 4^x + runif(n)
ols = lm(y_2 - x)
K = 7
test_indices = sample(1 : n, 1 / K * n)
train_indices = setdiff(1 : n, test_indices)
X_train = x[train_indices, ]
y_train = y[train_indices]
X_test = x[test_indices, ]
y_test = y[test_indices]
```

```
ols2 = lm(y_train ~ ., data.frame(X_train))
summary(ols2)$r.squared

## [1] 0.6106033
sd(ols2$residuals)

## [1] 154.145
y_hat_oos = predict(ols2, data.frame(X_test))

## Warning: 'newdata' had 14 rows but variables found have 86 rows
oos_residuals = y_test - y_hat_oos

## Warning in y_test - y_hat_oos: longer object length is not a multiple of
## shorter object length
sd(oos_residuals)
```

[1] 231.9101

Was there any overfitting in the previous exercise? in the exercise where we used Boston data there is overfitting since the RMSE approaches 0, which is "no error". Whit the oos RMSE, happens the opposite instead of going to 0, it gets larger and larger.

Find the error due to misspecification and due to ignorance expressed as variance of components of the residuals.

```
#TO-DO
```

At n = 100, find the error due to estimation, due to misspecification and due to ignorance expressed as variance of components of the residuals.

```
#T0-D0
```

Do the variances add up to the total variance of the residual?

```
#T0-D0
```

Validate the linear model for the Boston housing data where each feature is also modeled with a squared feature.

```
X = MASS::Boston
y = X$medv
X$medv = NULL
X = cbind(X, X^2)
colnames(X)[14 : 26] = paste(colnames(X)[1 : 13], "_sq", sep = "")
X$chas_sq = NULL
K = 10
test_indices = sample(1 : nrow(Xy), 1 / K * nrow(Xy))
train_indices = setdiff(1 : nrow(Xy), test_indices)

X_train = X[train_indices,]
y_train = y[train_indices]
X_test = X[test_indices,]
y_test = y[test_indices]

lin_mod = lm(y_train ~ ., X_train)
```

```
#lin_mod
sd(lin_mod$residuals)

## [1] 3.832246

y_hat_test = predict(lin_mod, X_test)
sd(y_test - y_hat_test)
```

[1] 3.432411

Validate the linear model for the Boston housing data where each feature is also modeled with a squared feature and a cubed feature.

```
X = MASS::Boston
y = X\$medv
X$medv = NULL
X = cbind(X, X^2, X^3)
colnames(X)[14 : 26] = paste(colnames(X)[1 : 13], "_sq", sep = "")
colnames(X)[27 : 39] = paste(colnames(X)[1 : 13], "_cb", sep = "")
X$chas_sq = NULL
X$chas_cb = NULL
K = 10
test_indices = sample(1 : nrow(Xy), 1 / K * nrow(Xy))
train_indices = setdiff(1 : nrow(Xy), test_indices)
X_train = X[train_indices, ]
y_train = y[train_indices]
X_test = X[test_indices, ]
y_test = y[test_indices]
lin_mod = lm(y_train ~ ., X_train)
sd(lin_mod$residuals)
```

```
## [1] 3.671813
y_hat_test = predict(lin_mod, X_test)
sd(y_test - y_hat_test)
```

[1] 3.303085

Validate the linear model for the Boston housing data where each feature is also modeled with a squared feature and a cubed feature and a log(x + 1) feature and an exponential feature.

```
X = MASS::Boston

y = X$medv
X$medv = NULL
X = cbind(X, X^2, X^3, log(X + 1))

colnames(X)[14 : 26] = paste(colnames(X)[1 : 13], "_sq", sep = "")
colnames(X)[27 : 39] = paste(colnames(X)[1 : 13], "_cb", sep = "")
colnames(X)[40 : 52] = paste(colnames(X)[1 : 13], "_log", sep = "")
X$chas_sq = NULL
X$chas_cb = NULL
X$chas_log = NULL
X$chas_log = NULL
```

```
K = 10
test_indices = sample(1 : nrow(Xy), 1 / K * nrow(Xy))
train_indices = setdiff(1 : nrow(Xy), test_indices)
X_train = X[train_indices, ]
y_train = y[train_indices]
X_test = X[test_indices, ]
y_test = y[test_indices]
lin_mod = lm(y_train ~ ., X_train)
sd(lin_mod$residuals)
## [1] 3.383186
y_hat_test = predict(lin_mod, X_test)
sd(y_test - y_hat_test)
## [1] 3.434556
```

Why do we need to $\log x + 1$? Why not use $\log(x)$?

Because if we take the log of 0 is undefined, in this way we make all the zeros 1, log of 1 = 0.