Introduction to Whitney's Theorems for Embeddings

Jubayer Ibn Hamid

The Smooth Partition of Unity

Let X be a smooth manifold with open cover $\{U_{\alpha}\}_{{\alpha}\in I}$. A smooth partition of unity subordinate to this open cover is a sequence of smooth functions $\{\theta_i: X \to \mathbb{R}\}_{i=1,2,\dots}$ such that:

- (a) $0 \le \theta_i(x) \le 1$ for any $x \in X$.
- (b) For any $x \in X$, there exists a neighbourhood V_x such that $\theta_i(y) = 0$ for any $y \in V_x$ holds for at most finitely many i.
- (c) For any i, $supp(\theta_i) := \overline{\theta_i^{-1}(\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\})} \subset U_\alpha$ for some $\alpha \in I$.
- (d) For any $x \in X$, $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \theta_i(x) = 1$.

It can be proven that every open cover of a smooth manifold admits a smooth partition of unity subordinate to that cover. Additionally, if $\{U_i\}_{i=1,..,N}$ is a finite open cover, we can take $\{\theta_i\}_{i=1,..,n}$ such that $supp(\theta_i) \subset U_i$ for each i and $\theta_i = 0$ for i > n in our original infinite set of smooth functions.

The Bump Function

We want to show the following: given X is a smooth manifold with (U, ϕ) smooth chart and $p \in U$, then there exists a smooth bump function $\beta : X \to \mathbb{R}$ and open neighbourhoods $p \in W \subseteq V \subseteq U$ and $\overline{V} \subseteq U$ such that $\beta(x) = 1$ for $x \in W$, $\beta(x) = 0$ for $x \notin V$ and $\beta(x) \in [0, 1]$ for $x \in X$.

We first construct $f_1(x)$ to be

$$f_1(x) = \begin{cases} e^{-\frac{1}{x}} & \text{if } x > 0\\ 0 & \text{if } x \le 0 \end{cases}$$
 (1)

Now, let

$$f_2(x) = \frac{f_1(2-x)}{f_1(2-x) - f_1(x-1)}.$$

Note that $f_2(x) = 0$ for any $x \ge 2$, $f_2(x) = 1$ for any $x \le 1$ and $f_2(x) \in [0,1]$ for any $x \in [1,2]$.

Now, suppose X is a smooth manifold. Then, for any $p \in X$, there exists a chart (U, ϕ) . WLOG, suppose $\phi(p) = 0$. Also suppose we have open neighbourhoods such that $W \subseteq V \subseteq U$ with $x \in W$ and $\overline{V} \subseteq U$. Now, select $\epsilon > 0$ such that $B_{3\epsilon}(0)$ is inside \tilde{U} (which is the image of U under ϕ). Then, if $W = \phi^{-1}(B_{\epsilon}(0))$ and $V = \phi^{-1}(B_{2\epsilon}(0))$, our bump function is defined to be

$$\beta(x) = \begin{cases} h(\frac{\|\phi(x)\|}{\epsilon}) & \text{if } x \in U\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

With this function, $\overline{B_{2\epsilon}(0)} \subset B_{3\epsilon}(0) \subseteq \tilde{U}$ which implies $\tilde{V} \subseteq U$.

Note that $W \subseteq V \subseteq U$ with $x \in W$ and $\overline{V} \subseteq U$. We can easily check that $\beta(x) = 1$ for $x \in W$, $\beta(x) = 0$ for $x \notin V$ and $\beta(x) \in [0,1]$ for $x \in X$.

Now, we move on to the first important result.

Theorem 1. Let X be a compact, smooth manifold of dimension m. Then, there exists $N \ge m$ and a smooth embedding $f: X \to \mathbb{R}^N$.

Proof. Pick any $x \in X$ with the smooth chart (U_x, g_x) near it. Then, there exists $\epsilon_x > 0$ such that $B_{\epsilon_x}(g_x(x)) \subset \tilde{U}_x$. Define $W_x := g_x^{-1}(B_{\epsilon_x/2}(g_x(x)))$ and $V_x := g_x^{-1}(B_{\epsilon_x}(g_x(x)))$ - both of these are subsets of \tilde{U}_x . Now, $\{W_x\}_{x \in X}$ is a covering of X and since X is compact, there is a finite subcover given by W_1, \ldots, W_n where $W_i = W_{x_i}$. For each W_i , let V_i and g_i be the corresponding V_{x_i} and g_{x_i} .

Now, we use the following bump function:

$$\phi: X \to [0, 1] \text{ such that } \phi_i(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in W_i \\ 0, & \text{if } x \in X - V_i. \\ 0 \le \phi_i(x) \le 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then, we define
$$h_i(x) = \begin{cases} \phi_i(x)g_i(x), & \text{on } V_i \\ 0 & \text{outside } V_i \end{cases}$$
.

Using these two functions, we define $f: X \to \mathbb{R}^N$ where N = n(1+m) to be $f(x) = (\phi_1(x), ..., \phi_n(x), h_1(x), ..., h_n(x))$. This map is smooth. Furthermore, we claim that f is

injective. This is because, if f(x) = f(y), then $\phi_i(x) = \phi_i(y)$ and $h_i(x) = h_i(y)$ for each i. Given $x \in W_j$ for some j, $\phi_j(x) = 1$ and so $\phi_j(y) = 1$ implying $y \in W_j$. Therefore, $g_j(x) = \phi_j(x)g_j(x) = h_j(x) = h_j(y) = \phi_j(y)g_j(y) = g_j(y)$. Given g is a homeomorphism, x = y, showing that f is injective.

Given X is compact and f is injective and continuous, therefore f is a topological embedding too. All that is left is to show that f is an immersion.

Given $x \in X$, $x \in W_i$ for some i. Now, for any $y \in W_i$, given $\phi_i(y) = 1$ and $h_i(y) = g_i(y)$, therefore, $f(y) = (1, ..., 1, g_1(y), ..., g_n(y))$. Now consider the chart (W_i, g_i) where g_i is restricted to W_i . In this chart, g_i looks like the identity, so its derivative also looks like the identity which implies that Df_y has a non-zero $m \times m$ minor. Therefore, Df_x is injective, implying f is a smoother immersion which tells us that f is a smooth embedding. \square

Lemma 2. Let X be a smooth manifold of dimension n. Then, there exists a smooth, proper function from X to \mathbb{R} .

Proof. For any open set of X, we can get a compact closure by mapping the open set to the euclidean space using the chart function, then taking the closed ball around it and then mapping it back to X. Let $\{U_{\alpha}\}_{{\alpha}\in I}$ be an open cover of X made up of subsets of X with compact closure i.e $\overline{U_{\alpha}}$ is compact for each α .

Let $\{\theta_i\}$ be a subordinate partition of unity s.t $supp(\theta_i) \subset U_{\alpha_i}$ for i = 1, 2, ... Now we define the following smooth function: $\rho: X \to \mathbb{R}$ to be $\rho = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i\theta_i$. Given (b) in our definition of partition of unity, $\rho(x)$ is finite.

We claim ρ is a proper map. Suppose $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is compact. We want to show that $\rho^{-1}(K)$ is compact.

Since K is compact, it is closed and bounded, meaning there exists some j > 0 such that $K \subset [-j, j]$. Then, $\rho^{-1}(K)$ is also closed (since ρ is continuous) and is contained in the set $\{x \in X | \rho(x) \leq j\}$. We claim that if $\rho(x) \leq j$, then at least one of the function $\theta_1, ..., \theta_j$ must

take x to a non-zero value. If not, then:

$$\rho(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i\theta_i(x)$$

$$= \sum_{i=j+1}^{\infty} i\theta_i(x)$$

$$\geq \sum_{i=j+1}^{\infty} (j+1)\theta_i(x)$$

$$= (j+1) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \theta_i(x)$$

$$= (j+1)$$

This means, $\rho(x) \geq j+1$ which is a contradiction.

With this, we can now write $\rho^{-1}(K) \subseteq \{x \in X | \rho(x) \leq j\} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{j} \{x \in X | \theta_i(x) \neq 0\} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{j} U_{\alpha_i} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{j} \overline{U_{\alpha_i}}$. Since $\bigcup_{i=1}^{j} \overline{U_{\alpha_i}}$ is compact, we see that $\rho^{-1}(K)$ is a closed subset of a compact set, so it is compact.

Theorem 3. Let X be a smooth manifold of dimension n. Then, there exists $N \ge m$ and a proper, smooth embedding $f: X \to \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof. By Theorem 1, we have a smooth embedding $g: X \to \mathbb{R}^p$ and by Lemma 2, we have a proper, smooth function $\rho: X \to \mathbb{R}$. Now, with N:=p+1, define $f: X \to \mathbb{R}^N$ such that $f(x)=(g(x),\rho(x))$. This is a smooth embedding - f is clearly smooth and since g is a smooth embedding, therefore, the derivative of f at any x is injective and f is a topological embedding.

We now claim f is proper. Suppose $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{p+1}$ is compact, which implies it is closed and bounded - therefore, $K \subset \mathbb{R}^p \times [-j,j]$ for some j > 0. Then, $f^{-1}(K) \subseteq \rho^{-1}([-j,j])$. Note that since ρ is compact, $\rho^{-1}([-j,j])$ is compact, so $f^{-1}(K)$ is a closed subset of a compact set which means it is compact.

Whitney's Theorem While Whitney proved the following theorem for to embed X in \mathbb{R}^{2n} , we will prove it for 2n + 1 instead because it is significantly simpler.

Theorem 4. Let X be a smooth, n-dimensional manifold. Then, X admits a proper, smooth embedding into \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} .

We will prove this by coming up with a proper, smooth immersion $f: X \to \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ which automatically allows us to deduce that f is a smooth embedding and f(X) is therefore a smooth submanifold.

Proof. First, we construct $f: X \to \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ to be an injective immersion:

By Theorem 1, we can find an injective immersion $f: X \to \mathbb{R}^N$. Now, consider any $a \in \mathbb{R}^{2N}$. Let H_a be the hyperplane that is orthogonal to a and let $\pi_a: \mathbb{R}^N \to H_a$ be the orthogonal projection i.e $\pi_a(x) = x - (x \cdot a)a$. Note that $\frac{\partial \pi_a(x)_i}{\partial x_j} = \delta_{ij} - (a_i a_j)$, which means $D(\pi_a)v = \pi_a$. We claim that $\pi_a \circ f: X \to H_a \cong \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$ is our injective immersion for almost all a in \mathbb{R}^N .

To prove this, construct $h: X \times X \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^N$ s.t. h(x, y, t) = t(f(x) - f(y)) and $g: TX \to \mathbb{R}^N$ s.t. $g(x, v) = Df_x(v) =: D_v f_x$ with $x \in X$, $v \in T_x X$. Note that g is a function from a 2n dimensional space to N and h is a function from 2n + 1 dimensional space to N.

Now, by Sard's theorem, the set of critical values of g and h have measure zero and therefore their union is also measure zero. Therefore, we can select an arbitrary $a \in \mathbb{R}^N$ such that a is a regular value for both h and g. By the definition of regular values, Dg(x', v') and $Dh_{x',y',t'}$ are both surjective where the derivatives are taken at $g^{-1}(a)$ and $h^{-1}(a)$. However, since domain of g and h are of dimensions 2n + 1 < N and 2n < N respectively, therefore, the derivatives cannot be surjective. This means, $a \notin Im(g)$ and $a \notin Im(h)$.

Now, we show that $\pi_a \circ f$ is injective. Suppose $(\pi_a \circ f)(x) = (\pi_a \circ f)(y)$. Then, $(\pi_a)(f(x) - f(y)) = 0$. Given π_a is the projection map, this means, f(x) - f(y) = ta for some t. Furthermore, t = 0 because if $t \neq 0$, then $h(x, y, \frac{1}{t}) = \frac{1}{t}(f(x) - f(y)) = \frac{1}{t}ta = a \in Im(h)$. Given t = 0, therefore f(x) = f(y) and since f is injective, therefore, x = y.

Next, we show $\pi_a \circ f$ is an immersion i.e we show that $D(\pi_a \circ f)$ is injective. Suppose not. Then, there exists $v \neq 0$ such that $D(\pi_a \circ f)_x(v) = 0$. Then,

$$D(\pi_a \circ f)_x(v) = 0$$

$$D(\pi_a)_{f(x)}(Df_x(v)) = 0$$

$$\pi_a \circ Df_x(v) = 0$$

$$Df_x(v) = ta$$

for some t. Given f is an immersion, its derivative is injective and so $t \neq 0$. This means $Df_x(\frac{v}{t}) = \frac{1}{t}(ta) = a \in Im(g)$ which is a contraction, so $\pi_a \circ f$ is an immersion.

So far, we have shown that $\pi_a \circ f$ is an injective immersion from X to \mathbb{R}^{N-1} for N > 2n+1. Continuing this way and composing our immersions, we will get an immersion from X to \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} .

Next, we will make f a proper map.

Note that $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1} \cong B^{2n+1} = B_1(0)$ by some diffeomorphism s. consider $s \circ f : X \to B_1(0)$. For simplicity in our notation, we will refer to $s \circ f$ as just f. Since the image of f is in $B_1(0)$,

therefore, ||f(x)|| < 1 for any $x \in X$. Furthermore, by Lemma 2, there exists $\rho : X \to \mathbb{R}$ that is smooth and proper.

Define $F: X \to \mathbb{R}^{2n+2}$ s.t. $F(x) = (f(x), \rho(x))$. Then, consider the map $\pi_a \circ F: X \to H_a \cong \mathbb{R}^{2n+2}$ for some a such that the map is an injective immersion as we showed before and ||a|| = 1. Then, $a \in S^{2n+1}$. Furthermore, suppose $a \neq (0, ..., 0, \pm 1)$ which we can assume given Sard's Theorem tells us almost all points are regular.

We claim $\pi_a \circ F$ is a proper map.

 $(\pi_a \circ F)(x) = \pi_a(f(x), \rho(x)) = F(x) - (F(x) \cdot a)a$. Write a as $a = (v, \alpha)$ where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, $F(x) \cdot a = f(x) \cdot v + \rho(x) \cdot \alpha$ and therefore, the last coordinate of $(\pi_a \circ F)(x)$ is $\rho(x) - (f(x) \cdot v + \rho(x) \cdot \alpha)\alpha) = \rho(x)(1 - \alpha^2) - \alpha f(x) \cdot v$.

Now, suppose $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ is compact. We claim $C := (\pi_a \circ f)^{-1}(K)$ is also compact. We know that K compact means K is closed and bounded. Since our function is smooth, C is also closed.

For any $x \in C$ s.t. $(\pi_a \circ F)(x) \in K$, the last coordinate is $\rho(x)(1-\alpha^2) - \alpha f(x) \cdot v$. Since K is bounded, this coordinate is also bounded. note that since |f(x)| < 1 and α, v are constants, $-\alpha f(x) \cdot v$ is bounded. Therefore, $\rho(x)(1-\alpha^2)$ is bounded. Furthermore, since $\alpha^2 \neq 1$ (given the last coordinate of a is neither +1 nor -1), so $\rho(x)$ is bounded.

This means, $\rho(C)$ is bounded. Then, $\overline{\rho(C)}$ is closed and bounded and therefore, compact. Given ρ is proper, $\rho^{-1}(\overline{\rho(C)})$ is compact. Now, $C \subseteq \rho^{-1}(\overline{\rho(C)})$ is a closed subset, so C is compact. Therefore, $\pi_a \circ F$ is a proper, injective immersion which implies $\pi_a \circ F$ is a smooth, proper embedding.

Whitney Immersion Theorem

Theorem 5. Every n-dimensional, smooth manifold can be immersed in \mathbb{R}^{2n} .

Proof. Suppose, X is a smooth manifold of dimension n. By Whitney's Theorem, we can immerse this into R^{2n+1} . Suppose the immersion is f and suppose it takes X to $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$. Now, we define $g: TX \to \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ by $g(x,v) = D_v f_x$. Given f is an immersion, it is smooth and therefore, by Sard's Theorem, we know that almost all values of g are regular. Therefore, we can choose $a \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ such that g is a regular value. However, note that g's domain is f(x) is f(x) dimensional which is less than f(x) the preimage of f(x) under f(x) cannot be surjective. Therefore, f(x) is in the preimage of f(x) under f(x) cannot be surjective. Therefore, f(x) is not in the image of f(x) is a f(x) dimensional which is less than f(x) therefore, f(x) is not in the image of f(x) is in the preimage of f(x) under f(x) cannot be surjective. Therefore, f(x) is not in the image of f(x) is a f(x) dimensional which is less than f(x) therefore, f(x) is not in the image of f(x).

Now, with a as a regular value of g, we claim $\pi_a \circ f$ is a smooth immersion from X to \mathbb{R}^{2n} . To show this, we will show that $D(\pi_a \circ f)_x$ is injective.

Suppose, there existed a non-zero $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $D(\pi_a \circ f)_x(v) = 0$. Now, $D(\pi_a)_{f(x)}(Df_x(v)) = \pi_a \circ Df_x(v)$. Given this is equal to 0, therefore, $Df_x(v) = ta$ for some t. Now, given f is an immersion, $t \neq 0$. But then, $Df_x(\frac{v}{t}) = \frac{1}{t}(ta) = a \in Im(g)$. This is a contradiction. Therefore, $D(\pi_a \circ f)_x$ is injective. Furthermore, $\pi_a \circ f$ is smooth. This gives us our immersion.

Exhaustion Function on a topological space M

Let M be a topological space. An exhaustion function $f: M \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function such that $f^{-1}((-\infty, c])$ is compact in M for each $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

Turns out we can construct such a function for any smooth manifold M as shown below:

Lemma 6. Every smooth manifold admits a smooth, positive exhaustion function.

Proof. Given M is a smooth manifold, we can build a countable open cover of M. Let that be $\{V_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$. Furthermore, let $\{\psi_j\}$ be the smooth partitition of unity subordinate to this over cover. Now, we construct the following function:

$$f(p) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j\psi_j(p).$$

Note that this is well-defined and smooth since for any neighbourhood that p is in, there exists only finitely many ψ_j that give non-zero terms. Furthermore, f is positive since $f(p) = \sum_i j \psi_j(p) \ge \sum_i \psi_j(p) = 1$.

Now we claim f is an exhaustion function. To show this, we will prove that for any $c \in \mathbb{R}$, $f^{-1}((-\infty, c])$ is compact.

Choose any arbitrary $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Let N > c be a positive integer.

Now, suppose $p \notin \bigcup_{j=1}^N \overline{V_j}$. Then, $\psi_j(p) = 0$ using the definition of partition of unity for any $j \in [1, N]$. This means, $f(p) = \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} j \psi_j(p) \ge \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} N \psi_j(p) = N \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \psi_j(p) = N > c$.

Therefore, if $p \notin \bigcup_{j=1}^N \overline{V_j}$, then f(p) > c. So, if $f(p) \leq c$, then $p \in \bigcup_{j=1}^N \overline{V_j}$. Therefore, $f^{-1}((-\infty, c])$ is a closed subset of a compact set $\bigcup_{j=1}^N \overline{V_j}$, which means it is compact.

Now, we can prove Whitney's Embedding Theorem for the non-compact manifolds.

Theorem 7. Every non-compact smooth manifold X of dimension n admits a proper smooth embedding into \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} .

Proof. Let $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth exhaustion function on X. Then, by Sard's Theorem, for each non-negative integer i, there exists regular values a_i, b_i of f such that $i < a_i < b_i < i + 1$.

Now, we define the following sets: $D_i, E_i \subset X$ such that $D_0 = f^{-1}((-\infty, 1]), E_0 = f^{-1}((-\infty, a_1]), D_i = f^{-1}([i, i+1])$ and $E_i = f^{-1}([b_{i-1}, a_{i+1}])$ for $i \ge 1$. See figure 1.

Now, given f is a smooth exhaustion, each E_i is compact. Furthermore, one can show that each E_i is a submanifold with a boundary. Therefore, we can embed it into \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} by Theorem 4. Let $\psi_i : E_i \to \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$

Now, $D_i \subset \text{Int}(E_i)$. Then, $X = \bigcup_i D_i$ with $E_i \cap E_j = \emptyset$ unless j = i - 1, i or i + 1.

For each i, let $\rho_i: X \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth bump function such that $\rho_i = 1$ on an open neighbourhood of D_i and $supp(\rho_i) \subset Int(E_i)$.

Now, we define

$$F: X \to \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} \times \mathbb{R}$$
 by $F(p) = (\sum_{i \text{ even }} \rho_i(p)\psi_i(p), \sum_{i \text{ odd }} \rho_i(p)\psi_i(p), f(p)).$

- (a) F is smooth and well-defined since for each p, there is only one term in each summation that is non-zero.
- (b) F is proper as f is.

Furthermore F is injective since F(x) = F(y) implies $\rho(x) = \rho(y)$ and using a similar argument as in theorem 1, we can show that x = y. F is an immersion too. Consider any $p \in X$ and let j such that $p \in D_j$. Then, $\rho_j = 1$ on a neighbourhood of p since $p \in D_j$ and D_j has an open neighbourhood on which ρ_j is 1. Suppose j is odd. Then, for any q in this neighbourhood, $F(q) = (\psi_j(q), \ldots)$. Then, dF_q is injective since ψ_j is an immersion.

Having found an immersion into Euclidean space, we can then use projection like we did with the compact case (using π_a) to find an immersion into \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}




Figure 1: A visualization of D_i and E_i in Theorem 7