Algebraic Geometry

Jubayer Ibn Hamid

1 Terminology

The affine space of field k is denoted by \mathbb{A}^n_k which is the Cartesian n-product of k. Let $f \in k[x_1, ..., x_n]$ be a polynomial. Then, V(f) is the set of zeros of f and is called the hypersurface defined by f. If S is a set of polynomials from $k[x_1, ..., x_n]$, then $V(S) := \{p \in \mathbb{A}^n_k | f(p) = 0, \forall f \in S\}$. One can check that $V(S) = \bigcap_{f \in S} V(f)$. When $S = \{f_1, ..., f_r\}$, we write V(S) as $V(f_1, ..., f_r)$.

A subset $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}^n_k$ is called an affine algebraic set if X = V(S) for some set S of polynomials in $k[x_1, ..., x_n]$. One can easily show that if I is the ideal in $k[x_1, ..., x_n]$ generated by polynomials in S, then V(S) = V(I).

For a subset $X \subseteq \mathbb{A}_k^n$, consider the ideal in $k[x_1, ..., x_n]$ generated by polynomials that vanish on X. This ideal is called the ideal of X, denoted by I(X).

2 Hilbert Basis Theorem

Definition 1. A ring R is called Noetherian if every ideal in R is finitely generated.

Fields and Principal Ideal Domains (PIDs) are Noetherian rings.

Theorem 1. (Hilbert Basis Theorem) If R is a Noetherian ring, then $R[x_1,...,x_n]$ is a Noetherian Ring.

Proof. We know $R[x_1,...,x_n] \cong R[x_1,...,x_{n-1}][x_n]$. So, if we can prove that R Noetherian implies R[x] is Noetherian, by induction we will have proven that $R[x_1,...,x_n]$ is also Noetherian.

Suppose R is Noetherian. Let I be an ideal in R[x]. Let J denote the set of leading coefficients of polynomials in I. Then, given I is an ideal, J is an ideal in R. Since R is Noetherian, we can write that J is generated by the leading coefficients of $f_1, ..., f_r \in I$. Suppose $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that N is greater than the degrees of all polynomials $f_1, ..., f_r$. Then, for any $m \leq N$, we define J_m to be the ideal in R generated by the leading coefficients of all polynomials f in I such that $deg(f) \leq m$. Once again, since J_m is an ideal in R, we can say that J_m is generated by the finite set of polynomials, $\{f_{mj}\}$, such that each polynomial's degree is less than or equal to m. Finally, define I' be the ideal generated by polynomials $\{f_{jm}\}$ and f_i .

We claim I' = I. Suppose not i.e suppose there exists elements in I that are not in I'. Let g be the minimal element such that $g \in I$, $g \notin I'$.

Case 1: deg(g) > N. Then, there exists polynomials Q_i such that $\sum_i Q_i f_i$ has the same leading term as g. Therefore, $deg(g - \sum_i Q_i f_i) < deg(g)$. Clearly, $g - \sum_i Q_i f_i$ is in I'. But since g is the minimal element and $deg(g - \sum_i Q_i f_i) < deg(g)$, therefore $g - \sum_i Q_i f_i \in I'$, which implies $g \in I'$.

Case 2: $m := deg(g) \leq N$. Then, there exists polynomials Q_j such that $\sum_j Q_j f_{mj}$ and g have the same leading term. Using a similar argument, we get that $g \in I'$.

Theorem 2. An algebraic set is the intersection of a finite number of hypersurfaces.

Proof. Let V(I) be an algebraic set. We prove that I is finitely generated since that implies $V(I) = V(f_1, ..., f_r) = \bigcap_{i=1}^r V(f_i)$. Given k is a field, k is a Noetherian ring and by the Hilbert Basis Theorem, k[x] is also Noetherian. Therefore, the ideal I in k[x] is finitely generated. \square

Corollary 3. $k[x_1,...,x_n]$ is a Noetherian ring for any field k.

Proof. Follows from the Hilbert Basis Theorem.

3 Modules Revision

Definition 2. R-Module.

Let R be a ring. Let M be an abelian group (M, +). Then, an R-module is M with multiplication $R \times M \to M$ such that for any $a, b \in R$, $m \in M$, (a + b)m = am + bm, a(m + n) = am + an, (ab)m = a(bm), $1_R m = m$.

Definition 3. Submodule.

A submodule N is a subgroup of R-module, M, such that $an \in N$ for any $a \in R, n \in N$.

One can check that $0_R m = 0_M$ by noting that $0_R m = (x - x)m = xm - xm = 0_M$ for any $x \in R, m \in M$. Also, the submodule N of an R-module is an R-module itself.

Definition 4. Submodule generated by S.

Let $S := \{s_1, s_2, ...\}$ be a set of elements of the R-module M. Then the submodule generated by S is $\{\sum_i r_i s_i | r_i \in R, s_i \in S\}$.

When S is finite, we denote the submodule generated by S as $\sum_{i} Rs_{i}$.

Definition 5. Finiteness conditions of subrings of a ring.

Let S be a ring and let R be a subring of S.

- (1) S is module-finite over R if S is finitely-generated as an R-module i.e $S = \sum Rv_i$ where $v_1, ..., v_n \in S$.
- (2) S is <u>ring-finite over R</u> if $S = R[v_1, ..., v_n] = \{\sum_i a_i v_1^{i_1} \cdots v_n^{i_n} | a_i \in R\}$ where $v_1, ..., v_n \in S$.
- (3) S is a <u>finitely-generated field extension of R</u> if S and R are fields and $S = R(v_1, ..., v_n)$ (the quotient field of $R[v_1, ..., v_n]$) where $v_1, ..., v_n \in S$.

Properties:

- 1. If S is module-finite over R, then S is ring-finite over R. (This is straightforwardly seen from the definitions)
- 2. If L = K(x), then L is a finitely-generated field extension of K but L is not ring-finite over K.

Proof. Using the definition, K(X) is a finitely-generated field extension of K. Now, suppose K is ring-finite over K. Then, $L = K[v_1, ..., v_n]$. Then, there exists $\frac{s_i}{t_i} \in K(X)$ that generate

L where i=1,...,n. Define p:=1/q. Then, as $p\in K(X)$, $p=\frac{h}{t_1^{e_1}...t_n^{e_n}}$. Now, if we choose q to be an irreducible polynomial that has a higher degree than all t_i 's, we see that p cannot be equal to $\frac{1}{q}$.

Definition 6. Integral elements

Let R be a subring of the ring S. Then, $v \in S$ is integral over R if there exists a monic polynomial $f = x^n + a_1x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n \in R[x]$ such that f(v) = 0 and $a_i \in R$.

When all elements of S is integral over R, we say S is integral over R. When S and R are fields and S is integral over R, we call S an algebraic extension of R.

Theorem 4. Let R be a subring over an integral domain S and let $v \in S$. Then, the following are equivalent:

- (1) v is integral over R.
- (2) R[v] is module-finite over R.
- (3) There exists a subring R' of S such that R' contains R[v] and it is module-finite over R.

Proof. We see (2) implies (3) readily. Now, (1) implies (2): Suppose v is integral over R with the monic polynomial $f(x) = x^n + a_1 x^{n-1} + ... + a_n$. Then, $f(x) = 0 \implies v^n \in \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} R v^i$. Therefore, for any integer m, $v^m \in \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} R v^i$. This implies R[v]. Lastly, (3) implies (1) as follows: Suppose R' is module-finite over R. Then, $R' = \sum R w_i$, where $w_i \in R'$. Then, $vw_i \in R[v] \subset R'$, so $vw_i \sum_i a_{ij} w_j$ where $a_{ij} \in R$.

Now, $vw_i - vw_i = 0$ implies $\sum_{j=1}^n \delta_i j vw_j - vw_i = 0$ which then implies $\sum_{j=1}^n (\delta_{ij} v - a_{ij}) w_j = 0$ (here $\delta_{ij} = 1\{i = j\}$. Write this in matrix notation and consider these equations in the quotient field of S and note than $(w_1, ..., w_n)$ is a non-trivial solution to these equations (as we see, they give 0). Therefore, $det(\delta_{ij}v - a_{ij}) = 0$ from which we get $v^n + a_1v^{n-1} + + a_n = 0$. Therefore, v is integral over R.

Corollary 5. The set of elements of S that are integral over R is a subring of R that contains R.

Proof. Suppose a, b are elements in S that are integral over R. Now. b is integral over R implies b is integral over R[a] as $R \subset R[a]$. Therefore, by the previous theorem, R[a, b] is module-finite over R. Then by the previous theorem $a + b, a - b, ab \in R[a, b]$ and so they are all integral over R.

We will require the following results:

Theorem 6. Suppose an integral domain S is ring-finite over R. Then, S is module-finite over R if and only if S is integral over R.

Proof. For the forward direction, write $S = \sum Rv_i$. Then consider any $s \in S$. So, $s = \sum Rv_i$. Consider the monic polynomial f(x) = x - s. Conversely, suppose S is integral over R. Then consider any $s \in S$ for which we have, using the monic polynomial, $s + a_1s^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n = 0$. From this, we write $s = -a_1s^{n-1} + \cdots - a_n$.

Theorem 7. Let L be a field and let k be an algebraically closed subfield of L. Then an element of L that is algebraic over k is in k. Furthermore, an algebraically closed field has no module-finite field extension except itself.

Proof. Proof of the first part - suppose $p \in L$ that is algebraic over k. Therefore, $p^n + a_1p^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n = 0$ with $a_i \in k$. This is a polynomial in k[x] with a root p in k, so $p \in k$.

Now, we prove the second part. Suppose L is module-finite over k. Then, by the previous theorem, L is integral over k. Then, by the first part L = k.

Lastly,

Theorem 8. Let k be a field. Let L = k(x) be the field of rational functions over k. Then, (a) any element of L that is integral over k[x] is also in k[x]. (b) There is no non-zero element $f \in k[x]$ such that $\forall z \in L$, $f^n z$ is integral over k[x] for some n > 0.

Proof. (a) p is integral over k[x] implies there exists the following polynomial $p^n + a_1 p^{n-1} + \dots = 0$. Now, since $p \in k(x)$, we may write it as $p = \frac{s}{t}$ where $s, t \in k[x], t \neq 0$. Then, we get $s^n + a_1 s^{n-1} t + \dots + a_n t^n = 0$. Rearranging, we get $s^n = -a_1 s^{n-1} t - \dots - a_n t^n$. Since t divides the right hand side, t divides t. This means, t is a polynomial in t. Therefore, t is a polynomial in t.

(b) Suppose, not. Let f be such a function. Let $p(x) \in k[x]$ such that p(x) does not divide f^m for any m. Set $z = \frac{1}{p}$, so $z \in L = k(x)$. Then, $f^n z = \frac{f^n}{p}$ is integral over k[x]. This means, there exists $a_i \in k[x]$ such that $(\frac{f^n}{p})^d + \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} a_i (\frac{f^n}{p})^i = 0$. From this, we get $f^{nd} = \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} a_i p^{d-i} f^{in}$. Since p divides the right hand side, we get that p divides f^{nd} which contradicts our definition of p.

4 Nullstellensatz Version 1

First, we prove the following:

Theorem 9. (Zariski) If a field L is ring-finite over a subfield k, then L is module finite (and, hence, algebraic) over k.

Note that L is module finite over k if and only if L is integral over k which means L is algebraic over k.

Proof. Suppose L is ring-finite over k. Then, $L = k[v_1, ..., v_n]$ where $v_i \in L$. We proceed by induction.

Suppose n=1. We have that k is a subfield of L and L=k[v]. Let $\psi:k[x]\to L$ be a homomorphism that takes x to v. Now $ker(\psi)=(f)$ for some f since k[x] is a principal ideal domain. Then, $k[x]/(f)\cong k[v]$ by the first isomorphism theorem. This implies (f) is prime (since k[v] is an integral domain).

Now, if f = 0. Then $k[x] \cong k[v]$, so $L \cong k[x]$. However, by the second property following definition 5, this cannot be true. Therefore, $f \neq 0$.

Given $f \neq 0$, we can assume f is monic. Then, (f) prime implies f is irreducible and (f) is a maximal ideal (check Dummit and Foote). This means, $k[v] \cong k[x]/(f)$ is a field (check Dummit and Foote). Therefore, k[v] = k(v). Since f(v) = 0, so v is algebraic over k and so, by theorem 4, L = k[v] is module-finite over k. This concludes the proof for n = 1.

Now, for the inductive step, assume true for n-1 i.e $k[v_1,...,v_{n-1}]$ is module-finite over k. Let $L=k_1[v_2,...,v_n]$ where $k_1=k(v_1)$. Then, by the inductive hypothesis, $k_1[v_2,\cdots,v_n]$ is module-finite over k_1 .

We show that v_1 is algebraic over k which would say $k[v_1]$ is module-finite over k concluding the proof. Suppose, v_1 is not algebraic over k. Then, using the inductive hypothesis, for each i=2,...,n, we have an equation $v_i^{n_i}+a_{i1}v_i^{n_i-1}+\cdots=0$ where $a_{ij}\in k_1$.

Let $a \in k[v_1]$ such that a is a multiple of all the denominators of $a_{ij} \in k(v_1)$. We get $av_i^{n_i} + aa_{i1}(av_1)^{n_i-1} + \cdots = 0$. Then, by corollary 5, for any $z \in L = k[v_1, \cdots, v_n]$, there exists N such that $a^N z$ is integral over $k[v_1]$ (since the set of integral elements forms a subring). Since this holds for any $z \in L$, this also holds for any $z \in k(v_1)$. But by theorem 8, this is impossible. This gives us the contradiction.

Assume k is algebraically closed.

Theorem 10. (Nullstellensatz Version I) If I is a proper ideal in $k[x_1,...,x_n]$, then $V(I) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. For any ideal I, there exists a maximal ideal J containing I (since we are assuming our ring has an identity $1 \neq 0$, see Dummit and Foote). So, for simplicity, we assume I is

the maximal ideal itself since $V(J) \subset V(I)$. Then, $L = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I$ is a field (since I is maximal, see Dummit and Foote) and k is an algebraically closed subfield of L. Note that there is a ring-homomorphism from $k[x_1, ..., x_n]$ onto L, which is the identity. This means, L is ring-finite over k. Then, by theorem 9, L is module-finite over k. Then, by theorem 7, L = k i.e $k = k[x_1, ..., x_n]/I$.

Now, since k = L, in particular this means $k \cong k[x_1, ..., x_n]/I$. Suppose $x_i \in k[x_1, ..., x_n]$ is mapped to a_i by the homormorphism ψ whose kernel is I. Then, $x_i - a_i$ is mapped to 0, so $x_i - a_i \in I$. Now, note that $(x_1 - a_1, ..., x_n - a_n)$ is a maximal ideal as one can easily verify and it contains I, so $I = (x_1 - a_1, ..., x_n - a_n)$. So, $(a_1, ..., a_n) \in V(I)$. Therefore, $V(I) \neq \emptyset$.

5 Irreducible Components of Algebraic Sets

Definition 7. Irreducible decomposition of a set. Let $V \in \mathbb{A}^n_k$ be an algebraic set. Then, V is reducible if $V = V_1 \cup V_2$ where V_1, V_2 are non-empty, algebraic sets in \mathbb{A}^n_k i.e $V_i \neq V$ for i = 1, 2. If V is not irreducible, we call it reducible.

Theorem 11. The algebraic set V is irreducible if and only if I(V) is prime.

Proof. Suppose, V is irreducible. Now, suppose for contradiction, I(V) is not prime. Therefore, by definition of prime, there exists $f_1f_2 \in I(V)$ such that $f_1 \notin I(V)$ and $f_2 \notin I(V)$. Now, $V = (V \cap V(f_1)) \cup (V \cap V(f_2))$ and $V \cap V(f_i) \subset V, V \cap V(f_i) \neq V$ - to see this, note that for any $p \in V$ such that p is a zero of f_1f_2 , p has to be a root of either f_1 or f_2 since f_i belong to an integral domain, therefore, $p \in (V \cap V(f_1)) \cup (V \cap V(f_2))$ (the other direction is obvious). Then, $V = (V \cap V(f_1)) \cup (V \cap V(f_2))$ is decomposition of V which means V is not irreducible - contradiction.

Conversely, suppose I(V) is prime. For contradiction, suppose V is reducible with $V = V_1 \cup V_2$, V_i non-empty. Then, consider $f_i \in I(V_i)$ such that $f_i \notin V$. Clearly, $f_1 f_2 \in I(V)$, so I(V) is not prime - contradiction.

Theorem 12. Let A be a non-empty collection of ideals in a Noetherian ring R. Then, A has a maximal ideal i.e an ideal I such that $I \in A$ and no other ideal in A contains I.

Proof. Given our collection of ideals, A, choose an ideal $I_0 \in A$. Then, define $A_1 = \{I \in A : I_0 \subseteq I\}$ and $I_1 \in A_1$, $A_2 = \{I \in A : I_1 \subseteq I\}$ and $I_2 \in A_2$ and so on. Then, the statement in the theorem is equivalent to saying that there exists positive integer n such that A_n is empty since that would mean there exists no ideal containing I_{n-1} . Suppose this is not true. Then, with $I := \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n$, since R is Noetherian, therefore there exists $f_1, ..., f_m$ that generates the

ideal I where each $f_i \in I_n$ for n sufficiently large. But since the generates are all in I_n , $I = I_n$ and so $I_{n'} = I_n$ for any n' > n (since $I = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n$ by definition) - contradiction.

We finally prove the main result. Note that this is pretty closely tied to the Hilbert Basis Theorem which says that every algebraic set is the intersection of a finite number of algebraic sets/hypersurfaces:

Theorem 13. Let V be an algebraic set in \mathbb{A}^n_k . Then, there exists unique, irreducible algebraic sets $V_1, ..., V_r$ such that $V = V_1 \cup V_2 \cdots \cup V_r$ and $V_i \subsetneq V_i$ for any $i \neq j$.

Proof. Proving this statement is equivalent to disproving that \mathcal{F} is non-empty where $\mathcal{F} := \{\text{algebraic set} V \in \mathbb{A}_k^n : V \text{ is not the union of finitely many irreducible algebraic sets} \}.$

Suppose, \mathcal{F} is not empty. Let $V \in \mathcal{F}$ such that V is the minimal member of \mathcal{F} i.e V cannot be written as the union of sets in \mathcal{F} .

Now, since $V \in \mathcal{F}$, V is reducible (if V is irreducible, then it is trivially the union of 1 irreducible subsets). Since V is reducible, $V = V_1 \cup V_2$ where $V_i \neq \emptyset$. Since V is the minimal member of \mathcal{F} , $V_i \notin \mathcal{F}$. Since $V_i \notin \mathcal{F}$, it is the union of finitely many irreducible algebraic sets, so let $V_i = V_{i1} \cup V_{i2} \cdots \cup V_{im_i}$. Then, $V = \bigcup_{i,j} V_{ij}$, so $V \notin \mathcal{F}$. So, we have shown that V can be written as $V = V_1 \cup \cdots \cup V_m$ where each V_i is irreducible. First, remove any V_i such that $V_i \subset V_j$. Now we prove uniqueness. Suppose $V = W_1 \cup \cdots \cup W_m$ be another such decomposition. Then, $V_i = \bigcup_j (W_j \cap V_i)$. Now, $W_j \cap V_i = V_i$ since otherwise we will have found a decomposition of the irreducible set V_i . Therefore, $V_i \subset W_{j(i)}$ for some j(i). Similarly, by symmetry, $W_{j(i)} \subset V_k$ for some k. But then, $V_i \subset V_k$ implies i = k and so $V_i = W_{j(i)}$. Continuing this for each $i \in \{1, ..., m\}$, we get that the two decompositions are equal.

6 References

- 1. William Fulton. Algebraic Curves. An Introduction to Algebraic Geometry. 2008.
- 2. Dummit and Foote. Abstract Algebra, 3rd Ed.
- 3. Ravi Vakil. Math 216 Lectures at Stanford University.
- 4. Zhiyu Zhang. Math 145 Lectures at Stanford University.