Weekly Report(Apr 30 - May 6)

Liu Junnan

Abstract

This week I finished assignment 1 of cs231n, and half of the numpy 100 exercises.

1 Work Done

This week I finished assignment 1 of cs231n, of which I will introduce the details regarding the implementation.

1.1 Assignment1

1.1.1 Linear SVM

Recall that for the i-th example we are given the pixels of image x_i and the label y_i that specifies the index of the correct class. The score function takes the pixels and computes the vector $f(x_i, W)$ of class scores, which is abbreviated to s (short for scores). For example, the score for the j-th class is the j-th element: $s_j = f(x_i, W)_j$. The Multiclass SVM loss for the i-th example is then formalized as follows:

$$L_i = \sum_{j \neq y} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + \Delta) \tag{1}$$

where Δ is set to 1 in this experiment, and since we only experiment linear SVM, the activation function f is also linear.

The gradient with respect to W_{y_i} is

$$\frac{\partial L_i}{\partial W_{y_i}} = -\left(\sum_{j \neq y_i} \mathbf{1}(w_j^T x_i - w_{y_t}^T x_i + 1 > 0)\right) x_i$$

where 1 is the indicator function that is one if the condition inside is true or zero otherwise. For the other rows where $j \neq y_i$ the gradient is:

$$\frac{\partial L_i}{\partial W_j} = \mathbf{1}(w_j^T x_i - w_{y_i}^T x_i + 1 > 0)x_i$$

First look at the trivial version of this:

```
046
       loss = 0.0
047
       for i in range(num_train):
        scores = X[i].dot(W)
048
        correct_class_score = scores[y[i]]
049
        for j in range(num_classes):
050
    6
          if j == y[i]:
051
           continue
052
   8
          margin = scores[j] - correct_class_score + 1 # note delta = 1
053
   9
          if margin > 0:
   10
           dW[:, j] += X[i]
```

```
<sup>054</sup> 11
            dW[:, y[i]] -= X[i]
055 12
            loss += margin
056 13
057 14
        # Right now the loss is a sum over all training examples, but we want it
<sub>058</sub> 15
       # to be an average instead so we divide by num_train.
059 16
       loss /= num_train
   17
       dW /= num_train
060 18
061 19
        # Add regularization to the loss.
062 20
       loss += reg * np.sum(W * W)
063 21
       dW += reg * W
```

This part of code just accomplishes forward pass of computing loss and backward pass of computing gradient, with loops. But vectorization is always preferred.

```
068
       scores = X.dot(W)
       scores = scores - scores[range(num_train), y].reshape((-1,1)) + 1
069
   2
      scores[scores<0] = 0
070
      scores[range(num_train), y] = 0
071
      loss = np.sum(scores) / num_train
072
      loss += 0.5 * reg * np.sum(W**2)
073
       scores[scores>0] = 1
074
   8
       scores[range(num_train), y] = -np.sum(scores, axis=1)
      dW = X.T.dot(scores) / num_train + reg * W
075
```

Line 1 simply computes W^TX . But line 2 is quite tricky. Notice that the loss function of SVM(Eqn1) requires each row of the score matrix to subtract the y_i -th element of that row, except the y_i -th one. In line 2, "scores[range(num_train), y]" just indexes y_i -th element of each row. For example, num_train is 3, and y=[3,1,2]. "scores[range(num_train), y]" will retrieve scores[0][3], scores[1][1] and scores[2][2]. I have to say that with numpy the code will be concise and elegant. To meet the dimension constraint of broadcasting, we have to reshape the result of "scores[range(num_train), y]" to make it a "column vector". Line 3 is the max operation that makes all the elements less than zero zero.

There is also experiment to compare the time cost between them. The time of naive version that computes loss and gradients is 0.090697s, and the time of vectorized version is 0.004672s. We can see that vectorized one is about 19.4 times faster than naive one.

The assignment also needs us to tune the hyperparameters of SVMs, such as learning rate and regularization strength. Tuning hyperparameter is a tricky task needing a lot of engineering practices. The course provides a note where lists many useful rules of thumb as follows.

- Search hyperparameters on log scale. For example, a typical sampling of the learning rate would look as follows: "learning_rate = 10 ** np.random.uniform(-6,1)".
- Prefer random search to grid search.

Then we can shrink the range to search for better results. After tuning hyperparameters, I get 0.392 accuracy on validation set and 0.377 on test set.

1.1.2 Softmax Classifier

064 065

066

067

076 077

079

081

083

084

087

088

089

090

091 092

094

095 096

097

098 099 100

101 102

103

104

105 106

107

The softmax exercise is analogous to SVM exercise, so I will only introduce how to implement loss and gradients.

Softmax loss is defined as follows:

$$L_i = -\log\left(\frac{e^{f_{y_i}}}{\sum_j e^{f_j}}\right) = -f_{y_i} + \sum_j e^{f_j}$$

And the gradient with respect to W is

$$\frac{\partial L_i}{\partial W_j} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \frac{e^{fy_i}}{\sum_j e^{f_j}} X_i^T & \text{,if } i \neq j \\ \left(\frac{e^{fy_i}}{\sum_j e^{f_j}} - 1\right) X_i^T & \text{,if } i = j \end{array} \right.$$

In practice we usually subtract maximum of all the scores from each scores to guarantee numerical stability.

$$\frac{e^{f_{y_i}}}{\sum_j e^{f_j}} = \frac{Ce^{f_{y_i}}}{C\sum_j e^{f_j}} = \frac{e^{f_{y_i} + \log C}}{\sum_j e^{f_j + \log C}}$$

where $C = -\max_j f_j$.

108

109

115

116

117 118

133

134 135

136

137

158

159

160

161

```
119
120
      scores = X.dot(W)
121
      shift_scores = scores - scores.max(axis=1).reshape((-1,1))
122
      softmax_output = np.exp(shift_scores)
123
      softmax_sum = np.sum(softmax_output, axis=1)
124
125
      loss = - np.sum(shift_scores[range(num_train), y]) + np.sum(np.log(
126
          softmax_sum))
      loss = loss / num_train + 0.5 * reg * np.sum(W**2)
127
128
      prob = softmax_output / softmax_sum.reshape((-1,1))
129
      prob[range(num_train), y] -= 1
130 12
      dW = np.dot(X.T, prob)
131 13
      dW = dW / num_train + reg * W
132
```

After tuned, the classifier yields 0.357 accuracy on validation set and also 0.357 on test set.

1.1.3 Two layer Neural Network

The model in the exercise consists of an input layer, a hidden layer with ReLU activation, another hidden layer with linear activation and an output layer with softmax loss. The forward pass is easy:

```
138
139
      fc1 = np.maximum(X.dot(W1) + b1, 0)
140 2
      scores = fc1.dot(W2) + b2
141 3
      shift_scores = scores - np.max(scores, axis=1).reshape((-1,1))
      softmax_output = np.exp(shift_scores)
142
      softmax_sum = np.sum(softmax_output, axis=1)
143
      softmax_loss = - np.sum(shift_scores[range(N), y]) + np.sum(np.log(
144
          softmax_sum))
   7
      regularization = 0.5 * reg * (np.sum(W1**2) + np.sum(W2**2))
145
      loss = softmax_loss / N + regularization
   8
146
```

```
147
   1
       dscores = softmax_output / softmax_sum.reshape((-1,1))
148
       dscores[range(N), y] -= 1
149
       dscores /= N
150
       dW2 = np.dot(fc1.T, dscores) + reg * W2
151
       db2 = np.sum(dscores, axis=0)
152
153
    7
       dfc1 = dscores.dot(W2.T)
       drelu = (fc1 > 0) * dfc1
154
155 10
       dW1 = np.dot(X.T, drelu) + reg * W1
<sup>156</sup> 11
       db1 = drelu.sum(0)
157
```

As for the back propagation, the gradient of the score is the the same as previous softmax exercise. Recall that ReLU function is formalized as $f(x) = \max(0, x)$. Therefore the derivative of ReLU is

$$\frac{df}{dx} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } f > 0\\ 0 & \text{if } f = 0 \end{cases}$$

Tuning neural net is a bit tougher than SVM or softmax classifier, because the network architecture is also a hyperparameter. Specifically in this exercise we have to tune the number of neurons of hidden layers. Increasing the size of hidden layer will help improving the performance, but will result in the problem of overfitting, and also needs more iterations of training. I tried many combinations of hidden layer size, learning rate and regularization, with the technique of log scale search and random search mentioned in Sec. 1.1.1 between Line 092 and 095, setting hidden layer size as 500, learning rate as 0.001 and regularization factor as 0.27 results relatively good performance of 0.495 accuracy on validation set and 0.494 on test set. Keeping increasing hidden layer size will improve the accuracy just a little bit, but the training time is much longer, plus the overfitting issue.

Here is the table that compares the performance of Linear SVM, softmax classifier and two layer neural network on test set:

	SVM	softmax	NN
accuracy	0.377	0.357	0.494

SVM and softmax produce close results, and it is obvious that neural net is much better than both of them.

1.2 Numpy exercises

This week I have completed half(50) of the numpy exercises. The exercises cover both basic and advanced numpy functions, some of which are quite useful for implementing deep learning algorithms. For example function np.pad can do zero padding for us. np.linspace will create evenly spaced numbers over a specified interval, for instance, np.linspace(0,1,5) will return array [0., 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1.], which is helpful when we want to do grid search or generate x coordinates for plotting.

2 Plans

In the next week I will continue cs231n course ,start assignment 2 of cs231 and finish numpy exercises.