# EECE 3841 Speaker Detection Final Project

Faris Jugovic, Kristopher Pesnell, Jose De Los Santos fjugovic@mail.lipscomb.edu krpesnell@mail.lipscomb.edu jose.e.delossantos90@gmail.com

April 23, 2025

### 1. Introduction

#### i. Overview

This project aimed to design a speaker detection algorithm using MATLAB that classifies whether a recorded voice comes from a nominal (registered) user or a non-nominal (imposter). Using signal processing tools such as the Fast Fourier Transform (FFT), the system converts audio waveforms into frequency-domain signals that are used for speaker verification.

#### ii. Method Summary

Multiple voice recordings per user were collected and converted into frequency data using FFT. Three recordings per user were averaged to generate a profile. Comparisons between test samples and profiles were made using Root Mean Square Error (RMSE). A recording was classified as a match if the error was below a predetermined threshold.

#### iii. Results Summary

After tuning the match threshold, a value of 0.005 was selected. With this value, the system successfully identified all nominal users and rejected all imposters.

#### iv. Significance

The project demonstrates that a basic signal processing approach can achieve high performance in voice identification. This makes the system suitable for lightweight and efficient applications.

## 2. Methodology

#### i. Data Collection Approach

Recordings were gathered from 14 participants, including 10 nominal users and 4 imposters. Each participant provided 5 recordings:

- Recordings 1 and 3 were used to generate a voice profile for each nominal user.
- Recordings 4 and 5 were used for testing. One of them was randomly selected during each test iteration.
- For imposters, either recording 4 or 5 was randomly selected for testing against all nominal user profiles.

Recordings were made using identical hardware under consistent conditions. The spoken sentence contained a variety of phonemes and diphthongs to help with distinction.

#### ii. Input Processing

Each audio file was first loaded using the audioread function. After loading, the time-domain waveform was transformed into the frequency domain using MATLAB's fft() function. Since the FFT output is symmetric for real-valued signals, only the first half of the spectrum was retained. The resulting frequency magnitudes were then normalized by scaling each spectrum so its peak value was 1. To reduce noise, a 1000-point moving average filter was applied. Finally, all recordings were either truncated or zero-padded to ensure a consistent FFT length, which allowed accurate element-wise comparison between the test signal and user profile.

#### iii. Speaker Detection Algorithm

The detection algorithm begins by constructing a reference profile for each user using the average of the FFTs from three training recordings. This profile represents the expected frequency signature for a given speaker. A test recording is then processed in the same way and is compared to the reference profile using Root Mean Square Error (RMSE). This error quantifies how similar the test spectrum is to the profile. If the RMSE is below a predefined threshold (set to 0.005 in final testing), the system considers the test recording a match. Otherwise, the result is classified as a non-match.

#### iv. High Level Pseudocode

```
For each user:
    avg_profile = average(FFT(file1), FFT(file2), FFT(file3))

For each test_file in [file4, file5 or imposters]:
    processed_test = normalize(smooth(FFT(test_file)))
    score = RMSE(processed_test, avg_profile)

    if score < threshold:
        match = true
    else:
        match = false</pre>
```

## 3. Results

#### i. Training and Validation

During validation, it was observed that thresholds under 0.003 rejected too many valid users, while thresholds well above 0.005 risked false positives. A value of 0.005 provided an ideal balance.

#### ii. Final Test Set

In the final evaluation using 28 test samples:

- True Positives (TP): 10
- False Negatives (FN): 0
- False Positives (FP): 0
- True Negatives (TN): 40

#### Performance Metrics Explanation:

Precision measures how many of the recordings classified as matches were actually correct, calculated as  $\frac{TP}{TP+FP}$ . Recall reflects how many of the actual nominal users were correctly identified, calculated as  $\frac{TP}{TP+FN}$ .

#### Final Performance:

• Precision: 100%

• Recall: 100%

#### Runtime Analysis

The total execution time for the complete script was approximately 10.27 seconds. The average runtime per call to test\_user.m was approximately 0.21 seconds, with consistent times across both self-tests and imposter tests. Within this function, the call to plot\_avg\_fft\_of\_three.m required approximately 0.17 to 0.20 seconds per user profile. The compare\_fft\_to\_average.m function executed very quickly in comparison, averaging 0.05 to 0.06 seconds per call. These results confirm that the system can operate efficiently.

### 4. Discussion

The speaker detection system demonstrated several key strengths. The use of Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) and Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) enabled accurate and consistent comparisons between voice samples. The final testing results confirmed high classification accuracy, with perfect precision and recall across both nominal users and imposters.

Despite these strengths, the system exhibits some weaknesses. Its performance can be sensitive to background noise, microphone sensitivity, or inconsistent speaking style across recordings. These factors could potentially distort the spectral characteristics that the system relies on for accurate matching.

Various parameters played a critical role in system performance. For example, match threshold tuning had a significant impact on the sensitivity of a match. A threshold set too low would result in false rejections, while a higher threshold could allow imposters to be incorrectly accepted. The use of smoothing, through a 1000-point moving average, was also important in stability and reducing the influence of spectral noise.

A significant lesson learned from this project was the importance of pre-processing and parameter tuning in this project. Furthermore, the project emphasized the effectiveness of signal processing fundamentals when applied in modern applications such as voice detection.

## 5. Repository

GitHub Repository: https://github.com/JugovicFaris/DSP-Lab-Final