INFO2001 - MILESTONE 5 ASSESSMENT MATRIX

Team No: 5   Team Name: Sabres

Criteria	Agree	Somewhat	Disagree	
The team demonstrated a clear "buy in" to the roleplaying process (the demonstration was appropriately pitched)	X			
The team conducted themselves professionally (dress, time-keeping etc.)	X			
The team presented a convincing overview of the benefits of the project to the client, both short- and long-term	X			Persuasive Presentation
The team presented a convincing account of the project's highlights, lowlights and lessons learned	X			
The two (2) report mock-ups/prototypes were convincingly shown to provide genuine business benefit to the client		X		
The team presented a way forward (i.e. "where to now?") for the client, effectively selling future work		X		
As a client stakeholder, I was convinced by the persuasive presentation (the "sell")	X			
The demonstration was obviously carefully constructed/scripted	X			
The demonstration used appropriate test data (there was no "nonsense" data)	X			
The demonstration illustrated working database connectivity	X			
The demonstration showcased the required functionality as specified in the Milestone 5 brief	X			
Implementation was compared to at least two (2) sequence diagrams, with any discrepancies fully justified	X			
The sequence diagram(s) was (were) effectively integrated into the demonstration		X		Project Demonstration
The demonstration showcased a professionally-designed and intuitive user interface	X			
The team convincingly "sold" their solution to the panel during the demonstration	X			
"X-Factor" functionality and/or reporting was demonstrated			X	
The team complied with the Milestone 4 deadline by uploading their code in time	X			
The team handled questions from the panel effectively and professionally	X			
As a client stakeholder, I was convinced by the demonstration	X			

Overall mark: 70
(percent)

Got the roleplay approriately, addressing of client etc.

Slides appropriate, images professional.

May not work to show forms on slides in more time-restricted demo circumstances. Most consultants show through demo.

Reports still need to indicate time. Reporting for all time has no business value.

Appreciated the script prepared to demo the use cases.

UI moved on from M3. Still missing the pretties (e.g. icon set), but that would have been focused on more if selected for Project Day.

Quite an innovative UI flow for both application and donation creation.

Still using "information" instead of "data" in demo.

Could have integrated the SDs into the demo, e.g. whilst data is being entered.

Could have done more in selling a future vision of the system and your relationship with the client.