

1

Assumptions

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \text{Oliver} & f(x) &= \text{Oliver is a CS Major} \\ & & m(x) &= \text{Oliver is a Math Major} \\ & & t(x) &= \text{Oliver is required to take Math 333} \end{aligned}$$

Argument

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} f(x) \vee m(x) \quad \text{Proposition 1} \\ m(x) \rightarrow t(x) \quad \text{Proposition 2} \end{array}}{\therefore f(x) \vee \neg t(x)}$$

Proof

Conclusion 1	$\neg f(x) \rightarrow m(x)$	Conditional Identity, Proposition 1
Conclusion 2	$\neg f(x) \rightarrow t(x)$	Hypothetical Syllogism, Proposition 2, Conclusion 1
Conclusion 3	$\neg \neg f(x) \vee t(x)$	Conditional Identity, Conclusion 2
Conclusion 4	$f(x) \vee t(x)$	Double Negation Law, Conclusion 3
<hr/>		
	$\therefore f(x) \vee t(x) \neq f(x) \vee \neg t(x)$	$\therefore \text{Invalid}$

Truth Table

$f(x)$	$m(x)$	$t(x)$	$f(x) \vee m(x)$	$m(x) \rightarrow t(x)$	$f(x) \vee \neg t(x)$
0	0	0	0	1	1
1	0	0	1	1	1
1	1	0	1	0	1
1	1	1	1	1	1
0	1	1	1	1	0
0	0	1	0	1	0
1	0	1	1	1	1

Conclusion

The truth table above shows that this is an invalid argument. Specifically rows 1, 3, and 5 show that it is invalid because: 1. the conclusion is false when the hypothesis is true 2. the conclusion is true when the hypothesis is false.

2

a)

$$\exists y \forall x \text{Triangle}(y) \wedge (\text{Square}(x) \rightarrow \neg \text{ToTheRightOf}(y, x))$$

b)

$$\begin{array}{ll} \neg \exists y \forall x \left(\text{Triangle}(y) \wedge (\text{Square}(x) \rightarrow \neg \text{ToTheRightOf}(y, x)) \right) & \text{Premise} \\ \forall y \neg \forall x \left(\text{Triangle}(y) \wedge (\text{Square}(x) \rightarrow \neg \text{ToTheRightOf}(y, x)) \right) & \text{De Morgan's} \\ \forall y \exists x \neg \left(\text{Triangle}(y) \wedge (\text{Square}(x) \rightarrow \neg \text{ToTheRightOf}(y, x)) \right) & \text{De Morgan's} \\ \forall y \exists x \left(\neg \text{Triangle}(y) \vee \neg (\text{Square}(x) \rightarrow \neg \text{ToTheRightOf}(y, x)) \right) & \text{De Morgan's} \\ \forall y \exists x \left(\neg \text{Triangle}(y) \vee \neg (\neg \text{Square}(x) \vee \neg \text{ToTheRightOf}(y, x)) \right) & \text{Conditional Identity} \\ \forall y \exists x \left(\neg \text{Triangle}(y) \vee (\neg \neg \text{Square}(x) \vee \neg \neg \text{ToTheRightOf}(y, x)) \right) & \text{De Morgan's} \\ \forall y \exists x \left(\neg \text{Triangle}(y) \vee (\text{Square}(x) \vee \text{ToTheRightOf}(y, x)) \right) & \text{Double Negation} \end{array}$$

c)

All polygons are not triangles or there exists a square or all polygons are to the right of a polygon.

3

a)

$t(x)$	=	trust x	$a(x)$	=	x is admitted to study
$o(x)$	=	own x	$b(x)$	=	x begs
$d(x)$	=	x is a dog	$y(x)$	=	x is in the yard
$g(x)$	=	x gnaws bones	$c(x)$	=	x is told to beg

b)

$$\forall x(g(x) \wedge y(x))$$

c)

Hypothesis

$\forall x(o(x) \rightarrow t(x))$	Proposition 1
$\forall x(d(x) \rightarrow g(x))$	Proposition 2
$\forall x(a(x) \rightarrow (c(x) \wedge b(x)))$	Proposition 3
$\forall x(y(x) \rightarrow o(x))$	Proposition 4
$\forall x(t(x) \rightarrow a(x))$	Proposition 5
$\forall x((c(x) \wedge b(x)) \rightarrow d(x))$	Proposition 6
<hr/>	
$\therefore \forall x(y(x) \rightarrow g(x))$	

Proof

Conclusion 1	x is an arbitrary element, all animals	Assumption
Conclusion 2	$o(x) \rightarrow t(x)$	Universal Instansiation, Con 1, Prop 1
Conclusion 3	$d(x) \rightarrow g(x)$	Universal Instansiation, Con 1, Prop 2
Conclusion 4	$a(x) \rightarrow (c(x) \wedge b(x))$	Universal Instansiation, Con 1, Prop 3
Conclusion 5	$y(x) \rightarrow o(x)$	Universal Instansiation, Con 1, Prop 4
Conclusion 6	$t(x) \rightarrow a(x)$	Universal Instansiation, Con 1, Prop 5
Conclusion 7	$(c(x) \wedge b(x)) \rightarrow d(x)$	Universal Instansiation, Con 1, Prop 6
Conclusion 8	$y(x) \rightarrow t(x)$	Hypothetical Syllogism, Con 5, Con 2
Conclusion 9	$y(x) \rightarrow a(x)$	Hypothetical Syllogism, Con 8, Con 6
Conclusion 10	$y(x) \rightarrow (c(x) \wedge b(x))$	Hypothetical Syllogism, Con 9, Con 4
Conclusion 11	$y(x) \rightarrow d(x)$	Hypothetical Syllogism, Con 10, Con 7
Conclusion 12	$y(x) \rightarrow g(x)$	Hypothetical Syllogism, Con 11, Con 3

$\therefore y(x) \rightarrow g(x) = y(x) \rightarrow g(x) \therefore \text{Valid}$

4

a)

No deductive errors

b)

P	Q	$P \rightarrow Q$	Q	P
0	0	1	0	0
1	0	0	0	1
1	1	1	1	1
0	1	1	1	0

It is invalid because: 1. there is a Hypothesis where all of the Proposition are false but the Conclusion is true 2. there is a hypothesis where all the Propositions are true but the Conclusion is false.

c)

P	Q	R	$P \rightarrow R$	$Q \rightarrow R$	$P \rightarrow Q$
0	0	0	1	1	1
1	0	0	0	1	0
1	1	0	0	0	1
1	1	1	1	1	1
0	1	1	1	1	1
0	0	1	1	1	1
1	0	1	1	1	0

It is invalid because: 1. there is a Hypothesis where all of the Proposition are false but the Conclusion is true 2. there is a hypothesis where all the Propositions are true but the Conclusion is false.