

Continuations, mobile processes, all the things...

Julien Gabet

Mars-June, 2018

Memo/garbage part

$M, N ::= x; \lambda x.M; MN$
 $(\lambda x.M)N \rightarrow_\beta M[N/x]$

terms tend to get bigger

If $C[\]$ is a context, and $M \rightarrow_\beta N$
then $C[M] \rightarrow_\beta C[N]$

$P, Q ::= u(xy).P; \bar{u}xy.P; P|Q; (\nu x)P|!P$
 $u(xy).P|\bar{u}ab.Q \rightarrow P[a/x, b/y]|Q$

If $P \rightarrow Q$ then $C[P] \rightarrow C[Q]$

(with necessary hypothesis on context C)

If $P \equiv P' \rightarrow Q \equiv Q'$ then $P \rightarrow Q$

Krivine Abstract Machine (KAM)

$M \star \Pi \star \mathcal{E}$

$$MN \star \Pi \star \mathcal{E} \rightarrow M \star (N, \mathcal{E}).\Pi \star \mathcal{E}$$

$$\lambda x.M \star (N, \mathcal{E}).\Pi \star \mathcal{F} \rightarrow M \star \Pi \star \mathcal{F}, s \mapsto (N, \mathcal{E})$$

$$x \star \Pi \star \mathcal{E}, x \mapsto (M, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow M \star \Pi \star \mathcal{F}$$

For exponentials:

$$!P \simeq !P|!P$$

$$(\nu u)!u(x).P \simeq 0$$

idea: $!P|Q \simeq !P|!P|Q \ \forall Q$

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket (M, \mathcal{E}).\Pi \rrbracket_u &= (\nu m)(\nu v)(\bar{u}mv|!m(x)\llbracket M, \mathcal{E} \rrbracket_x|\llbracket \Pi \rrbracket_v) \\ \llbracket M, (x_i \mapsto (M_i, \mathcal{E}_i))_{i=1..k} \rrbracket_u &= (\nu x_1) \dots (\nu x_k)(\llbracket M \rrbracket_u|!x_1(u).\llbracket M_1, \mathcal{E}_1 \rrbracket_u|\dots) \\ \llbracket MN \rrbracket_u &= (\nu v)(\nu n)(\llbracket M \rrbracket_v|\bar{v}nu|!n(x).\llbracket N \rrbracket_x) \\ \llbracket \lambda x.M \rrbracket_u &= u(xv).\llbracket M \rrbracket_v \\ \llbracket x \rrbracket_u &= \bar{x}u \end{aligned}$$

We want $M \star \Pi \star \mathcal{E} \rightarrow M' \star \Pi' \star \mathcal{E}'$ iff $\llbracket M, \mathcal{E} \rrbracket_u|\llbracket \Pi \rrbracket_u \rightarrow \llbracket M', \mathcal{E}' \rrbracket_v|\llbracket \Pi' \rrbracket_v$

- equiv \simeq bisimulation
- the traduction goes well

Definition

A binary relation S is a reduction bisimulation if, for all $(P, Q) \in S$

- (1) $P \xrightarrow{\tau} P'$ implies $Q \xrightarrow{\tau} Q'$ for some Q' with $(P', Q') \in S$
- (2) $Q \xrightarrow{\tau} Q'$ implies $P \xrightarrow{\tau} P'$ for some P' with $(P', Q') \in S$

Definition (*Observability*:)

$P \downarrow_x$ if P can make an input action of subject x
 $P \downarrow_{\bar{x}}$ if P can make an output action of subject x .

Definition (*Image-finite process*:)

P is image-finite if, for all derivative Q of P and any action α , $\exists n \geq 0$ and Q_1, \dots, Q_n such that $Q \xRightarrow{\alpha} Q'$ implies $Q' = Q_i$ for some i .
 where \Rightarrow is the reflexive transitive closure of $\xrightarrow{\tau}$ and $\xRightarrow{\alpha}$ is $\Rightarrow \xrightarrow{\alpha} \Rightarrow$ for some action α .

Rules for base- π

Value-typing

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \text{basval} : B} \text{TV-BASVAL}$$

$$\frac{}{\Gamma, x : T \vdash x : T} \text{TV-NAME}$$

Process typing

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash P : \diamond \quad \Gamma \vdash Q : \diamond}{\Gamma \vdash P|Q : \diamond} \text{T-PAR}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash P : \diamond \quad \Gamma \vdash Q : \diamond}{\Gamma \vdash P + Q : \diamond} \text{T-SUM}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash v : \sharp T \quad \Gamma \vdash w : \sharp T \quad \Gamma \vdash P : \diamond}{\Gamma \vdash [v = w]P : \diamond} \text{T-MAT}$$

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash 0 : \diamond} \text{T-NIL}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash P : \diamond}{\Gamma \vdash !P : \diamond} \text{T-REP}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : L \vdash P : \diamond}{\Gamma \vdash (\nu x : L)P : \diamond} \text{T-RES}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash P : \diamond}{\Gamma \vdash \tau.P : \diamond} \text{T-TAU}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash v : \sharp T \quad \Gamma, x : T \vdash P : \diamond}{\Gamma \vdash v(x).P : \diamond} \text{T-INP}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash v : \sharp T \quad \Gamma \vdash w : T \quad \Gamma \vdash P : \diamond}{\Gamma \vdash \bar{v}w.P : \diamond} \text{T-OUT}$$

Types: $S, T ::= V$ value type

$|L$ link type

$|\diamond$ behaviour type

Value types: $V ::= B$ basic type

Link types: $L ::= \sharp V$ connexion type

Environments: $\Gamma ::= \Gamma, x : L \mid \Gamma, x : V \mid \emptyset$

Transitions for base- π

$$\frac{}{\bar{a}w.P \xrightarrow{\bar{a}w} P} \text{OUT}$$

$$\frac{}{a(x).P \xrightarrow{aw} P\{w/x\}} \text{INP}$$

$$\frac{}{\tau P \xrightarrow{\tau} P} \text{TAU}$$

$$\frac{P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'}{[x = x]P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'} \text{MAT}$$

$$\frac{P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'}{P + Q \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'} \text{SUM-L}$$

$$\frac{P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'}{P|Q \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'|Q} \text{PAR-L } (bn(\alpha) \cap fn(Q) = \emptyset)$$

$$\frac{P \xrightarrow{(\nu \tilde{z} : \tilde{T})\bar{a}v} P' \quad Q \xrightarrow{av} Q'}{P|Q \xrightarrow{\tau} (\nu \tilde{z} : \tilde{T})(P'|Q')} \text{COMM-L } (\tilde{z} \cap fn(Q) = \emptyset)$$

$$\frac{P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'}{(\nu x : T)P \xrightarrow{\alpha} (\nu x : T)P'} \text{RES } (x \notin n(\alpha))$$

$$\frac{P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'}{!P \xrightarrow{\alpha} P'|!P} \text{REP-ACT}$$

$$\frac{P \xrightarrow{(\nu \tilde{z} : \tilde{T})\bar{a}v} P'}{(\nu x : T)P \xrightarrow{(\nu \tilde{z} : \tilde{T}, x : T)} P'} \text{OPEN } (x \in fn(v), x \notin \{\tilde{z}, a\})$$

$$\frac{P \xrightarrow{(\nu \tilde{z} : \tilde{T})\bar{a}v} P' \quad P \xrightarrow{av} P''}{!P \xrightarrow{\tau} (\nu \tilde{z} : \tilde{T})(P'|P'')!P} \text{REP-COMM } (\tilde{z} \cap fn(P) = \emptyset)$$

$$\frac{\text{Si } v \text{ n'est pas un nom}}{\bar{v}w.P \xrightarrow{\tau} \text{wrong}} \text{OUTERR}$$

$$\frac{\text{Si } v \text{ n'est pas un nom}}{v(x).P \xrightarrow{\tau} \text{wrong}} \text{INPERR}$$

$$\frac{\text{Si } v \text{ ou } w \text{ n'est pas un nom}}{[v = w]P \xrightarrow{\tau} \text{wrong}} \text{MATERR}$$

simply-typed π -calculus: same but with value types $V ::= B$ basic type

$|L$ link type, allowing to pass links

i/o types

Grammar: same + $L ::= iV \mid oV$

(input and output capabilities)

Subtyping rules

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{}{T \leq T} \text{ SUB-REFL} \qquad \frac{S \leq S' \quad S' \leq T}{S \leq T} \text{ SUB-TRANS} \\
\\
\frac{}{\sharp T \leq iT} \text{ SUB-}\sharp\text{I} \qquad \frac{}{\sharp T \leq oT} \text{ SUB-}\sharp\text{O} \\
\frac{S \leq T}{iS \leq iT} \text{ SUB-II} \qquad \frac{S \leq T}{oT \leq oS} \text{ SUB-OO} \\
\frac{S \leq T \quad T \leq S}{\sharp T \leq \sharp S} \text{ SUB-BS}
\end{array}$$

Typing rules

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\Gamma \vdash a : iS \quad \Gamma, x : S \vdash P : \diamond}{\Gamma \vdash a(x).P : \diamond} \text{ T-INPS} \qquad \text{replaces T-INP} \\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash a : oT \quad \Gamma \vdash w : T \quad \Gamma \vdash P : \diamond}{\Gamma \vdash \bar{a}w.P : \diamond} \text{ T-OUTS} \qquad \text{replaces T-OUT} \\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash v : S \quad S \leq T}{\Gamma \vdash v : T} \text{ SUBSUMPTION}
\end{array}$$

Linear types

Grammar: $L ::= l_{\#}V \mid l_iV \mid l_oV$

Combination of types

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{l_iT \uplus l_oT = l_{\#}T}{T \uplus T = T \text{ if } T \text{ is non-linear}} \\ & T \uplus U = \text{error} \text{ otherwise} \end{aligned}$$

Combination of environments

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{If for some } x, \Gamma_1(x) \text{ and } \Gamma_2(x) \text{ are defined and } \Gamma_1(x) \uplus \Gamma_2(x) = \text{error} \text{ then } \Gamma_1 \uplus \Gamma_2 \text{ is undefined.} \\ & \text{Otherwise, } (\Gamma_1 \uplus \Gamma_2)(x) = \begin{cases} \Gamma_1(x) \uplus \Gamma_2(x) & \text{if both are defined} \\ \Gamma_i(x) & \text{if defined but } \Gamma_{3-i}(x) \text{ is not defined} \\ \text{undefined} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Extraction

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Lin}(\Gamma) &= \{x \mid \Gamma(x) = l_I T \text{ for } I \in \{i, o, \#\} \text{ and some type } T\} \\ \text{Lin}_i(\Gamma) &= \{x \mid \Gamma(x) = l_i S \text{ or } \Gamma(x) = l_{\#} S \text{ for some } S\} \end{aligned}$$

Value-typing

$$\frac{}{\Gamma, x : T \vdash x : T} \text{LIN-NAME } (\text{Lin}(\Gamma) = \emptyset) \qquad \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \star : \text{unit}} \text{LIN-UNIT } (\text{Lin}(\Gamma) = \emptyset)$$

+ SUBSUMPTION and subtyping rules

Process typing

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash v : mS \ (m \in \{i, l_i\}) \quad \Gamma_2, x : S \vdash P}{\Gamma_1 \uplus \Gamma_2 \vdash v(x).P : \diamond} \text{LIN-INP} \\ & \frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash v : mS \ (m \in \{o, l_o\}) \quad \Gamma_2 \vdash w : S \quad \Gamma_3 \vdash P : \diamond}{\Gamma_1 \uplus \Gamma_2 \uplus \Gamma_3 \vdash \bar{v}w.P : \diamond} \text{LIN-OUT} \\ & \frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash P_1 : \diamond \quad \Gamma_2 \vdash P_2 : \diamond}{\Gamma_1 \uplus \Gamma_2 \vdash P_1 \mid P_2 : \diamond} \text{LIN-PAR} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash P_1 : \diamond \quad \Gamma \vdash P_2 : \diamond}{\Gamma \vdash P_1 + P_2 : \diamond} \text{LIN-SUM} \\ & \frac{\Gamma \vdash P : \diamond}{\Gamma \vdash \tau.P : \diamond} \text{LIN-TAU} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash P : \diamond}{\Gamma \vdash !P : \diamond} \text{LIN-REP } (\text{Lin}(\Gamma) = \emptyset) \\ & \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash 0 : \diamond} \text{LIN-NIL } (\text{Lin}(\Gamma) = \emptyset) \qquad \frac{\Gamma_1 \vdash v : \#T \quad \Gamma_1 \vdash w : \#T \quad \Gamma_2 \vdash P : \diamond}{\Gamma_1 \uplus \Gamma_2 \vdash [v = w]P : \diamond} \text{LIN-MAT} \\ & \frac{\Gamma, x : L \vdash P : \diamond}{\Gamma \vdash (\nu x : L)P : \diamond} \text{LIN-RES} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash P : \diamond}{\Gamma \vdash (\nu x : L)P : \diamond} \text{LIN-RES2} \end{aligned}$$

TODO: results on i/o and i/o-lin

Work :

We take the following rules over simplified π -calculus with only parallelization:

$$\frac{}{\perp \vdash 0} \qquad \frac{E \vdash P \quad F \vdash Q}{E \wp F \vdash P|Q} \qquad \frac{}{\alpha \vdash A}$$

along with subsumption written as such $\frac{E \leq F \quad F \vdash P}{E \vdash P}$

where $E \leq F \iff \vdash E^\perp, F$ in MLL.

The goal is to completely write the elimination of subsumption in this system (in order to generalize to more expressive ones later).

Remark: the definition of \leq implies one can dualize the connectors (*ie.* turn \wp s in \otimes s), given the addition of enough neutrals in the formula. This is not bad per se, but can lead to unfriendly types that don't reflect the way things behave.

For example, under the assumption that $\vdash P_i^\perp$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, one can prove:

$$(P_1 \wp 1 \wp \dots \wp P_n \wp 1) \otimes \alpha_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \alpha_n \leq \alpha_1 \wp \dots \wp \alpha_n$$

One way to mitigate this kind of behaviour would be to annotate the parallel rule with some variable, and to allow for context in the typing rules:

$$\frac{}{\Gamma, \perp \vdash 0} \qquad \frac{\Gamma, x : E \vdash P \quad \Delta, x : F \vdash Q}{\Gamma, \Delta, E \wp_x F \vdash P|_x Q} \qquad \frac{}{\Gamma, \alpha \vdash A}$$

Contextualization allows for parts we don't deal with when typing parallel behaviours, but it needs to be carefully handled with the subsumption and subtyping in general. Giving contexts to the axiom rules (both null behaviour and atoms) allows the "trash" parts of subtyping to be moved up to the top of the tree (and thus eliminate subsumptions under axioms), but dealing with parallel is an other story...

Specifically, we need to be able to type the following:

$$(P_1 \wp 1 \wp \dots \wp P_n \wp 1) \otimes \alpha_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \alpha_n \vdash A_1 | \dots | A_n$$

Let's transform this by using a context system:

$$P_1 \wp 1 \wp \dots \wp P_n \wp 1, \alpha_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \alpha_n \vdash A_1 | \dots | A_n$$

This is much more appealing. We can now annotate on the $P_i \wp 1$ types and use them for parallelizing, and add a tensor rule that does "nothing" on the π -terms to deal with the $\alpha_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \alpha_n$ part:

$$\frac{\Gamma, E, F \vdash P}{\Gamma, E \otimes F \vdash P}$$

This rule might need some more syntax though (such as annotations), as it mostly reflects the non-deterministic part of the behaviour of the π -term that is given a type.