

# The p-adic number and Finding roots in $\mathbb{Z}_p$

Matseoi Zau

March 4, 2025

# Overview

Three Parts:

1. Non Archimedean Absolute Value

# Overview

Three Parts:

1. Non Archimedean Absolute Value
2. Defining p-adic numbers

# Overview

Three Parts:

1. Non Archimedean Absolute Value
2. Defining  $p$ -adic numbers
3. Application: Hensel's Lemma

# Part 1: Non Archimedean Absolute Value

## Definition

A *non-Archimedean absolute value*  $|\cdot|_p$  mapping from a field  $K$  to  $\mathbb{R}^+$  is an absolute value that satisfies the *non-archimedean property*:

$$|x + y| \leq \max(|x|, |y|)$$

for all  $x, y \in K$ . Additionally:

- $|x| \geq 0$ , and  $|x| = 0$  if and only if  $x = 0$ ,
- $|xy| = |x||y|$  for all  $x, y \in K$ ,
- $|x + y| \leq |x| + |y|$  for all  $x, y \in K$ .

# Part 1: Non Archimedean Absolute Value

*p*-adic absolute value is an example of non Archimedean absolute value

## Definition

The *p*-adic valuation  $v_p(x)$  of a nonzero rational number  $x$  is given by:

$$v_p(x) = \max\{k \in \mathbb{Z} : p^k \text{ divides } x\}$$

For  $x = 0$ ,  $v_p(0) = +\infty$ .

## Definition

The *p*-adic absolute value  $|\cdot|_p$  on the field of rational numbers  $\mathbb{Q}$  is defined as for any nonzero rational number  $x$ , then:

$$|x|_p = p^{-v_p(x)}$$

and  $|0|_p = 0$ .

# Ostrowski's Theorem

## Theorem

*Ostrowski's Theorem states that any absolute value on the field of rational numbers  $\mathbb{Q}$  is equivalent to either:*

- *the usual absolute value  $|\cdot|$ , or*
- *the  $p$ -adic absolute value  $|\cdot|_p$  for some prime number  $p$ .*

*In other words, every nontrivial absolute value on  $\mathbb{Q}$  is either Archimedean (the usual absolute value) or non-Archimedean (a  $p$ -adic absolute value).*

## Part 2: The $p$ -adic numbers

### Definition

A  $p$ -adic number can be expressed as an infinite series of the form:

$$x = \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} a_n p^n,$$

where:

- $N \in \mathbb{Z}$  (allowing for negative powers of  $p$ ),
- $a_n \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$  are the coefficients,
- $p$  is a fixed prime number.



## Part 2: The $p$ -adic numbers

### Example: 3-adic Expansion of 72

Consider the 3-adic expansion of the number 72:

$$72 = 0 \cdot 3^0 + 0 \cdot 3^1 + 2 \cdot 3^2 + 2 \cdot 3^3$$

where  $a_n \in \{0, 1, 2\}$  are the coefficients. Here, 72 is represented in the base-3 system.

## Part 2: The $p$ -adic numbers

### 3-adic Expansion of $-\frac{1}{2}$

Consider the sequence:

$$x_n = 1 + 3 + 3^2 + \cdots + 3^n$$

In the real numbers  $\mathbb{R}$ , this sequence diverges. However, in the 3-adic numbers  $\mathbb{Q}_3$ , this sequence converges to:

$$\dots 33331_3 = \frac{1}{1-3} = -\frac{1}{2}$$

This example highlights the difference in convergence behavior between  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}_3$ .

# Part 3: Hensel's Lemma

## Motivation

- **Roots in  $\mathbb{Z}$ :** Use modular arithmetic (e.g., Gauss Lemma).
- **Roots in  $\mathbb{Q}$ :** Rational Root Theorem provides systematic candidates.
- **Roots in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ :** How do we lift solutions from  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  to higher moduli  $\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}$ ?

## Part 3: Hensel's Lemma

### Theorem

*Hensel's Lemma states that if  $F(X) = a_0 + a_1X + a_2X^2 + \cdots + a_nX^n$  is a polynomial with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , and there exists a  $p$ -adic integer  $\alpha_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  such that:*

$$F(\alpha_1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p\mathbb{Z}_p}$$

*and*

$$F'(\alpha_1) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p\mathbb{Z}_p},$$

*where  $F'(X)$  is the formal derivative of  $F(X)$ , then there exists a unique  $p$ -adic integer  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  such that:*

$$\alpha \equiv \alpha_1 \pmod{p\mathbb{Z}_p}, \quad F(\alpha) = 0.$$

## Part 3: Hensel's Lemma

### Example: Applying Hensel's Lemma

Let  $f(X) = X^2 - 4$  over the 5-adic integers. We have:

$$f(3) \equiv 0 \pmod{5}, \quad f'(3) = 2 \times 3 \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$$

To find the square root of 4:

$$4 \equiv 3^2 \pmod{5}$$

$$4 \equiv (3 + 4 \cdot 5)^2 \pmod{25}$$

$$4 \equiv (3 + 4 \cdot 5 + 1 \cdot 5^2) \pmod{125}$$

Therefore, the root is:

$$\dots 141$$

# Acknowledgments

I would like to thank:

- Matthew for the mentoring,
- The Directed Reading Program Committee for organizing this opportunity,
- The audience for their attention and participation.