

Sorting Type	Order	Test Size	Time	Big-O	Big-O Justification	Estimate for 10,000,000 in Seconds
Bubble	ascending	500,000	0.00165211	O(N)	When I added a trend line to my graph, the R^2 was exactly one. Meaning it was a perfect fit. In addition, it can be seen that the time goes up by .0003 each time	0.029992 I used the best fit line and plugged 10,000,000 in for x
		600,000	0.00198357			
		700,000	0.0023145			
		800,000	0.00264589			
		900,000	0.0029813			
		1,000,000	0.00331666			
		1,100,000	0.00364023			
		1,200,000	0.00397527			
	random	50,000	12.4322	O(N^2)	Looking at the graph the X^2 curve can start to be seen. In addition, the trend line had an R^2 of 1.	500009.8779 I used the best fit line and plugged 10,000,000 in for x
		60,000	17.9781			
		70,000	24.4935			
		80,000	32.1399			
		90,000	40.609			
		100,000	49.9707			
		110,000	60.5437			
		120,000	72.2546			
	descending	50,000	12.4154	O(N^2)	Looking at the graph the X^2 curve can start to be seen. In addition, the trend line had an R^2 of 1.	499900.5009 I used the best fit line and plugged 10,000,000 in for x
		60,000	17.836			
		70,000	24.2723			
		80,000	31.72			
		90,000	40.1407			
		100,000	49.5693			
		110,000	59.9926			
		120,000	71.5542			
Insertion	ascending	500,000	0.00240693	O(N)	When I added a trend line to my graph, the R^2 was exactly one. Meaning it was a perfect fit. In addition, it can be seen that the time goes up by .0005 each time	.04998 I used the best fit line and plugged 10,000,000 in for x
		600,000	0.00289132			
		700,000	0.00336996			
		800,000	0.00385116			
		900,000	0.00433633			
		1,000,000	0.00481821			
		1,100,000	0.00534489			
		1,200,000	0.00577724			
	random	50,000	1.74491	O(N^2)	Looking at the graph the X^2 curve can start to be seen. In addition, the trend line had an R^2 of 1.	69999.9874 I used the best fit line and plugged 10,000,000 in for x
		60,000	2.51427			
		70,000	3.42145			
		80,000	4.44875			
		90,000	5.6254			
		100,000	6.95313			
		110,000	8.39581			
		120,000	9.98897			
	descending	50,000	3.48306	O(N^2)	Looking at the graph the X^2 curve can start	
		60,000	5.02106			
		70,000	6.82521			
		80,000	8.93423			

selection	descending	90,000	11.2782	$O(N^2)$	to be seen. In addition, the trend line had an R^2 of 1.	99999.4982 I used the best fit line and plugged 10,000,000 in for x
		100,000	13.9617			
		110,000	16.8681			
		120,000	20.0847			
		50,000	2.63667			
		60,000	3.79725			
		70,000	5.15278			
		80,000	6.74552			
	ascending	90,000	8.52107		Looking at the graph the X^2 curve can start to be seen. In addition, the trend line had an R^2 of 1.	100029.8995 I used the best fit line and plugged 10,000,000 in for x
		100,000	10.5771			
		110,000	12.7256			
		120,000	15.1326			
		50,000	2.80287			
		60,000	4.03273			
	random	70,000	5.48163	$O(N^2)$	Looking at the graph the X^2 curve can start to be seen. In addition, the trend line had an R^2 of 1.	1000039.8839 I used the best fit line and plugged 10,000,000 in for x
		80,000	7.16974			
		90,000	9.07126			
		100,000	11.1925			
		110,000	13.5159			
		120,000	16.0645			
		50,000	2.72311			
		60,000	3.91024			
		70,000	5.32237			
	descending	80,000	6.95981		Looking at the graph the X^2 curve can start to be seen. In addition, the trend line had an R^2 of 1.	100006.9906 I used the best fit line and plugged 10,000,000 in for x
		90,000	8.7856			
		100,000	10.8341			
		110,000	13.1121			
		120,000	15.6027			
		500,000	0.0486559			
quick	ascending	600,000	0.0566355	$O(N \log N)$	This is $n \log n$ because it is very close to being exactly linear, but isn't quite because it is multiplied by $\log(n)$. Thus causing not a good linear fit line. Also this makes sense because $\log(n)$ won't affect the graph compared to n .	.8054 I used the best fit line and plugged 10,000,000 in for x knowing that the actual time would be slightly larger
		700,000	0.0593768			
		800,000	0.0706971			
		900,000	0.0831534			
		1,000,000	0.0910074			
		1,100,000	0.0979086			
		1,200,000	0.104106			
		500,000	0.0883772			
		600,000	0.107096			
		700,000	0.134573			
	random	800,000	0.148976	$O(N \log N)$	This is $n \log n$ because it is very close to being exactly linear, but isn't quite because it is multiplied by $\log(n)$. Thus causing not a good linear fit line. Also this makes sense because $\log(n)$ won't affect the graph compared to n .	1.9882 I used the best fit line and plugged 10,000,000 in for x knowing that the actual time would be slightly larger
		900,000	0.171701			
		1,000,000	0.198801			
		1,100,000	0.206702			
		1,200,000	0.230121			
		500,000	0.0411105			
		600,000	0.0454013			
		700,000	0.0545364			

merge	descending	800,000	0.0606201	O(NlogN)	quite because it is multiplied by log(n). Thus causing not a good linear fit line. Also this makes sense because log(n) won't affect the graph compared to n.	fit line and plugged 10,000,000 in for x knowing that the actual time would be slightly larger
		900,000	0.0819382			
		1,000,000	0.0911331			
		1,100,000	0.098578			
		1,200,000	0.106144			
		500,000	0.0611842			
	ascending	600,000	0.0716825	O(NlogN)	This is nlogn because it is very close to being exactly linear, but isn't quite because it is multiplied by log(n). Thus causing not a good linear fit line. Also this makes sense because log(n) won't affect the graph compared to n.	1.0106 I used the best fit line and plugged 10,000,000 in for x knowing that the actual time would be slightly larger
		700,000	0.083145			
		800,000	0.0927485			
		900,000	0.104099			
		1,000,000	0.111122			
		1,100,000	0.12199			
	random	1,200,000	0.134331	O(NlogN)	This is nlogn because it is very close to being exactly linear, but isn't quite because it is multiplied by log(n). Thus causing not a good linear fit line. Also this makes sense because log(n) won't affect the graph compared to n.	1.9986 I used the best fit line and plugged 10,000,000 in for x knowing that the actual time would be slightly larger
		500,000	0.109171			
		600,000	0.1376039			
		700,000	0.157244			
		800,000	0.179712			
		900,000	0.200812			
	descending	1,000,000	0.223564	O(NlogN)	This is nlogn because it is very close to being exactly linear, but isn't quite because it is multiplied by log(n). Thus causing not a good linear fit line. Also this makes sense because log(n) won't affect the graph compared to n.	1.0074 I used the best fit line and plugged 10,000,000 in for x knowing that the actual time would be slightly larger
		1,100,000	0.247549			
		1,200,000	0.270506			
		500,000	0.059403			
		600,000	0.0720787			
		700,000	0.0819603			





