

# Reverse-time (and Kirchhoff) migration

Rodrigue Lelotte & Jules Sanchez

## 1 Preliminaries.

We consider the two-dimensional euclidian space as the medium, purported to be homogeneous ( $c(x) \equiv 1$ ). Let us derive an analytical formula for the time-harmonic Green's function  $\hat{G}_0(\omega, x, y)$ , that is the Fourier transform of the Green's function  $G_0(t, x, y)$  w.r.t. the time variable  $t$ , which we recall to satisfy the *Helmholtz equation*, i.e.

$$\Delta_x \hat{G}_0(\omega, x, y) + \omega^2 \hat{G}_0(\omega, x, y) = -\delta(x - y). \quad (1.1)$$

By taking the Fourier transform  $\mathcal{F}_x$  w.r.t. the space variable  $x$  of (1.1), we obtain

$$-|k_x|^2 \mathcal{F}_x \hat{G}_0(\omega, k, y) + \omega^2 \mathcal{F}_x \hat{G}_0(\omega, k, y) = -\exp(ik \cdot y)/(2\pi). \quad (1.2)$$

from which it follows that  $\mathcal{F}_x \hat{G}_0(\omega, k, y) = -\exp(ik \cdot y)/(2\pi[\omega^2 - |k|^2])$ . Therefore, one has

$$\hat{G}_0(\omega, x, y) = \mathcal{F}_x^{-1}[\mathcal{F}_x \hat{G}_0](\omega, x, y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{\exp(ik \cdot (x - y))}{|k_x|^2 - \omega^2} dk. \quad (1.3)$$

**Remark 1.1.** Given a function  $\mathbb{R} \ni r \mapsto f(r)$ , one defines the  $\nu$ -th Hankel transform of  $f$  as

$$\mathcal{H}_\nu[f](k) := \int_0^\infty f(r) J_\nu(kr) r dr,$$

where  $J_\nu$  is the  $\nu$ -th Bessel function of the first kind, that is

$$J_\nu(z) = \frac{(-i)^n}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \exp(iz \cos(\varphi) + in\varphi) d\varphi.$$

The  $\nu$ -th Hankel transform expresses any given function  $f$  as a weighted-sum of an infinite number of rescaled Bessel functions of the first kind  $J_\nu$ . That is, in comparison with the Fourier transform, the dual variable is no longer the frequency as it is some scaling factor.

Wisely rotating the frame of coordinates and carrying the computations into the polar domain yields that

$$\hat{G}_0(\omega, x, y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{rdr}{r^2 - \omega^2} \left[ \int_0^{2\pi} \exp(ir \cos(\varphi)|x - y|) d\varphi \right] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \mathcal{H}_0 \left[ \frac{1}{r^2 - \omega^2} \right] (|x - y|).$$

It remains to compute the 0-th Hankel transform of  $f : r \mapsto 1/(r^2 - \omega^2)$ . The neat trick here is to write

$$\mathcal{H}_0[f](k) = \int_0^\infty \mathcal{L}^{-1}[rf(r)](s) \mathcal{L}[J_0(kr)](s) ds,$$

where  $\mathcal{L}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$ ) denotes the (resp. inverse) Laplace transform, and we have

$$\mathcal{L}[J_0(kr)](s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k^2 + s^2}}, \quad \mathcal{L}^{-1}[rf(r)] = \cos(-i\omega s),$$

so that we obtain (after change of variable  $s \rightarrow s/|x - y|$ )

$$\hat{G}_0(\omega, x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{\cos(-i|x - y|\omega s)}{\sqrt{s^2 + 1}} ds = \frac{1}{2\pi} K_0(-i|x - y|\omega) = \frac{i}{4} H_0(\omega|x - y|),$$

with  $K_0$  the 0-th modified Bessel function of the second kind, and  $H_0$  the 0-th Hankel function, where the last two equalities are "common knowledge" in the field.

## 2 Time-harmonic localization – full aperture.

The embedded reflector  $x_{\text{ref}}$  is modeled by a local variation  $V(x)$  of the propagation speed  $c(x)$  in the vicinity of the reflector, where we suppose that  $V(x) = \sigma_{\text{ref}} \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\Omega_{\text{ref}}}(x - x_{\text{ref}})$  where  $\sigma_{\text{ref}}$  is the target reflectivity and  $\Omega_{\text{ref}}$  is the small scattering region of area  $\ell_{\text{ref}}^2$  which corresponds to the reflector apparatus. We assume that  $\ell_{\text{ref}}$  is negligible w.r.t. the typical wavelength  $\lambda = 2\pi/\omega$ , such that we can suppose that  $V(x) \simeq \sigma_{\text{ref}} \ell_{\text{ref}}^2 \cdot \delta(x - x_{\text{ref}})$ . Using Born approximation, the Green's function reads

$$\hat{G}_{\text{ref}}(\omega, x, y) = \hat{G}_0(\omega, x, y) + \omega^2 \sigma_{\text{ref}} \ell_{\text{ref}}^2 \cdot \hat{G}_0(\omega, x, x_{\text{ref}}) \hat{G}_0(\omega, x_{\text{ref}}, y).$$

We record the impulse response matrix  $(\hat{u}_{rs}(\omega))_{r,s}$ , where  $\hat{u}_{rs}(\omega)$  is the time-harmonic amplitude recorded by the  $r$ -th receiver when the  $s$ -th source emits a time-harmonic signal with unit amplitude and frequency  $\omega$ . Equalizing the data, that is removing the incident field (*i.e.* not scattered), we have that

$$\hat{u}_{rs}(\omega) = \omega^2 \sigma_{\text{ref}} \ell_{\text{ref}}^2 \cdot \hat{G}_0(\omega, x_r, x_{\text{ref}}) \hat{G}_0(\omega, x_{\text{ref}}, x_s), \quad \forall r, s. \quad (2.1)$$

## 2.1 Reverse-time imaging

Recall that the Reverse-time (RT) imaging function for the search point  $x^S$  is defined as

$$\mathcal{I}_{\text{RT}}(\omega, x^S) := \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{r,s} \hat{G}_0(\omega, x^S, x_r) \hat{G}_0(\omega, x_s, x^S) \overline{\hat{u}_{rs}(\omega)} \quad (2.2)$$

If the number of transducers is high enough, *i.e.*  $N \gg 1$ , we can assume that we have a continuum of transducers on  $\partial B(0, R_0)$ , so that plugging the impulse responses (2.1) into (2.2) yields the approximation (using *reciprocity* of the time-harmonic Green's function)

$$\mathcal{I}_{\text{RT}}(\omega, x^S) \simeq \omega^2 \sigma_{\text{ref}} \ell_{\text{ref}}^2 \cdot \left[ \int_{x \in \partial B(0, R_0)} \hat{G}_0(\omega, x^S, x) \overline{\hat{G}_0(\omega, x_{\text{ref}}, x)} d\sigma(x) \right]^2.$$

Moreover, if  $R_0 \gg 1$ , we can invoke *Helmholtz-Kirchhoff identity*, so that we eventually have that the theoretical focal spot is given by

$$\mathcal{I}_{\text{RT}}(\omega, x^S) \simeq \sigma_{\text{ref}} \ell_{\text{ref}}^2 \cdot \Im \hat{G}_0(\omega, x^S, x_{\text{ref}})^2 = \sigma_0 \cdot J_0^2(\omega |x^S - x_{\text{ref}}|)$$

with  $\sigma_0 := \sigma_{\text{ref}} \ell_{\text{ref}}^2 / 16$ .

## 2.2 Kirchhoff migration imaging

Let us now see how to extend the Kirchhoff migration (KM) imaging to the two-dimensional setting. For large  $|z|$ , we have the following simple asymptotic  $H_0(z) \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi z}} \cdot e^{i(z - \pi/4)}$ , so that if we neglect the variations of the amplitude term in the time-harmonic Green's function  $\hat{G}_0$ , one has

$$\mathcal{I}_{\text{RT}}(\omega, x^S) \simeq \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{r,s} e^{i\omega(|x^S - x_r| - |x_{\text{ref}} - x_r|)} e^{i\omega(|x^S - x_s| - |x_{\text{ref}} - x_s|)} = \left[ \frac{1}{N} \sum_r e^{i\omega(|x^S - x_r| - |x_{\text{ref}} - x_r|)} \right]^2.$$

Once again, if  $N \gg 1$ , we can assume that we have a continuum of transducers on  $\partial B(0, R_0)$ , so that

$$\mathcal{I}_{\text{RT}}(\omega, x^S) \simeq \left( \int_{x \in \partial B(0, R_0)} \exp \left[ i\omega(|x^S - x| - |x_{\text{ref}} - x|) \right] d\sigma(x) \right)^2.$$

If  $R_0 \gg \max\{|x^S|, |x_{\text{ref}}|\}$ , we get from simple geometrical arguments that

$$|x^S - x| - |x_{\text{ref}} - x| \simeq \langle x_{\text{ref}} - x^S, R_0^{-1} x \rangle$$

for every  $x \in \partial B(0, R_0)$ . Carrying the computations of the contour integral into the polar domain, *i.e.*  $x = (R_0 \cos(\theta), R_0 \sin(\theta))$ , and using the fact that there exists  $\phi_{S,\text{ref}} \in [0, 2\pi)$  such that

$$\langle x_{\text{ref}} - x^S, R_0^{-1} x \rangle = |x^S - x_{\text{ref}}| \cos(\theta + \phi_{S,\text{ref}}), \quad \forall \theta,$$

we have

$$\int_{x \in \partial B(0, R_0)} \dots d\sigma(x) \simeq \int_0^{2\pi} \exp \left[ i\omega |x^S - x_{\text{ref}}| \cos(\theta + \phi_{S,\text{ref}}) \right] d\theta,$$

so that we recognize the 0-th modified Bessel function  $I_0$  of the first kind, which we recall to have the integral representation  $(2\pi)I_0(z) = \int_0^{2\pi} e^{z \cos(\theta)} d\theta$ , therefore yielding we can take the *theoretical* Kirchhoff migration imaging function at the search point  $x^S$  to be

$$\mathcal{J}_{\text{KM}}^{(th)}(\omega, x^S) := I_0^2(i\omega |x^S - x_{\text{ref}}|).$$

In practice, we don't have access to  $x_{\text{ref}}$ , since we are precisely looking for this quantity ! Therefore, a possible rough ansatz would be to simply define

$$\mathcal{J}_{\text{KM}}^{(an)}(\omega, x^S) := \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{r,s} e^{i\omega(|x^S - x_s| + |x^S - x_r|)} \widehat{u}_{rs}(\omega).$$

### 3 Time-harmonic localization – partial aperture.

Let us give an *rough approximate* proof for the exact focal spot formula in the cross-range direction ( $x$ -direction) in the partial-aperture setting. First, we assume that we have a continuum of uniformly distributed transducers on  $[-R_0/2, R_0/2]$ . If the height  $L$  of the reflector  $x_{\text{ref}}$  (*i.e.*  $x_{\text{ref}} = (0, L)$ ) is high enough, so that we can use Hankel's function expansion as before and neglect the variations of the amplitude term, we have the approximation

$$\mathcal{J}_{RT}(\omega, (x^S, 0)) \simeq \omega^2 \sigma_{\text{ref}} \ell_{\text{ref}}^2 \cdot \left[ \int \mathbb{1}_{[-R_0/2, R_0/2]}(x_r) e^{i\omega(|x_{\text{ref}} - (x_r, 0)| - |x^S - x_r|)} dx_r \right]^2.$$

Under the regime where  $L \gg R_0$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |x_{\text{ref}} - (x_r, 0)| - |x^S - x_r| &\simeq \left\langle (x^S, 0) - x_{\text{ref}}, \frac{(x_r, 0) - x_{\text{ref}}}{|(x_r, 0) - x_{\text{ref}}|} \right\rangle \\ &= |(x^S, 0) - x_{\text{ref}}| \cdot \underbrace{\text{Angle} \left( (x^S, 0) - x_{\text{ref}}, (x_r, 0) - x_{\text{ref}} \right)}_{\simeq (x^S - x_r)/L}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, getting rid of the phase term, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{J}_{RT}(\omega, (x^S, 0)) &\simeq \omega^2 \sigma_{\text{ref}} \ell_{\text{ref}}^2 \cdot \left[ \int \mathbb{1}_{[-R_0/2, R_0/2]}(x_r) e^{-i\omega x_r \frac{|(x^S, 0) - x_r|}{L}} dx_r \right]^2 \\ &= (2\pi)^2 \omega^2 \sigma_{\text{ref}} \ell_{\text{ref}}^2 \cdot \left[ \widehat{\mathbb{1}}_{[-R_0/2, R_0/2]} \left( \frac{|(x^S, 0) - x_r|}{L} \right) \right]^2,\end{aligned}$$

and using the well-known fact that  $\widehat{\mathbb{1}}_{[-R_0/2, R_0/2]}(\xi) = (\pi R_0/2) \cdot \text{sinc}(\xi R_0/2)$ , we roughly find the mentioned result. Note that the oscillating behavior as  $|x^S| \rightarrow \infty$  is coherent with the phenomenon of *edge diffraction*.

#### 4 Time-dependent localization – partial aperture.

#### 5 Stability with respect to measurement noise.

We add measurement noises to our previous settings, that is, we consider that the recorded signals are of the form  $\widehat{u}_{rs}(\omega) + W_{rs}^{(1)}(\omega) + iW_{rs}^{(2)}(\omega)$ , where  $W_{rs}^{(1)}(\omega)$  and  $W_{rs}^{(2)}(\omega)$  are i.i.d. Gaussian random variables with mean zero and variance  $\sigma^2/2$ . Let us write  $\mathcal{J}_0(\omega, x^S)$  for the unperturbed imaging function (2.2), such that the Reverse-time imaging function at the search point  $x^S$  reads

$$\mathcal{J}_{RT}(\omega, x^S) = \mathcal{J}_0(\omega, x^S) + \mathcal{J}_{\text{noise}}(\omega, x^S),$$

where  $\mathcal{J}_{\text{noise}}(\omega, x^S)$  is the complex Gaussian random field generated by the  $W_{rs}^{(1/2)}$ 's.