

Automating Code Magnet Generation

Julia Dana

Contents

1	Introduction	4
1.1	The Overview: Easier Creation of Code Magnet Microlabs	4
1.2	The Context: What is WAGS?	4
1.3	The Problem: Brittle Input	4
1.4	The Solution: Parsing by Grammar	5
2	Development results and future extensions	6
2.1	What It Does: Internal Functions	6
2.1.1	Parses by Grammar	6
2.1.2	Alternative Magnets and Other Instructor Directives . . .	6
2.1.3	Improved Representation of Magnets	7
2.2	What It Does: External Functions	8
2.2.1	Command Line Tool	8
2.2.2	Automated Interaction With WAGS Website	8
2.3	What It Could Do: Future Extensions	8
2.3.1	Automatic Generation of Alternative/Distractor Magnets	8
2.3.2	GUI Tool	8
2.3.3	Supporting New Languages	8
2.3.4	Additional Serialization Formats	8
3	User Guide	8
3.1	Quick Start - CLI Tool	9
3.2	Installation for Use	9
3.3	Explanation of Output	9
3.3.1	Java	9
3.3.2	Python 3	9
3.4	Modifying the Output: Instructor Directives	10
3.4.1	ALT and ENDALT: Creating Alternative Magnets	10
3.4.2	NODROP: Suppressing Drop Zones	10
3.5	Automatic Upload to WAGS Website	10
4	Developer Notes	10
4.1	The Environment: Languages and Libraries Used	10
4.1.1	Installation for Development	11
4.2	The Testing	11
4.3	The Design	11
4.4	The Configuration: Specifying Magnet Sections	11
4.5	The Expansion: Adding a New Language	11
4.5.1	New Grammar Specification	11
	References	12

Todo list

Defense of the problem definition	4
Figure: Add figure of in progress magnet microlab	4
Figure: Parser example	4
Figure: magnet assignment creation page	5
list and cite parser generators	5
expand on ANTLR - LL(star)	6
Defense of solution	6
Clarify this entire section	6
magnetizer find a better word	6
Figure: Listing: Hello.java	6
Figure: Show a simple parse tree	6
ANTLR visitor vs. listener	6
Figure: Creating a magnet assignment with advanced magnets	7
link figure of class diagram	7
Figure: Class diagram of Magnets	7
Targeted to a CIS 1 instructor	8
Make sure usage is the latest version.	9
explain these in a way that doesn't require deep understanding of the ANTLR grammar.	9
Verify that there are no inline comments in python	10
Concrete example of ALT	10
Concrete example of NODROP	10
Targeted to someone expanding on this project	10
Section needs more details	10
Consider if this section should be on its own	11
Final export of bibliography from Mendeley and link it	12
Make sure citations and bibliography are styled correctly	12

1 Introduction


Defense of
the problem
definition

1.1 The Overview: Easier Creation of Code Magnet Microlabs

The purpose of this project is to assist in the creation of code magnet microlab assignments for WAGS by creating magnets from a completed solution file. This is accomplished in a manner that supports multiple programming languages, and allows additional languages to be added with minimal configuration. Additional tools that support this idea of easier creation of assignments are also included, such as an automated interaction with the WAGS website to create assignments. Also, this project defines new formats for representing magnets, both as objects, and serialized to JSON or YAML.


1.2 The Context: What is WAGS?

WAGS (Web Automated Grading System) is an ongoing project of Appalachian State University. It is an online tool for microlabs. Microlabs are short, 5-10 minutes hands-on activities that are intended to be done as a part of a regular (i.e. not lab) class session to reinforce the concepts that are currently being covered. There are multiple types of microlabs provided by WAGS, but the one that this project is interested in is code magnet microlabs. These are microlabs where the student is given code magnets (pieces of code). Then the student must choose the correct magnets to use and drag and drop them into the correct order to “write” a solution to the microlab.




1.3 The Problem: Brittle Input

The problem is that creating these magnet microlab assignments on the WAGS website is a somewhat painful process. The current parser creates a magnet per line, and this can result in having to format the input file so that is no longer the same as a solution file, and is indeed no longer valid for its language.



If your input cannot be handled by this brittle parser. WAGS does provide a manual input for magnets. However, using this manual input requires magnets to either be entered one at a time to the magnet creation wizard, or for the user to directly type the final magnet (including HTML escape sequences) to the parsed output section.



1.4 The Solution: Parsing by Grammar

The solution to this is to use a more robust parser that is based on the grammar of a language, rather than simply splitting on line breaks. This project does this by using the ANTLR4 parser generator[2] and freely available grammars for common languages[1].

Roughly speaking, using a grammar-based parser to break code into magnets is like breaking apart a paragraph based on sentences or phrases, rather than on every period (Which commonly indicates the end of a sentence, but also has other uses, such as abbreviations). This type of parser will create tokens from the input, which are loosely the equivalent of words, and then break them into (sometimes nested) phrases and sentences according to rules called productions. Rules are named, and will sometimes contain more than one production as alternatives that provide the same function in a language.

One of the outputs available from a parser is a parse tree. This is similar to a sentence diagram.

However, writing a robust parser is a non-trivial task. Today, most parsers are created by parser generators. These take the description of the language given in a grammar, and creates the parser for that language. There are many such parser generators, including . This project uses ANTLR4, which generates

list and cite
parser gener-
ators

parsers in Java.

expand on
ANTLR -
LL(star)

2 Development results and future extensions

Defense of
solution

2.1 What It Does: Internal Functions

2.1.1 Parses by Grammar

The magnetizer takes an input file, and parses it with the parser generated by ANTLR from the grammar specification. The result of this parsing is a parse tree, which can be represented graphically. An example follows.


Clarify this
entire sec-
tion

For this simple Java file, Hello.java

magnetizer
find a better
word



The resulting parse tree is:



Each language supported by the magnetizer has an entry in a configuration file that specifies which nodes in the parse tree should trigger the creation of a magnet. Whenever a child node triggers its own magnet, the parent is given a drop zone for that section, and that section of text is not directly included in the parent magnet.

ANTLR vis-
itor vs. lis-
tener


2.1.2 Alternative Magnets and Other Instructor Directives

Instructor directives allow instructors to control how magnets are created. They are implemented as special comments. The content of directives are the same

across all languages, but the triggering comment syntax is specific to each input language. Current directives implemented all instructors to suppress drop zones, or to indicate that a duplicate magnet with a section of alternate text should be created.


2.1.3 Improved Representation of Magnets

The old format for describing magnets is difficult to read and worse to try to manually type. Some of its quirks are that magnets are separated by an unusual separator (`.:|:.:`), areas that accept other magnets (aka drop zones) are indicated by a seemingly random set of HTML tags (`
<!-- panel -->
`), and any special characters used in the code magnet must be escaped for HTML (so something like `1 < 2` would need to be entered as `1 < 2`). This format also is limited when trying to create more advanced types of magnets. The WAGS system addresses this problem by adding more form fields when adding “advanced Java magnets”, but this does not work well for adding additional languages.



This project creates an underlying object based data structure for magnets, as shown in figure . This structure can then be serialized as desired. Currently, serialization to the old magnet format is supported for backward compatibility, as well as JSON and YAML for more robust usages.

link figure
of class dia-
gram



2.2 What It Does: External Functions

2.2.1 Command Line Tool

This project provides a command line tool, which is a thin wrapper exposing the internal API functions to the command line.

2.2.2 Automated Interaction With WAGS Website

2.3 What It Could Do: Future Extensions

2.3.1 Automatic Generation of Alternative/Distractor Magnets

The next step in easily creating code magnet assignments is to have the magnet creation tool not simply create magnets needed for the correct solution and any additional magnets specified by the instructor, but also to automatically create appropriate alternative “distractor” magnets for common student misconceptions and errors. This level of manipulation is available because we have the whole parse tree to work with during magnet creation, but would require significant work per language to define.

2.3.2 GUI Tool

A GUI tool that can open an input file, perform the actions of the CLI tool on it, and also has an editor to assist in the addition of any instructor directives desired would be a nice addition to this project.

2.3.3 Supporting New Languages

This project currently can create magnets for Python3 and Java, however it is set up to easily allow the addition of new languages. Basically, this is done by finding and adding an ANTLR4 grammar file for the desired language, making sure it conforms to a handful of guidelines, writing a short configuration file, and running a setup action on the project. Full details of this process are in section 4.5 [The Expansion: Adding a New Language](#).

2.3.4 Additional Serialization Formats

Because magnets are now objects, additional serialization formats (such as XML) could easily be added.

3 User Guide

Targeted
to a CIS 1
instructor

3.1 Quick Start - CLI Tool

The primary interface to this project is the magnetizer command line tool. This tool is capable of outputting to WAGS-style magnets that can be copy-pasted into the parsed sections of the WAGS website or to a JSON or YAML representation of the magnets.

```
Usage: magnetizer [options] file
      -l, --language LANGUAGE      Specify a language (default: Java)
      --json                        Print the JSON output
      --yaml                        Print the YAML output
      --[no-]wags                  Print the output as WAGS magnets
(default)
      -o, --output-file BASE_FILENAME Output to a file
      -h, --help                    Show this message
```

Make sure usage is the latest version.

3.2 Installation for Use

3.3 Explanation of Output

3.3.1 Java

The current configuration for Java creates magnets for

- Package declarations
- Import declarations
- Type declarations
- Class body declarations - this is the nonterminal that includes anything that can be directly in the class body
- Block statements - this is the nonterminal that includes anything that can be directly inside a block.

explain these in a way that doesn't require deep understanding of the ANTLR grammar.

3.3.2 Python 3

The current configuration for Python creates magnets for

- simple statements
- compound statements

3.4 Modifying the Output: Instructor Directives

Instructor directives are information that can be put in the input file that change how the magnets are created. They are a special version of comments. The triggering syntax varies per language, but the directives are the same for all languages.

Java `/*# <directive> */`

Python3 `## <directive>`

Note that directives in python are somewhat limited because comments cannot occur in a line.

Verify that there are no inline comments in python

3.4.1 ALT and ENDALT: Creating Alternative Magnets

The ALT and ENDALT directives are used to create alternatives with different text for a magnet. The ALT directive also takes the desired alternative text, and the ENDALT directive is used to indicate where the end of the alternative text is. These directives should always be used as a pair, and encompass the text that should be replaced. They cannot go around anything that would trigger the creation of a new magnet or drop zone.

Concrete example of ALT

3.4.2 NODROP: Suppressing Drop Zones

The NODROP directive suppresses the creation of drop zones for the following magnet. An example of when this would be used is to put an entire loop on a single magnet, rather than having the individual statements in the body be on their own magnets.

Concrete example of NODROP

3.5 Automatic Upload to WAGS Website

4 Developer Notes

Targeted to someone expanding on this project

4.1 The Environment: Languages and Libraries Used

This project is written to run on JRuby. The magnetizer itself is written in Ruby, but it uses the Java classes provided by ANTLR. JRuby allows this to occur.

Section needs more details

Automated interaction with the WAGS site is provided by using Capybara to interact with Selenium (drives Firefox) or Poltergeist/PhantomJS (headless).

Rake (Ruby make) is used to process new grammar files and other build tool functionality.

RSpec is used to handle testing

4.1.1 Installation for Development

4.2 The Testing

4.3 The Design

4.4 The Configuration: Specifying Magnet Sections

4.5 The Expansion: Adding a New Language

4.5.1 New Grammar Specification

A new G4 file can be added to the system. However, whitespace is require to go on channel 1, or your magnets will not have any whitespace, and you might get things like “publicclassMyClass”.

Consider if
this section
should be on
its own

References

- [1] antlr/grammars-v4.
- [2] Terence Parr. *The Definitive ANTLR 4 Reference*. The Pragmatic Programmers, 2012.

Final export
of bibliog-
raphy from
Mendeley
and link it

Make sure
citations and
bibliography
are styled
correctly