

ALGORITHM ANALYSIS

CSE/IT 122 ~ Algorithms and Data Structures

COUNTING THREE FOR LOOPS

```
for i = 1 to n
  for j = 1 to i
    for k = 1 to j
      x = i * j * k
```

COUNTING THREE FOR LOOPS

→ Can apply the string approach

i	1	2			3					
j	1	1	2		1	2		3		
k	1	1	1	2	1	1	2	1	2	3

→ Have ordered triplets

- $(1,1,1), (1,1,2), (1,2,2), (2,2,2), (1,1,3), (1,2,3), (2,2,3), (1,3,3), (2,3,3), (3,3,3)$

COUNTING THREE FOUR LOOPS

→ Apply what we know about r-combinations to the strings

$$\binom{3+3-1}{3} = \frac{(5)!}{3!(5-3)!} = \frac{5 \cdot 4 \cdot 3!}{3!2!} = \frac{5 \cdot 4!}{2!} = 10$$

COUNTING THREE FOR LOOPS

→ Use the table approach

i	j	k	body
1	2	2	1
2	3	$2 + 3$	$1 + 2 = 3$
3	4	$2 + 3 + 4$	$1 + 2 + 3 = 6$

COUNTING THREE FOR LOOPS

- Generalize what we learned from the table and r -combination formula
- In general, for three for loops the body executes:

$$\begin{aligned}\binom{r+n-1}{r} &= \binom{3+n-1}{3} = \binom{n+2}{3} = \frac{(n+2)!}{3!(n+2-3)!} \\ &= \frac{(n+2)!}{3!(n-1)!} = \frac{(n+2)(n+1)n(n+1)!}{6(n-1)!} = \frac{(n+2)(n+1)n}{6}\end{aligned}$$

COUNTING THREE FOR LOOPS

→ We get the same answer if we expand our table approach

i	j	k	body
1	2	2	1
2	3	2 + 3	1 + 2
3	4	2 + 3 + 4	1 + 2 + 3
...
n	n + 1	2 + 3 + ... + (n + 1)	1 + 2 + ... + n

COUNTING THREE FOR LOOPS

- The i -loop executes n times
- The j -loop executes:
 - $2 + 3 + \cdots + (n + 1) = \frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2} - 1 = \frac{n(n+3)}{2}$
- The k -loop executes:
 - $2 + 5 + 9 + \cdots + (2 + 3 + \cdots + (n + 1))$
 - Or writing as a sum:

$$\sum_{i=2}^{n+1} \frac{i(i+1)}{2} - 1$$

COUNTING THREE FOR LOOPS

- Change index to k and use $k = i - 1$, $k + 1 = i$
- When $i = 2$, $k = 1$, and when $i = n + 1$, $k = n$
- Thus you have:

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2} - 1$$

- Simplify and you get:

$$= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{k^2 + 3k + 2 - 2}{2} = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{k(k+3)}{2} = \frac{n(n+1)(n+5)}{6}$$

COUNTING THREE FOR LOOPS

→ And the body of the k-loop executes:

- $1+3+\dots+(1+2+3+\dots+n)$ times.
- Writing as a sum:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{i(i+1)}{2} = \frac{n(n+1)(n+2)}{6}$$

→ Which is the same as our derived r-combination formula!

→ Note: Used Wolfram Alpha to get the formula

IF-THEN-ELSE

- Worst case running time: the test, plus either the then part or the else part
 - Use whichever is LARGER

BACK TO SUMMING THOSE INTEGERS

```
long sum_forloop(long n)
{
    long i = 1; //cost $c_1$; one time
    long sum = 0.0; //cost $c_2$; one time

    // for each iteration f loop body executes once
    // loop test adds one more time
    for( ; i <= n; i++) //cost $c_3$; $n + 1$ times
        sum += i; //cost $c_4$; $n$ times

    return sum; //cost $c_5$; one time

    // $T(n) = c_1 + c_2 + c_3(n + 1) + c_4 n + c_5 = kn + d$
    // where k and d constants
}
```

BACK TO SUMMING THOSE INTEGERS

- Summing the counts, the function's run time is
 - $T(n) = n + 3$ which is approximately n for large values of n
- The running time is proportional to n
- In other words for input size of 1000, it would take 1000 instructions
- Can we do better?

BACK TO SUMMING THOSE INTEGERS

→ Duh, of course we can!

```
long sum(long sum)
{
    return (n * (n + 1))/2 ; // cost $c_1$ ; one time
}
```

→ Running time of this is $T(n) = C_1$ for all n

- Constant running time

BACK TO SUMMING THOSE INTEGERS

