

ALGORITHM ANALYSIS ~ 01

CSE/IT 122 ~ Algorithms & Data Structures

WHAT IS AN ALGORITHM?

WHAT IS AN ALGORITHM?

→ Definition: An algorithm is a definite procedure for solving a problem using a *finite* number of steps

SUM THE FIRST N INTEGERS

As a C function, we might write:

```
unsigned long long sum_forloop(unsigned long n){  
    unsigned long i = 1;  
    unsigned long long sum = 0.0;  
  
    for( ; 1 <=n; i++)  
        sum += i;  
    return sum;  
}
```

SUM THE FIRST N INTEGERS

As **pseudo-code**, we might write:

```
Sum(n)
```

```
  i := 1
```

```
  sum := 0
```

```
  for i := 1 to n
```

```
    sum := sum + 1
```

```
  next i
```

```
  return sum
```

THE RUNNING TIME OF A PROGRAM

- Running Time, denoted by $T(n)$, where n is the input size
- $T(n)$ is the number of instructions executed on an idealized computer
- No units for $T(n)$

ALGORITHM ANALYSIS

→ How many instructions would our initial code execute before completing?

```
unsigned long long sum_forloop(unsigned long n){  
    unsigned long i = 1;  
    unsigned long long sum = 0.0;  
  
    for( ; 1 <=n; i++)  
        sum += i;  
    return sum;  
}
```

ALGORITHM ANALYSIS

- Can we do better than $T(n) = n$ to sum up the first n integers?
- Is there, perhaps a very simply way to arrive at that answer?
- A formula???

SUM THE FIRST N INTEGERS ~ TRY 2

Of course we can do better (We are computer scientists!)

```
Long sum(long sum){  
    Return (n * (n + 1)) / 2; // cost $c_1$; one time  
}
```

SQUARE ROOT ~ BRUTE FORCE

→ Give me a brute force solution to finding a square root

SQUARE ROOT ~ BRUTE FORCE

- Give me a brute force solution to finding a square root
- An upper bound is $(n/2 \times \text{step})$ times
- Why?
- Is $x^{0.5} < x/2$?
- Yes. Show:
 - Since $x^2 - 4x = x(x - 4) > 0$ when $x > 4$
 - Solve $x^2 > 4x$. Take square roots of both sides
 - $x > \text{sqrt}(4x)$
 - $x/2 > \text{sqrt}(x)$

SQUARE ROOT ~ BINARY SEARCH

→ Find the square root using a binary search

- **Algorithm:**

- Start with $start = 0$, $end = x$
- Do the following while $start$ is smaller than or equal to end
 - Compute mid as $(start + end) / 2$
 - Compare $mid * mid$ with x
 - If x is equal to $mid * mid$, return mid
 - If x is greater, do binary search between $mid + 1$ and end
 - If x is smaller, do binary search between $start$ and mid

→ Much better performance than brute force

→ Can we do better?

SQUARE ROOT ~ NEWTON'S METHOD

- Let $P(x)$ be a polynomial of degree n
- A **root** is a solution to $P(x) = 0$
- Apply this to square roots:
 - $x^2 - 99 = 0$ is a polynomial
 - $x^2 = 99$
 - $x = \text{sqrt}(99)$
 - Solving for x gives you the square root of 99

SQUARE ROOT ~ NEWTON'S METHOD

- Assume you know x_0 is close to the solution of the equation $f(x) = 0$, where $f(x)$ is a differentiable equation
- Then the tangent line F to the graph of f at the point with x-coordinate x_0 will ordinarily intersect the x-axis at a point whose x-coordinate, x_1 is closer to the solution than x_0

SQUARE ROOT ~ NEWTON'S METHOD

→ Using the point-slope equation of the tangent line F , we can write:

- $y - f(x_0) = f'(x_0)(x - x_0)$
- Using the point $(x_0, f(x_0))$ and the slope of the line is the derivative

→ If the tangent line F intersects the x -axis at $(x_1, 0)$ then:

- $0 - f(x_0) = f'(x_0)(x_1 - x_0)$
- If $f'(x)$ does not equal 0,
- $(x_1 - x_0) = -f(x_0)/f'(x_0)$ and
- $x_1 = x_0 - f(x_0)/f'(x_0)$

→ Then simply repeat this process to get closer to x_1

SQUARE ROOT ~ NEWTON'S METHOD

- As you repeat, you produce a sequence of numbers
 - $x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots$
 - determined by the formula $x_{n+1} = x_n - f(x_n)/f'(x_n)$
- For any k , finding the root of $x^2 - k = 0$ will find the $\text{sqrt}(k)$
- What is the derivative?
 - $2x$
- Thus, our formula is:
 - $x_{n+1} = x_n - (x_n * x_n - k) / (2 * x_n)$

PROVING THE SUM OF THE FIRST N POSITIVE INTEGERS

→ Why does the sum of the first n positive integers equal $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$?

PROVING THE SUM OF THE FIRST N POSITIVE INTEGERS

Example:

→ $1+2+3+4+5+6+7+8+9+10$

- Notice the following:

- $1 + 10 = 11$

- $2 + 9 = 11$

- $3 + 8 = 11$

- $4 + 7 = 11$

- $5 + 6 = 11$

→ This happens 5 times so $5 * 11 = 55$

→ Same as the formula $10(10 + 1) / 2$

→ This is not a *proof* for all n . Only shows it for the case $n = 10$