

I) Answers to T/F (3 point each): **True answers are marked "T", False are left unmarked, 5pts for T/F on left.**

- 1) The number of HLLs' compilers/interpreters decides the number of different machine languages in your computer.
- 2) The execution of *micro* level instructions invokes *Assembly machine code* level routine from the computer RAM.
- 3) The lower the level of a programming language, the more it abstracts the machine hardware details to its users.
- 4) The Pascal *by-reference* & *by-value* are more *powerful* and *secure* than that of Algol *by-name*.
- 5) The "stack model of computation" forces *static* binding of variables' names to their memory locations (elaboration).
- 6) The compiler uses the names' synthesized and inherited types in its *lexical-analysis* phase.
- 7) The addition of enumerated types to Pascal made it more secure than both FORTRAN & Algol. **T**
- 8) The "type" section in Pascal (similar to the "typedef" in C) binds names to their types and allocates their appropriate memory spaces.
- 9) Some of the dynamic *type* checking might still be resolved at compile time.
- 10) Generally speaking, compiled HLLs execute much slower than interpreted HLLs.
- 11) The *symbol table* is used in the compiler's code generation phase. **T**
- 12) In Algol and Pascal, binding of names to their memory locations is done at compile time.
- 13) In Algol, the interpreting environment of a "local" name is strictly a "complex" set of nested scopes.
- 14) In scoped languages, the CPU will access any non-local names, simply by following the contour diagram rules.
- 15) In early FORTRAN, there was no "recursion" facility in the language, for efficiency. **T**
- 16) *Operator overloading* in Pascal is a form of secure *polymorphic* power. **T**
- 17) Programming at the system *micro* level is a tradeoff between execution speed (*efficiency*) and security. **T**  
At the micro-level languages, there is no security protection as in higher level languages.
- 18) FORTRAN introduced very early *true* built-in abstract data types (ADTs). **T**
- 19) Early FORTRAN is an example of sacrificing efficiency for power and security.
- 20) All *security loopholes* in Algol are typing system related.
- 21) An HLL's *translation* may map that HLL's code into machine code. **T**  
It may map to machine code, but not to micro code, what about if the machine is hardwired?
- 22) Definitely, there is no way we can write a secure program code in C or FORTRAN, they are insecure HLL's.
- 23) *Dynamically* scoped HLLs are less *powerful* than *statically* scoped HLLs.
- 24) Aside from pass *by-name*, Algol provides a more *secure* programming environment than Pascal, C, and FORTRAN.  
Still the lack of enumerated types will force "overworking" of integer with other types!
- 25) A powerful HLL provides the programmer with *secure* programming environment.
- 26) "Missing Parentheses" is an error message to be generated by the compiler's lexical analyzer. **T**  
The compiler Lexical analyzer is concerned with the syntax issue of matching parentheses!
- 27) Pascal "pointers" are more secure than the notion of integer "addresses" in C. **T**
- 28) Algol was the first HLL to attempt a very naïve mechanism for passing functions/procedures as parameters. **T**
- 29) At compile time, the CPU will always find and access memory locations of all names declared in the program.
- 30) In general, a HLL compiler is able to decide if a variable is *initialized* or not.
- 31) FORTRAN utilize stack model of computation as in Pascal.
- 32) In a dynamically scoped HLL program's *contour diagram*, a box is drawn for every declared module (function/procedure/block), yet it defines the scope of visibility of any locally declared names.
- 33) Algol and Pascal are more *secure* and *powerful* than FORTRAN. **T**
- 34) In an AR, the DL and SL might be the same, only when the definer and the caller of the *callee* are the same. **T**
- 35) All names of all defined procedures and functions are automatically "local" names in their defining modules. **T**
- 36) In stack model of computation, the activation record of any *callee* procedure/function will be *popped* out of the run-time stack. **T**
- 37) The environment of any program construct (e.g., statement/expression) is the set of all declared scopes in the program.
- 38) In statically scoped HLLs, not every *declared* name is *accessible*. **T**
- 39) In general, HLL power contradicts run-time execution efficiency and sometimes code readability. **T**

II) The following 35 questions are multiple choices; select (circle) the **BEST** answer (5 pts each):

1) We study HLLs mainly in order to:

- a) speed up code execution      b) find the cost when purchasing their compilers/interpreters.
- c) improve existing HLLs and/or design future new HLL
- d) c & have the best language choice to solve a problem**
- e) d & make them powerful      f) none of the above

2) The following factors make for a “good” HLL, regardless of the environment of its usage:

- a) compiled or interpreted translation      b) how easy and direct to program the hardware components
- c) b & how expensive is its translator      d) a and c above      **e) none of the above**

3) The following language mechanisms will add power to their hosting (providing) HLL:

- a) recursion, dynamic scoping, and pass by-name
- b) a & dynamic arrays      c) b & dynamic type checking      d) a & code reusability
- e) c & functions as first class      **f) e & code sharing (inheritance)**      g) f & pass by-value

4) These HLL mechanisms are a tradeoff between security (gain) and execution efficiency (loss):

- a) Algol’s *by-name* parameter passing mechanism      b) name *aliasing* (*by-ref* and *global* names)
- c) a & b      **d) dynamic type checking**      f) none of the above

5) All data types are all inherently true abstract data types (ADTs) in the following HLL category/domains:

- a) block-structured      b) Hybrid of functional and imperative      c) functional      d) logic
- e) pure Object Oriented**      f) c & d      g) a, b, & d      h) none of the above

6) The most abstract HLL paradigm is the pure:

- a) imperative      b) block-structured      c) a and b      d) object oriented      e) d and functional
- h) none of the above**

7) The following Pascal program compilation’s phases are arranged in the right order:

- a) ”syntactic-analyzer”→”scanner”→”semantics-analyzer”→”optimization”→”code-generation”
- b) scanner→” optimization”→” semantics -analyzer”→” syntactic –analyzer” →”code-generation”
- c) scanner→”syntactic-analyzer”→”optimization”→”code-generation”→”semantics-analyzer”
- d) scanner→”syntactic-analyzer”→”semantics-analyzer”→ “code-generation” →” optimization”
- e) none of the above**

8) Early FORTRAN is an example of a HLL that is very:

- a) platform independent      b) secure      **c) efficient**      d) abstract      e) general purpose
- f) none of the above

9) In addition to overworking the *integer* type with *label* type, the following caused a potential security loophole in FORTRAN:

- a) operator overloading      b) implicit name declaration      c) global name declaration
- d) pass *by-value*-result      e) syntax similarity of totally different semantics constructs      **f) b & e**
- g) none of the above

10) Some efficient feature(s) of the COMMON and EQUIVALENCE mechanisms in FORTRAN is(are):

- a) security of name access      b) implicit typing      c) alleviating the lack of global name access
- d) sharing memory      **e) c and d**      f) a and e      g) none of the above

- 11) When procedure *Q* calls procedure *P*, and just before the execution of *P*'s code starts, the activation record (AR) of *P* will contain the following:
- a) a pointer to the AR of the caller of *Q*
  - b) *P*'s static nesting level
  - c) a pointer to *P*'s AR
  - d) the actual return address into the code of *P*
  - e) a pointer to *Q*'s AR
  - f) none of the above
- 12) In Pascal, if *X* is a name encountered in procedure *Q* the compiler will look it up first in the:
- a) environment of definer of *Q*
  - b) environment of caller of *Q*
  - c) AR of the main-program
  - d) the locally declared names in *Q*
  - e) actual parameter of the *Q* call statement
  - f) none of the above
- 13) Some of the major feature(s) that Algol and early FORTRAN have shared is(are):
- a) recursion, dynamic arrays, pass by-name, blocks, and free-format, stack model of computation
  - b) global variable declarations, nesting of scopes, and compound statements
  - c) powerful structuring constructs (e.g., the *for*, *switch*, and *if* statements)
  - d) all of the above
  - e) d & the *contour diagram*
  - f) d & dynamic and static scoping
  - h) none of the above
- 14) The aliasing of more than one name into the same memory location is a side effect of the following language feature(s):
- a) COMMON and EQUIVALENCE in FORTRAN
  - b) Algol pass *by-name*
  - c) pass *by-reference* and *global* name visibility
  - d) "union" structures in *C* (Pascal's *variant* records)
  - e) d & dynamic arrays
  - f) a, c, & d
  - g) f & operator overloading

**III Short Answers Questions are directly from the Lectures' notes, textbook, HW's, and Quizzes.**