Design Foundation Document Rubric

Team Name:		
ream name.		

		Cycle 1	Cycle 2
1	Relevance and completeness of Design Context Review discussed	/100	
2	Quality of Market Analysis	/100	
3	Quality of Customer Needs	/100	
4	Quality of Design Specifications	/100	
5	Quality of references cited	/40	
6	Quality of document structure and organization	/30	
7	Quality of figures, tables and captions	/30	
8	Response to comments/ previous grading		*
	TOTAL:	/500	

^{*} can earn up to 75% of previously lost points

Grading elements in Design Foundations Document

	Excellent (max pts)	Average (mid pts)	Poor (lowest pts)
	Team provides sufficient	Background may	Background does not sufficiently
Relevance and	background to set up and explain	occasionally drift off-topic.	describe the project's rationale or
	the problem statement. Relevance	Reader may occasionally	motivation. Discussion may
completeness	of all background discussed to	question why a topic is	ramble off-topic or focus too
	problem statement is immediately	being discussed or have	much on one area at the

- (D '	apparent Peader never questions	guestions about material	expense of another Boader has
of Design	apparent. Reader never questions why a particular subject is being	questions about material that was not addressed	expense of another. Reader has significant questions about
Context Review	discussed or wonders why a subject	Problem statement may	team's knowledge and
	wasn't discussed. Problem	not be worded effectively.	preparation. Problem statement
	statement is made precisely and		is incomplete and fails to provide
	concisely.		motivation for the team's project.
	Market Analysis identifies several	Market Analysis does not	Market analysis neglects some
	possible market segments, and the	explore fully potential	key segments and competitive
	rationale for their size is solid and	market segments. Size is	products. Rationale behind
Quality of	supported by data. A complete	estimated, but rationale is	market size, willingness to pay
1	survey of competitive products is	weak. Willingness to pay	and/or final selection has
Market Analysis	presented. Customer's willingness to	and final selection of	significant flaws.
	pay, and final selection of target	target segments is not fully	
	segment is supported by solid	supported.	
	reasoning, literature and/or data.		
	Research and collection of raw data	Team did not take	Significant lack of understanding
	from customers shows significant	advantage of all	of key customer needs. Poor
	effort, and broad understanding of customer needs. Customer needs	opportunities to collect raw data from customers.	structure of customer need
Quality of	are interpreted into clear,	There may be some lack	statements. Organization and prioritization have significant
Customer	well-structured statements. The	understanding of the	flaws.
	organization of these needs and	customer's needs.	nawe.
Needs	their priority are clearly and logically	Organization and	
	explained.	prioritization is not fully	
		explained or well	
		supported.	
	All customer needs are mapped to	Some customer needs are	Many customer needs are not
Quality of	clear, measurable metrics, or	not supported by strong	supported by strong metrics.
Design	rationale is given. Metrics are within	metrics. Metrics may seem	Metrics and/or values are
1	the ability of the team to measure.	difficult to measure, or	unreasonable.
Specifications	Values (both Ideal and marginal)	values seem too difficult to	
	seem logical and attainable. Literature cited demonstrates	achieve. Literature cited is	Literature sited pegleets key
	extensive research in all aspects of	incomplete. Team may	Literature cited neglects key aspects of project OR comprises
	team's project area. Sources are	have neglected some	mainly "soft" sources OR
Quality of	peer-reviewed and credible.	aspects of its project area	citations are absent (no
Quality of	Citations appear appropriately in	or consulted inappropriate	references cited in text).
references cited	text.	or "soft" sources. OR	,
		citation method may be	
		inadequate, with sources	
		not cited when needed.	
	Background is problem-focused with	Background generally	Problem statement is not stated
Quality of	problem statement appearing at the	stays on topic, but may	at the end of the document OR
1	end of the document. Transitions	meander or lack flow.	background is disjointed and
document	between topics occur logically. Team leads with assertions and	Transitions and other cues to guide reader may be	team-centered rather than problem-focused. Transitions
structure and	provides clear forecasting sentences	lacking; key points occur	and other cues to guide reader
organization	or subheads to guide reader through	at the end rather than the	are absent. Document may be
Organization	the document. Grammar/spelling is	beginning of paragraphs.	incomplete, sloppily organized,
	not distracting.		or poorly written.
	Figures are included to explain	Figures are used but may	Figure usage is ineffective.
Quality of	content or enhance points made in	not be explained well or	Figures do not enhance the
figures, tables	text. Figures are numbered and	are not appropriate to	points made in the text. Figures
	referenced in text, and figure content	content. Some figures may	may be difficult to understand.
and captions	is appropriately labeled. Captions	be poorly labeled or	Captions are not used effectively
I	explain the figures thoroughly.	difficult to interpret. Figure	or are absent.

	captions may not be	
	complete.	