#### Lecture 6

Prediction

Vicki Hertzberg

15 February 2017

Vicki Hertzberg Lecture 6 15 February 2017 1 / 48

- Prediction
- 2 Metrics
- 3 Practicalities
- Prediction

Vicki Hertzberg Lecture 6 15 February 2017 2 / 48

# **Prediction**

Vicki Hertzberg Lecture 6 15 February 2017 3 / 48

Prediction Models

#### Models

Vicki Hertzberg Lecture 6 15 February 2017 4 / 48

Many variables have distributions that are consistent with an exponential family.

This is the form  $f(y|\theta) = exp(\eta(\theta)T(y) - A(\theta) + B(y))$ .

Examples are

/icki Hertzberg Lecture 6 15 February 2017 5 / 48

Many variables have distributions that are consistent with an exponential family.

This is the form  $f(y|\theta) = exp(\eta(\theta)T(y) - A(\theta) + B(y))$ .

Examples are

- ① Normal:  $f(y|\mu, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-\frac{(y-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$ ② Bernoulli:  $f(y|\pi) = y^{\pi}(1-y)^{1-\pi}$

Many variables have distributions that are consistent with an exponential family.

This is the form  $f(y|\theta) = exp(\eta(\theta)T(y) - A(\theta) + B(y))$ .

Examples are

Normal: 
$$f(y|\mu, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-\frac{(y-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$
Bernoulli:  $f(y|\pi) = y^{\pi}(1-y)^{1-\pi}$ 
Exponential:  $f(y|\lambda) = \lambda e^{\lambda y}$  for  $y \ge 0$ .

② Bernoulli: 
$$f(y|\pi) = y^{\pi} (1-y)^{1-\pi}$$

**Solution** Exponential: 
$$f(y|\lambda) = \lambda e^{\lambda y}$$
 for  $y \ge 0$ .

15 February 2017 5 / 48

Note that for these distributions we have the following:

• Normal: 
$$E(Y) = \mu$$

Note that for these distributions we have the following:

- Normal:  $E(Y) = \mu$
- **2** Bernooli:  $E(Y) = \pi$

Note that for these distributions we have the following:

• Normal:  $E(Y) = \mu$ 

② Bernooli:  $E(Y) = \pi$ ③ Exponential:  $E(Y) = \lambda^{-1}$ 

For the exponential family we can say  $E(Y) = A(\theta)$ 

Consider the concept of simple linear regression, which we can write as  $E(Y) = X\beta$ 

If we extend the concept of regression to this, we can create a generalized linear model, writing  $E(Y) = A(X\beta)$ .

 Vicki Hertzberg
 Lecture 6
 15 February 2017
 7 / 48

Prediction

Modele

# **Generalized Linear Model**

The generalized linear model consists of three parts:

A probability function from the exponential family

Vicki Hertzberg Lecture 6 15 February 2017 8 / 48

The generalized linear model consists of three parts:

- A probability function from the exponential family
- **2** A linear predictor,  $\eta = X\beta$

Vicki Hertzberg Lecture 6 15 February 2017 8 / 48

The generalized linear model consists of three parts:

- A probability function from the exponential family
- **②** A linear predictor,  $\eta = \mathbf{X}\beta$
- **1** A link function,  $g(\cdot)$ , such that  $E(y) = g^{-1}(X\beta)$  (or  $g(E(y)) = X\beta$ )

 Vicki Hertzberg
 Lecture 6
 15 February 2017
 8 / 48

Prediction

Modele

#### **Generalized Linear Model**

For the simple linear regression case we have:

The probability function is a normal distribution

Vicki Hertzberg Lecture 6 15 February 2017 9 / 48

For the simple linear regression case we have:

- The probability function is a normal distribution
- **2** The link function is  $g(E(y)) = X\beta$

Vicki Hertzberg Lecture 6 15 February 2017 9 / 48

Prediction

Modele

# **Generalized Linear Model**

For logistic regression we have:

• The probability function is a Bernoulli distribution

Vicki Hertzberg Lecture 6 15 February 2017 10 / 48

For logistic regression we have:

- 1 The probability function is a Bernoulli distribution 
  2 The link function is  $g(E(y)) = \frac{e^{X\beta}}{1 + e^{X\beta}}$

15 February 2017 10 / 48

Prediction

Modele

#### **Generalized Linear Model**

For time to event we have

• The probability function is an exponential distribution

Vicki Hertzberg Lecture 6 15 February 2017 11 / 48

#### For time to event we have

- ① The probability function is an exponential distribution ② The link function is  $g(E(y)) = (X\beta)^{-1}$

Vicki Hertzberg Lecture 6 15 February 2017 11 / 48

Prediction Explaining v Predicting

# **Explaining v Predicting**

Vicki Hertzberg Lecture 6 15 February 2017 12 / 48

# What do we mean by Explaining?

We postulate the  $\mathcal{X}$  causes  $\mathcal{Y}$  via a function  $\mathcal{F}$ . We operationalize this as a statistical model,  $E(y) = f(\mathbf{X})$ , where  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ 

Usually as scientists we want to tease out causal relationships.

In an explanatory model, we want to determine how a set of independent variables, (X), relates to a dependent outcome, Y.

Goal: to have adequate power and minimal bias in order to match f to  $\mathcal F$  as closely as possible.

Vicki Hertzberg Lecture 6 15 February 2017 13 / 48

# What do we mean by Predicting?

$$E(y) = f(X), f \in \mathcal{F}$$

Statisticians are poor at prediction, in general.

In a predictive model, we want to determine how well the relationships between a set of independent variables, (X), and a dependent outcome, Y, can be used to predict a new or future observation.

Goal: to use f to generate new Y values.

Vicki Hertzberg Lecture 6 15 February 2017 14 / 48

Prediction

Explaining v Predicting

# What difference does it make?

#### Explaining:

lacktriangledown f represents the causal relationship between  $oldsymbol{X}$  and Y

Vicki Hertzberg Lecture 6 15 February 2017 15 / 48

Prediction

Explaining v Predicting

# What difference does it make?

#### Explaining:

- lacksquare f represents the causal relationship between  $oldsymbol{\mathit{X}}$  and Y

Vicki Hertzberg Lecture 6 15 February 2017 15 / 48

#### Explaining:

- $oldsymbol{0}$  f represents the causal relationship between  $oldsymbol{X}$  and Y
- $\bigcirc$  f is estimated to test a causal hypothesis
- Modeling is retrospective, always dealing with data that have been collected, and f is used to test a pre-existing hypothesis or set of hypotheses

Vicki Hertzberg Lecture 6 15 February 2017 15 / 48

#### Predicting

lacktriangledown f captures the association between  $oldsymbol{X}$  and Y

Vicki Hertzberg Lecture 6 15 February 2017 16 / 48

#### Predicting

- lacktriangledown f captures the association between  $oldsymbol{X}$  and Y

Vicki Hertzberg Lecture 6 15 February 2017 16 / 48

#### Predicting

- lacktriangledown f captures the association between  $oldsymbol{X}$  and Y
- f is constructed from the data and direct interpretability of the relations between X and Y is not necessary or required.
- $\odot$  forward-looking, with f being used to predict a new observation Y

Vicki Hertzberg Lecture 6 15 February 2017 16 / 48

Finally, consider the xpected predicted error for a new observation at value x with a quadratic loss function:

$$EPE = E(Y - \hat{f}(x))^2 \tag{1}$$

$$= E(Y - f(x))^{2} - [E(\hat{f}(x)) - f(x)]^{2} + E(\hat{f}(x) - E(\hat{f}(x)))^{2}$$
 (2)

$$= Var(Y) + Bias^2 + Var(\hat{f}(x))$$
 (3)

Bias results when you mis-specify f. Estimation variance (3rd term) results from using a sample to estimate f. The first term is error that results even if the model is correctly specified and accurately estimated.

 Vicki Hertzberg
 Lecture 6
 15 February 2017
 17 / 48

Explaining: focus is on minimizing bias

Prediction: focus is on minimizing the combination of bias and estimate variance.

Thus sometimes the "wrong" model can predict better than the correct one.

Vicki Hertzberg Lecture 6 15 February 2017 18 / 48

# Metrics

Vicki Hertzberg Lecture 6 15 February 2017 19 / 48

# Metrics for explanatory models

Focus: estimated strength of relationship



 Vicki Hertzberg
 Lecture 6
 15 February 2017
 20 / 48

# Metrics for explanatory models

Focus: estimated strength of relationship

- $\mathbf{0}$   $R^2$
- Statistical significance with overall F-type statistics

 Vicki Hertzberg
 Lecture 6
 15 February 2017
 20 / 48

# Metrics for predictive models

Focus: predictive accuracy / predictive power (performance of  $\hat{f}$  on new data) Validate model with a *new* dataset, typically by performing cross-validation.

① Divide data into 10 parts.

 Vicki Hertzberg
 Lecture 6
 15 February 2017
 21 / 48

# Metrics for predictive models

Focus: predictive accuracy / predictive power (performance of  $\hat{f}$  on new data) Validate model with a *new* dataset, typically by performing cross-validation.

- Divide data into 10 parts.
- ② Estimate f from parts 1 9, then apply to part 10, how well do you do?

Vicki Hertzberg Lecture 6 15 February 2017 21 / 48

#### Metrics for predictive models

Focus: predictive accuracy / predictive power (performance of  $\hat{f}$  on new data) Validate model with a *new* dataset, typically by performing cross-validation.

- ① Divide data into 10 parts.
- ② Estimate f from parts 1 9, then apply to part 10, how well do you do?
- Repeat by estimating from parts 1-8 and 10, then apply to part 9 and assess.

#### Metrics for predictive models

Focus: predictive accuracy / predictive power (performance of  $\hat{\boldsymbol{f}}$  on new data)

Validate model with a new dataset, typically by performing cross-validation.

- Divide data into 10 parts.
- ② Estimate f from parts 1 9, then apply to part 10, how well do you do?
- Repeat by estimating from parts 1-8 and 10, then apply to part 9 and assess.
- Lather, rinse, and repeat until you have done this 10 times.

#### Metrics for predictive models

Focus: predictive accuracy / predictive power (performance of  $\hat{f}$  on new data) Validate model with a *new* dataset, typically by performing cross-validation.

- Divide data into 10 parts.
- ② Estimate f from parts 1 9, then apply to part 10, how well do you do?
- Repeat by estimating from parts 1-8 and 10, then apply to part 9 and assess.
- Lather, rinse, and repeat until you have done this 10 times.
- Metrics include area under the Receiver Operating Characteristic curve (ROC) (typically called AUC), confusion matrix, calibration, discrimination ability, Akaike Information Criterion (AIC)

#### **Practicalities**

#### For better clinical prediction models

## **Seven Steps for Development**

- Step 1: What is the research question and what do the data look like?
- Step 2: Code predictors
- Step 3: Model specification
- Step 4: Model estimation
- Step 5: Evaluate model performance
- Step 6: Internal validation
- Step 7: Model presentation

 Vicki Hertzberg
 Lecture 6
 15 February 2017
 24 / 48

### Seven Steps for Model Development

What factors predict the endpoint?

What is the risk of the endpoint given a combination of factors?

Endpoint examples:

Functional rating

15 February 2017 26 / 48

What factors predict the endpoint?

What is the risk of the endpoint given a combination of factors?

Endpoint examples:

- Functional rating
- Binary outcome like 30-day mortality, development of a disease

What factors predict the endpoint?

What is the risk of the endpoint given a combination of factors?

Endpoint examples:

- Functional rating
- Binary outcome like 30-day mortality, development of a disease
- 3 Time to an event, like death or disease progression

15 February 2017 26 / 48

What is already known about the predictors?

Need close collaborations between the clinicians and the statisticians.

15 February 2017 27 / 48

How were patients selected?

15 February 2017 28 / 48

How to deal with treatment effects? Include only the placebo group

15 February 2017 29 / 48

Were the predictors reliably and completely measured? Missing data

15 February 2017 30 / 48

Is the endpoint of interest?

Preference for hard endpoints.

 Vicki Hertzberg
 Lecture 6
 15 February 2017
 31 / 48

# Step 2: Coding of predictors

Categorical variables: collapse categories with infrequent occurrence

Continous variables: in inital development, do not categorize (inefficient).

When you decide to roll-out, consider categorization for purposes of being user friendly if there is not too much of a loss of information.

15 February 2017 32 / 48

# Step 3: Model specification

How to choose? Stepwise procedures can perform poorly in small datasets.

Additivity association? Choice of interaction terms.

# Step 3: Model specification

Tradeoff between

Simple robust model Finely-tuned model with many independent variables

 Vicki Hertzberg
 Lecture 6
 15 February 2017
 34 / 48

## Step 4: Model estimation

How to estimate those regression coefficients?

When using generalized linear model, use maximum likelihood for estimation

#### Step 4: Model estimation

Model may be overfitted, and need to "shrink" the regression coefficients towards zero, as they are typically too optimistic.

There are variety of ways to do this. When you are reading the literature, if someone says they used the

Penalized Maximum Likelihood method, or the Least Absolute Shrinkage and Selection Operator (LASSO)

just recognize that is what they are doing.

15 February 2017 36 / 48

Assess with some of the measures mentioned previously

ROC curve

 Vicki Hertzberg
 Lecture 6
 15 February 2017
 37 / 48

Assess with some of the measures mentioned previously

- ROC curve
- Confusion matrix

Assess with some of the measures mentioned previously

- ROC curve
- Confusion matrix
- Calibration

Assess with some of the measures mentioned previously

- ROC curve
- Confusion matrix
- Calibration
- Discrimination ability

Assess with some of the measures mentioned previously

- ROC curve
- Confusion matrix
- Calibration
- Discrimination ability
- AIC

#### Step 6: Model Validity

Internal validity: Validity of claims for the underlying population from which the data originated (reproducibility)

Use cross validation, bootstrap resampling to determine stability of - prediction variables - prediction quality

External validity: Generalizability of claims to 'plausibly related' populations Looking at predictive ability for

• future patients (temporal validity)

 Vicki Hertzberg
 Lecture 6
 15 February 2017
 38 / 48

## Step 6: Model Validity

Internal validity: Validity of claims for the underlying population from which the data originated (reproducibility)

Use cross validation, bootstrap resampling to determine stability of - prediction variables - prediction quality

External validity: Generalizability of claims to 'plausibly related' populations Looking at predictive ability for

- future patients (temporal validity)
- patients from another location (geographical validity)

#### Step 6: Model Validity

Internal validity: Validity of claims for the underlying population from which the data originated (reproducibility)

Use cross validation, bootstrap resampling to determine stability of - prediction variables - prediction quality

External validity: Generalizability of claims to 'plausibly related' populations

Looking at predictive ability for

- future patients (temporal validity)
- patients from another location (geographical validity)
- patients treated in another setting (strong external validation)

Possibilities include:

• Regression formula

#### Possibilities include:

- Regression formula
- Score charts

15 February 2017 39 / 48 Vicki Hertzberg Lecture 6

#### Possibilities include:

- Regression formula
- Score charts
- Nomograms

15 February 2017 39 / 48 Vicki Hertzberg Lecture 6

#### Possibilities include:

- Regression formula
- Score charts
- Nomograms
- Apps

15 February 2017 39 / 48 Vicki Hertzberg Lecture 6

#### For better clinical prediction models

Four key measures in assessing validity of prediction models, related to calibration, discrimination, and clinical usefulness.

Alpha

Four key measures in assessing validity of prediction models, related to calibration, discrimination, and clinical usefulness.

- Alpha
- Beta

Four key measures in assessing validity of prediction models, related to calibration, discrimination, and clinical usefulness.

- Alpha
- Beta
- Concordance statistic

Four key measures in assessing validity of prediction models, related to calibration, discrimination, and clinical usefulness.

- Alpha
- Beta
- Concordance statistic
- Decision Curve Analysis

 Vicki Hertzberg
 Lecture 6
 15 February 2017
 41 / 48

Practicalities ABCD's of Model Validation

#### **ABCD's of Model Validation**

 Vicki Hertzberg
 Lecture 6
 15 February 2017
 42 / 48

## Alpha: Calibration in the Large

Calibration: agreement between observed and predicted, which is assessed graphically by plotting predicted (x-axis) v observed (y-axis)

Alpha: Compare mean of all predicted to mean of all observed

## **Beta: Calibration Slope**

The calibration slope  $\beta$  is often estimated as smaller than 1 in a (relatively) small dataset.

Hence the need for cross-validation, bootstrapping estimates, and external validation

 Vicki Hertzberg
 Lecture 6
 15 February 2017
 44 / 48

#### Concordance statistic: Discrimination

Discrimination: ability of the model to distinguish between a patient with an endpoint and one without the endpoint.

Quantification of this ability is via the concordance statistic, c.

The ROC plots sensitivity (true-positive rate) v 1-specificity (true-negative rate) for consecutive cutoffs for predicted risk. The concordance statistic, c, is measured by the AUC.

# **Decision curve analysis**

Decision curve balances those with high risk to those with low risk.

Once a cutpoint has been chosen, summary measures include

• Sum of sensitivity and 1-specificity (naive)

 Vicki Hertzberg
 Lecture 6
 15 February 2017
 46 / 48

### **Decision curve analysis**

Decision curve balances those with high risk to those with low risk.

Once a cutpoint has been chosen, summary measures include

- Sum of sensitivity and 1-specificity (naive)
- Netbenefit: weighted sum of true- minus false-positives.

 Vicki Hertzberg
 Lecture 6
 15 February 2017
 46 / 48

## Prediction

 Vicki Hertzberg
 Lecture 6
 15 February 2017
 47 / 48

# **Summary**

Models are "easy" to develop ("just" involve computer)

Validation (especially external validation) is much more difficult, but must be done.