# Lecture 6

**Prediction** 

Vicki Hertzberg

15 February 2017

- Prediction
- 2 Metrics
- 3 Practicalities
- Prediction

# **Prediction**

## **Models**

Many variables have distributions that are consistent with an exponential family.

This is the form 
$$f(y|\theta) = exp(\eta(\theta)T(y) - A(\theta) + B(y))$$
.

Examples are

5 / 48

Many variables have distributions that are consistent with an exponential family.

This is the form 
$$f(y|\theta) = exp(\eta(\theta)T(y) - A(\theta) + B(y))$$
.

Examples are

- **1** Normal:  $f(y|\mu, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-\frac{(y-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$  **2** Bernoulli:  $f(y|\pi) = y^{\pi}(1-y)^{1-\pi}$

Many variables have distributions that are consistent with an exponential family.

This is the form  $f(y|\theta) = \exp(\eta(\theta)T(y) - A(\theta) + B(y))$ .

Examples are

- **1** Normal:  $f(y|\mu, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-\frac{(y-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$
- **2** Bernoulli:  $f(y|\pi) = y^{\pi}(1-y)^{1-\pi}$
- **3** Exponential:  $f(y|\lambda) = \lambda e^{\lambda y}$  for y > 0.

15 February 2017 5 / 48 Vicki Hertzberg Lecture 6

Note that for these distributions we have the following:

• Normal: 
$$E(Y) = \mu$$

Note that for these distributions we have the following:

• Normal:  $E(Y) = \mu$ 

② Bernooli:  $E(Y) = \pi$ 

Note that for these distributions we have the following:

- Normal:  $E(Y) = \mu$
- ② Bernooli:  $E(Y) = \pi$
- **3** Exponential:  $E(Y) = \lambda^{-1}$

For the exponential family we can say  $E(Y) = A(\theta)$ 

Consider the concept of simple linear regression, which we can write as  $E(Y) = X\beta$ 

If we extend the concept of regression to this, we can create a generalized linear model, writing  $E(Y) = A(\mathbf{X}\beta)$ .

Vicki Hertzberg Lecture 6 15 February 2017 7 / 48

The generalized linear model consists of three parts:

A probability function from the exponential family

The generalized linear model consists of three parts:

- A probability function from the exponential family
- **2** A linear predictor,  $\eta = X\beta$

The generalized linear model consists of three parts:

- A probability function from the exponential family
- **2** A linear predictor,  $\eta = \mathbf{X}\beta$
- **3** A link function,  $g(\cdot)$ , such that  $E(y) = g^{-1}(X\beta)$  (or  $g(E(y)) = X\beta$ )

Vicki Hertzberg Lecture 6 15 February 2017

For the simple linear regression case we have:

• The probability function is a normal distribution

For the simple linear regression case we have:

- The probability function is a normal distribution
- 2 The link function is  $g(E(y)) = X\beta$

For logistic regression we have:

• The probability function is a Bernoulli distribution

Vicki Hertzberg 15 February 2017 10 / 48

For logistic regression we have:

- The probability function is a Bernoulli distribution
- 2 The link function is  $g(E(y)) = \frac{e^{X\beta}}{1 + e^{X\beta}}$

Vicki Hertzberg 15 February 2017 10 / 48

For time to event we have

The probability function is an exponential distribution

Vicki Hertzberg Lecture 6 15 February 2017 11 / 48

#### For time to event we have

- The probability function is an exponential distribution
- 2 The link function is  $g(E(y)) = (X\beta)^{-1}$

Vicki Hertzberg 15 February 2017 11 / 48

# **Explaining v Predicting**

# What do we mean by Explaining?

We postulate the  $\mathcal{X}$  causes  $\mathcal{Y}$  via a function  $\mathcal{F}$ . We operationalize this as a statistical model,  $E(y) = f(\mathbf{X})$ , where  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ 

Usually as scientists we want to tease out causal relationships.

In an explanatory model, we want to determine how a set of independent variables, (X), relates to a dependent outcome, Y.

Goal: to have adequate power and minimal bias in order to match f to  $\mathcal{F}$  as closely as possible.

Vicki Hertzberg Lecture 6 15 February 2017 13 / 48

# What do we mean by Predicting?

$$E(y) = f(X), f \in \mathcal{F}$$

Statisticians are poor at prediction, in general.

In a predictive model, we want to determine how well the relationships between a set of independent variables, (X), and a dependent outcome, Y, can be used to predict a new or future observation.

Goal: to use f to generate new Y values.

Vicki Hertzberg Lecture 6 15 February 2017 14 / 48

#### Explaining:

lacksquare f represents the causal relationship between  $oldsymbol{\mathit{X}}$  and Y

Vicki Hertzberg Lecture 6 15 February 2017 15 / 48

#### Explaining:

- lacktriangledown f represents the causal relationship between  $\boldsymbol{X}$  and  $\boldsymbol{Y}$
- f is estimated to test a causal hypothesis

Vicki Hertzberg Lecture 6 15 February 2017 15 / 48

#### Explaining:

- $oldsymbol{0}$  f represents the causal relationship between  $oldsymbol{X}$  and Y
- f is estimated to test a causal hypothesis
- Modeling is retrospective, always dealing with data that have been collected, and f is used to test a pre-existing hypothesis or set of hypotheses

Vicki Hertzberg Lecture 6 15 February 2017 15 / 48

#### Predicting

ullet f captures the association between  $oldsymbol{X}$  and Y

Vicki Hertzberg Lecture 6 15 February 2017 16 / 48

#### Predicting

- lacktriangledown f captures the association between  $oldsymbol{X}$  and Y

Vicki Hertzberg Lecture 6 15 February 2017 16 / 48

#### Predicting

- f captures the association between X and Y
- ② f is constructed from the data and direct interpretability of the relations between  $\boldsymbol{X}$  and Y is not necessary or required.
- of forward-looking, with f being used to predict a new observation Y

Vicki Hertzberg 15 February 2017 16 / 48

Finally, consider the xpected predicted error for a new observation at value x with a quadratic loss function:

$$EPE = E(Y - \hat{f}(x))^2 \tag{1}$$

$$= E(Y - f(x))^{2} - [E(\hat{f}(x)) - f(x)]^{2} + E(\hat{f}(x) - E(\hat{f}(x)))^{2}$$
 (2)

$$= Var(Y) + Bias^2 + Var(\hat{f}(x))$$
 (3)

Bias results when you mis-specify f. Estimation variance (3rd term) results from using a sample to estimate f. The first term is error that results even if the model is correctly specified and accurately estimated.

Vicki Hertzberg Lecture 6 15 February 2017 17 / 4

Explaining: focus is on minimizing bias

Prediction: focus is on minimizing the combination of bias and estimate variance.

Thus sometimes the "wrong" model can predict better than the correct one.

Vicki Hertzberg Lecture 6 15 February 2017 18 / 48

# **Metrics**

# Metrics for explanatory models

Focus: estimated strength of relationship



 $\mathbf{O}$   $R^2$ 

# Metrics for explanatory models

Focus: estimated strength of relationship

- $\mathbf{O}$   $R^2$
- Statistical significance with overall F-type statistics

# Metrics for predictive models

Focus: predictive accuracy / predictive power (performance of  $\hat{f}$  on new data) Validate model with a *new* dataset, typically by performing cross-validation.

Divide data into 10 parts.

# Metrics for predictive models

Focus: predictive accuracy / predictive power (performance of  $\hat{f}$  on new data) Validate model with a *new* dataset, typically by performing cross-validation.

- Divide data into 10 parts.
- Estimate f from parts 1 9, then apply to part 10, how well do you do?

#### Metrics for predictive models

Focus: predictive accuracy / predictive power (performance of  $\hat{f}$  on new data)

Validate model with a *new* dataset, typically by performing cross-validation.

- Divide data into 10 parts.
- ② Estimate f from parts 1 9, then apply to part 10, how well do you do?
- Repeat by estimating from parts 1-8 and 10, then apply to part 9 and assess.

#### Metrics for predictive models

Focus: predictive accuracy / predictive power (performance of  $\hat{f}$  on new data)

Validate model with a *new* dataset, typically by performing cross-validation.

- Divide data into 10 parts.
- ② Estimate f from parts 1 9, then apply to part 10, how well do you do?
- Repeat by estimating from parts 1-8 and 10, then apply to part 9 and assess.
- Lather, rinse, and repeat until you have done this 10 times.

#### Metrics for predictive models

Focus: predictive accuracy / predictive power (performance of  $\hat{f}$  on new data)

Validate model with a *new* dataset, typically by performing cross-validation.

- Divide data into 10 parts.
- 2 Estimate f from parts 1 9, then apply to part 10, how well do you do?
- Repeat by estimating from parts 1-8 and 10, then apply to part 9 and assess.
- 1 Lather, rinse, and repeat until you have done this 10 times.
- Metrics include area under the Receiver Operating Characteristic curve (ROC) (typically called AUC), confusion matrix, calibration, discrimination ability, Akaike Information Criterion (AIC)

#### **Practicalities**

#### For better clinical prediction models

# **Seven Steps for Development**

- Step 1: What is the research question and what do the data look like?
- Step 2: Code predictors
- Step 3: Model specification
- Step 4: Model estimation
- Step 5: Evaluate model performance
- Step 6: Internal validation
- Step 7: Model presentation

#### **Seven Steps for Model Development**

What factors predict the endpoint?

What is the risk of the endpoint given a combination of factors?

Endpoint examples:

Functional rating

What factors predict the endpoint?

What is the risk of the endpoint given a combination of factors?

Endpoint examples:

- Functional rating
- Binary outcome like 30-day mortality, development of a disease

What factors predict the endpoint?

What is the risk of the endpoint given a combination of factors?

Endpoint examples:

- Functional rating
- Binary outcome like 30-day mortality, development of a disease
- Time to an event, like death or disease progression

What is already known about the predictors?

Need close collaborations between the clinicians and the statisticians.

How were patients selected?

How to deal with treatment effects?

Include only the placebo group

Were the predictors reliably and completely measured?

Missing data

Is the endpoint of interest?

Preference for hard endpoints.

#### Step 2: Coding of predictors

Categorical variables: collapse categories with infrequent occurrence

Continous variables: in inital development, do not categorize (inefficient).

When you decide to roll-out, consider categorization for purposes of being user friendly *if* there is not too much of a loss of information.

#### **Step 3: Model specification**

How to choose? Stepwise procedures can perform poorly in small datasets.

Additivity association? Choice of interaction terms.

#### **Step 3: Model specification**

Tradeoff between

Simple robust model Finely-tuned model with many independent variables

#### **Step 4: Model estimation**

How to estimate those regression coefficients?

When using generalized linear model, use maximum likelihood for estimation

#### **Step 4: Model estimation**

Model may be overfitted, and need to "shrink" the regression coefficients towards zero, as they are typically too optimistic.

There are variety of ways to do this. When you are reading the literature, if someone says they used the

Penalized Maximum Likelihood method, or the Least Absolute Shrinkage and Selection Operator (LASSO)

just recognize that is what they are doing.

Assess with some of the measures mentioned previously

ROC curve

Assess with some of the measures mentioned previously

- ROC curve
- Confusion matrix

Assess with some of the measures mentioned previously

- ROC curve
- Confusion matrix
- Calibration

Assess with some of the measures mentioned previously

- ROC curve
- Confusion matrix
- Calibration
- Discrimination ability

Assess with some of the measures mentioned previously

- ROC curve
- Confusion matrix
- Calibration
- Discrimination ability
- AIC

## **Step 6: Model Validity**

Internal validity: Validity of claims for the underlying population from which the data originated (reproducibility)

Use cross validation, bootstrap resampling to determine stability of - prediction variables - prediction quality

External validity: Generalizability of claims to 'plausibly related' populations Looking at predictive ability for

• future patients (temporal validity)

## Step 6: Model Validity

Internal validity: Validity of claims for the underlying population from which the data originated (reproducibility)

Use cross validation, bootstrap resampling to determine stability of - prediction variables - prediction quality

External validity: Generalizability of claims to 'plausibly related' populations Looking at predictive ability for

- future patients (temporal validity)
- patients from another location (geographical validity)

## Step 6: Model Validity

Internal validity: Validity of claims for the underlying population from which the data originated (reproducibility)

Use cross validation, bootstrap resampling to determine stability of - prediction variables - prediction quality

External validity: Generalizability of claims to 'plausibly related' populations Looking at predictive ability for

- future patients (temporal validity)
- patients from another location (geographical validity)
- patients treated in another setting (strong external validation)

Possibilities include:

• Regression formula

#### Possibilities include:

- Regression formula
- Score charts

#### Possibilities include:

- Regression formula
- Score charts
- Nomograms

#### Possibilities include:

- Regression formula
- Score charts
- Nomograms
- Apps

#### For better clinical prediction models

Four key measures in assessing validity of prediction models, related to calibration, discrimination, and clinical usefulness.

Alpha

Four key measures in assessing validity of prediction models, related to calibration, discrimination, and clinical usefulness.

- Alpha
- Beta

Four key measures in assessing validity of prediction models, related to calibration, discrimination, and clinical usefulness.

- Alpha
- Beta
- Concordance statistic

Four key measures in assessing validity of prediction models, related to calibration, discrimination, and clinical usefulness.

- Alpha
- Beta
- Concordance statistic
- Decision Curve Analysis

#### Alpha: Calibration in the Large

Calibration: agreement between observed and predicted, which is assessed graphically by plotting predicted (x-axis) v observed (y-axis)

Alpha: Compare mean of all predicted to mean of all observed

#### **Beta: Calibration Slope**

The calibration slope  $\beta$  is often estimated as smaller than 1 in a (relatively) small dataset.

Hence the need for cross-validation, bootstrapping estimates, and external validation

#### **Concordance statistic: Discrimination**

Discrimination: ability of the model to distinguish between a patient with an endpoint and one without the endpoint.

Quantification of this ability is via the concordance statistic, c.

The ROC plots sensitivity (true-positive rate) v 1-specificity (true-negative rate) for consecutive cutoffs for predicted risk. The concordance statistic, c, is measured by the AUC.

#### **Decision curve analysis**

Decision curve balances those with high risk to those with low risk.

Once a cutpoint has been chosen, summary measures include

• Sum of sensitivity and 1-specificity (naive)

#### **Decision curve analysis**

Decision curve balances those with high risk to those with low risk.

Once a cutpoint has been chosen, summary measures include

- Sum of sensitivity and 1-specificity (naive)
- Netbenefit: weighted sum of true- minus false-positives.

#### **Prediction**

#### **Summary**

Models are "easy" to develop ("just" involve computer)

Validation (especially external validation) is much more difficult, but must be done.