

ADVANCED TOPICS IN MICROECONOMETRICS: MATCHING MODELS AND THEIR APPLICATIONS

Alfred Galichon (NYU and ScPo)

Spring 2018

Lecture 6, March 5 2018 (b): Continuous multivariate matching

- ▶ Existence of potentials in the quadratic case
- ▶ Knott-Smith criterion and Brenier's and McCann's theorems
- ▶ Entropic regularization
- ▶ The iterated proportional fitting procedure

- ▶ [OTME], Ch. 6
- ▶ [TOT] Villani (2003). *Topics in Optimal Transportation*. AMS. Ch. 1 and 2.

Section 1

THEORY

- ▶ As a consequence of the previous lecture, we have seen that if P is a continuous distribution over \mathbb{R}^d (distribution of the inhabitants' locations), and if $Q = \sum_{k=1}^M q_k \delta_{y_k}$ is a discrete distribution over \mathbb{R}^d (distribution of the fountains' locations), then there exists a mapping T such that $T\#P = Q$, that is

$$Y = T(X)$$

where:

- ▶ $X \sim P$ and $Y \sim Q$, and $T(x)$ is the location of the fountain assigned to the inhabitant at x .
- ▶ $T(x) = \nabla u(x)$, where u is a convex function which is given by $u(x) = \max_k \{x^\top y_k - v_k\}$.
- ▶ Note the connection with Becker's model: when the dimension $d = 1$, T is piecewise constant and nondecreasing (positive assortative matching).
- ▶ In this lecture, we shall generalize these results to the case when Q is a general distribution (not necessarily discrete). P will have a density, and the support of P and Q will be assumed to be convex.

- Assume that \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} are convex subsets of \mathbb{R}^d , and that

$$\Phi(x, y) = x^\top y.$$

and P and Q are two probability distributions on \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} .

- The Monge-Kantorovich theorem provides assumptions under which the value of the primal problem

$$\mathcal{W} = \sup_{\pi \in \mathcal{M}(P, Q)} \mathbb{E}_\pi [X^\top Y] \quad (1)$$

coincides with the value of the dual

$$\mathcal{W} = \inf_{u(x) + v(y) \geq x^\top y} \mathbb{E}_P [u(X)] + \mathbb{E}_Q [v(Y)]. \quad (2)$$

- Note, however, that the M-K theorem requires Φ to be bounded by above, which is not the case of $\Phi(x, y) = x^\top y$ unless we assume P and Q have bounded support. We could alternatively work with $\Phi(x, y) = -|x - y|^2/2$, in which case we should assume that P and Q have finite second moment and replace $u(x)$ by $u(x) + |x|^2/2$, and v by a similar quantity. We shall assume away these concerns for now.

The following result ensures that u and v exist as soon as P and Q have finite second moments.

THEOREM

If P and Q have finite second moments, then there exists a pair (u, v) solution to the dual Monge-Kantorovich problem

$$\inf_{u(x)+v(y) \geq x^T y} \mathbb{E}_P [u(X)] + \mathbb{E}_Q [v(Y)].$$

See theorem 2.9 in [TOT].

- Assume that a dual minimizer (u, v) exists; if needed, redefine u and v so that they take value $+\infty$ outside of the support of P and Q , assumed to be convex. As argued, u and v are then related by

$$v(y) = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \{x^T y - u(x)\} \quad (3)$$

$$u(x) = \max_{y \in \mathbb{R}^d} \{x^T y - v(y)\} \quad (4)$$

hence we see immediately that if (u, v) is a solution to the dual problem, then u and v are convex functions. Further, the expression of v as a function of u is the same as the expression of u as a function of v .

We want to relate the solutions to the primal and dual problems. Recall that in the finite-dimensional case, where the primal and the dual problems are related by a complementary slackness condition. In the present case, let $(X, Y) \sim \pi$ be a solution to the primal problem, and (u, u^*) be a solution to the dual problem. Then almost surely X and Y are willing to match, which, by the previous discussion, implies that

$$u(X) + u^*(Y) = X^\top Y, \quad (5)$$

that is, the support of π is included in the set $\{(x, y) : u(x) + u^*(y) = x^\top y\}$. This condition appears as the correct generalization of the complementary slackness condition in the finite-dimensional case. Without surprise, taking the expectation with respect to π of equality (5) yields the equality between the value of the dual problem on the left-hand side, and the value of the primal problem on the right-hand side.

The following statement provides a generalization of the complementary slackness condition in finite dimension.

THEOREM (KNOTT-SMITH)

Let $\pi \in \mathcal{M}(P, Q)$ and u be a convex function. Then π and (u, u^) are respective solutions to the primal and the dual Monge-Kantorovich problems if and only if*

$$u(x) + u^*(y) = x^\top y \text{ holds for } \pi\text{-almost all } (x, y). \quad (6)$$

PROOF.

Assume that (6) holds. Then, note that (u, u^*) satisfies the constraints of the dual; further, taking expectation with respect to π yields $\mathbb{E}_P[u(X)] + \mathbb{E}_Q[v(Y)] = \mathbb{E}_\pi[X^\top Y]$, which implies that π is an optimal primal solution and (u, u^*) is an optimal dual solution. Conversely, assume that π is an optimal primal solution and (u, u^*) is an optimal dual solution. Then $\mathbb{E}_\pi[u(X) + u^*(Y) - X^\top Y] = 0$; but $(x, y) \rightarrow u(x) + u^*(y) - x^\top y$ is nonnegative, thus (6) holds. \square

THEOREM (BRENIER)

Assume that P and Q have finite second moments, and P has a density. Then the solution $(X, Y) \sim \pi \in \mathcal{M}(P, Q)$ to the primal problem is represented by

$$Y = \nabla u(X)$$

where (u, u^) is a solution to the dual problem. Such u is unique up to a constant.*

Intuition of the proof: if u is differentiable, then y is matched with x that maximizes $\{x^\top y - u(x)\}$ over $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. By first order conditions, such x satisfy $\nabla u(x) = y$. It turns out, however, that differentiability is not a serious concern (at least, almost never).

While we evoked the case when the Kantorovich potentials u and v are differentiable, there is no a-priori guarantee that they are so. However, an important result in Analysis called Rademacher's theorem implies that the set of non-differentiable points of a convex function is of zero Lebesgue measure, and hence can be ignored for practical purposes as soon as P is continuous. Thus the Monge map solution, $T(x)$, can be defined as $T(x) = \nabla u(x)$ wherever the latter quantity exists, and $T(x)$ can be defined arbitrarily elsewhere, without affecting the distributional properties of $T(X)$.

The previous result allows to provide a representation of a large class of probability distributions Q over \mathbb{R}^d as the probability distribution of $\nabla u(X)$, for X with a fixed distribution P . There is however a limitation, in the sense that it requires that Q has finite second moments, which is needed to interpret u as entering the solution to the dual problem. Fortunately, McCann's theorem addresses this issue:

THEOREM (BRENIER)

Assume that P and Q are probability distributions such that P has a density. Then the solution $(X, Y) \sim \pi \in \mathcal{M}(P, Q)$ to the primal problem is represented by

$$Y = \nabla u(X)$$

for some convex function u which is unique up to a constant.

- ▶ When $P = \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma_X)$ and $Q = \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma_Y)$, one can get a solution in closed form.
 - ▶ Consider first the case when $\Sigma_X = I$. Then the optimal transport map $T(x) = \Sigma_Y^{1/2}x$ is a linear map.
 - ▶ General case is done by wrting $X = \Sigma_X^{1/2}U$, where $U \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$. Then $\max \mathbb{E} \left[U \Sigma_X^{1/2} Y \right]$ is obtained when $\Sigma_X^{1/2} Y = \left(\Sigma_X^{1/2} \Sigma_Y \Sigma_X^{1/2} \right)^{1/2} U$, so the solution is

$$Y = \Sigma_X^{-1/2} \left(\Sigma_X^{1/2} \Sigma_Y \Sigma_X^{1/2} \right)^{1/2} \Sigma_X^{-1/2} X.$$

- ▶ Actually, one has even a closed-form solution in the case when $\Phi(x, y) = x^\top A y$. (This is left as an exercise).

- Consider the problem

$$\max_{\pi \in \mathcal{M}(P, Q)} \iint_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}} x^T y \pi(x, y) dx dy - \sigma \iint_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}} \pi(x, y) \ln \pi(x, y) dx dy$$

where $\sigma > 0$. The problem coincides with the optimal assignment problem when $\sigma = 0$. When $\sigma \rightarrow +\infty$, the solution to this problem approaches the independent coupling, $\pi(x, y) = f_P(x) f_Q(y)$.

- The dual problem yields

$$\min_{u, v} \left\{ \int_{\mathcal{X}} u(x) dP(x) + \int_{\mathcal{Y}} v(y) dQ(y) + \sigma \left(\iint_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}} \exp \left(\frac{x^T y - u(x) - v(y)}{\sigma} \right) dx dy - 1 \right) \right\},$$

and the classical optimal transport duality is recovered when $\sigma \rightarrow 0$.

- By optimality conditions,

$$\pi(x, y) = \exp\left(\frac{x^T y - u(x) - v(y)}{\sigma}\right)$$

where $u(x)$ and $v(y)$ satisfy the Bernstein-Schrödinger equation

$$\begin{cases} \int_{\mathcal{Y}} \exp\left(\frac{x^T y - u(x) - v(y)}{\sigma}\right) dy = f_P(x) \\ \int_{\mathcal{X}} \exp\left(\frac{x^T y - u(x) - v(y)}{\sigma}\right) dx = f_Q(y) \end{cases}.$$

- Hence, letting $A(x) = \exp(-u(x)/\sigma)$ and $B(y) = \exp(-v(y)/\sigma)$ and $K(x, y) = \exp(x^T y/\sigma)$, one has $\pi(x, y) = A(x) B(y) K(x, y)$, and

$$\begin{cases} A(x) = f_P(x) / \int_{\mathcal{Y}} K(x, y) B(y) dy \text{ and} \\ B(y) = f_Q(y) / \int_{\mathcal{X}} K(x, y) A(x) dx. \end{cases}$$

Section 2

CODING

- The iterated proportional fitting procedure (ipfp) consists of taking an initial guess $B^0(x)$, and do

$$\begin{cases} A^1(x) = f_P(x) / \int_Y K(x, y) B^0(y) dy \\ B^1(y) = f_Q(y) / \int_X K(x, y) A^1(x) dx \end{cases}$$

and iterate.

- This is implemented by IPFP1:

```
K=exp(Phi/sigma)
B=rep(1,nbY)
while (cont) {
  A = p / (K %*% B)
  KA = c(A %*% K)
  if (max(abs(KA*B - q))<tol ) {cont=FALSE}
  B = q / KA }
```

- The previous program is extremely fast, partly due to the fact that it involves linear algebra operations. However, it breaks down when σ is small; this is best seen taking a log transform and returning to $u^k = -\sigma \log A^k$ and $v^k = -\sigma \log B^k$, that is

$$\begin{cases} u^k(x) = \mu(x) + \sigma \log \int_{\mathcal{Y}} \exp\left(\frac{x^\top y - v^{k-1}(y)}{\sigma}\right) dy \\ v^k(y) = \nu(y) + \sigma \log \int_{\mathcal{X}} \exp\left(\frac{x^\top y - u^k(x)}{\sigma}\right) dx \end{cases}$$

where $\mu(x) = -\sigma \log f_P(x)$ and $\nu(y) = -\sigma \log f_Q(y)$.

- One sees what may go wrong: if $x^\top y - v^{k-1}(y)$ is positive in the exponential in the first sum, then the exponential blows up due to the small σ at the denominator. However, a very simple trick, called the “log-sum-exp trick” in order to avoid this issue.

- Consider

$$\begin{cases} (v^{k-1})^*(x) = \max_y \{x^\top y - v^{k-1}(y)\} \\ (u^k)^*(y) = \max_x \{x^\top y - u^k(x)\} \end{cases}$$

(as we shall see next block, these will be interpreted as the Legendre-Fenchel transforms of v^{k-1} and u^k , respectively).

- One has

$$\begin{cases} u^k(x) = \mu(x) + (v^{k-1})^*(x) + \sigma \log \int_{\mathcal{Y}} \exp\left(\frac{x^\top y - v^{k-1}(y) - (v^{k-1})^*(x)}{\sigma}\right) dy \\ v^k(y) = \nu(y) + (u^k)^*(y) + \sigma \log \int_{\mathcal{X}} \exp\left(\frac{x^\top y - u^k(x) - (u^k)^*(y)}{\sigma}\right) dx \end{cases}$$

and now the arguments of the exponentials are always nonpositive, ensuring the exponentials don't blow up.

- ▶ This is implemented as IPFP2:

```
vstar = apply(t(t(Phi) - v), 1, max)
u=mu + vstar + sigma * log(apply(exp( (Phi -
matrix(v,nbX,nbY,byrow=T)- vstar)/sigma) ,1,sum))
ustar = apply( Phi - u , 2, max)
v=nu + ustar + sigma * log(apply(exp( (Phi -
matrix(ustar,nbX,nbY,byrow=T)- u)/sigma) ,2,sum))
```

- ▶ When we run it on the example in '07-appli-ipfp', we see that IPFP1 is faster, but more fragile; indeed:
 - ▶ for $\sigma = 10^{-2}$, both algorithms converge, the IPFP1 in 0.05s, and IPFP2 in 0.11s.
 - ▶ for $\sigma = 10^{-3}$, IPFP1 fails, and IPFP2 converges in 109s.