

$$\varphi(z_i | x_i, \pi, \alpha) = \frac{\varphi(x_i, z_i | \pi, \alpha)}{\varphi(x_i | \pi, \alpha)}$$

$$= \frac{\prod_{k=1}^K \left(\pi_k \mathcal{N}(x_i; \mu_k, \Sigma_k) \right)^{z_{ik}}}{\sum_{l=1}^K \pi_l \mathcal{N}(x_i; \mu_l, \Sigma_l)}$$

$$= \prod_{k=1}^K \left(\frac{\pi_k \mathcal{N}(x_i; \mu_k, \Sigma_k)}{\sum_{l=1}^K \pi_l \mathcal{N}(x_i; \mu_l, \Sigma_l)} \right)^{z_{ik}}$$

" τ_{ik} "

$$= \prod_{k=1}^K \tau_{ik}^{z_{ik}}$$

$$= \mathcal{M}(z_i; 1, \tau_i)$$

$$0 \leq \tau_{ik} \leq 1$$

$$\tau_i = \begin{pmatrix} \tau_{i1} \\ \tau_{i2} \\ \vdots \\ \tau_{iK} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^K \tau_{ik} = 1$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{(x_i)_i}(\pi, \theta) = \log p((x_i)_i | \pi, \theta)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \log \left(\sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k \mathcal{N}(x_i; \mu_k, \Sigma_k) \right)$$

$$= \mathcal{L}(r((z_i)_i); \pi, \theta) + K \mathcal{L}(r((z_i)_i) \| p((z_i)_i | (x_i)_i, \pi, \theta))$$

variational
decomposition
(mean field
approximation)

lower bound of $\mathcal{L}_{(x_i)_i}(\pi, \theta)$
 \Rightarrow ELBO: evidence lower bound

Kullback-Leibler divergence between
 $r((z_i)_i)$ and $p((z_i)_i | (x_i)_i, \pi, \theta)$

$$\mathcal{L}(r((z_i)_i); \pi, \theta) = \sum_{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n} r((z_i)_i) \log \frac{p(x_i, z_i | \pi, \theta)}{r((z_i)_i)}$$

$$KL(r((z_i)_i) \| p((z_i)_i | (x_i)_i, \pi, \theta)) = - \sum_{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n} r((z_i)_i) \log \frac{p((z_i)_i | (x_i)_i, \pi, \theta)}{r((z_i)_i)}$$

$$\mathcal{L} + KL = \sum_{z_1, \dots, z_n} r((z_i)_i) \log \frac{p(x_i, z_i | \pi, \theta)}{p((z_i)_i | (x_i)_i, \pi, \theta)}$$

$$\mathcal{L} + \text{KL} = \sum_{z_1, \dots, z_n} R((z_i)_i) \log \frac{P((x_i, z_i)_i | \pi, \alpha)}{P((z_i)_i | (x_i)_i, \pi, \alpha)}$$

$$= \sum_{z_1, \dots, z_n} R((z_i)_i) \log \frac{P((z_i)_i | (x_i)_i, \pi, \alpha) P((x_i)_i | \pi, \alpha)}{P((z_i)_i | (x_i)_i, \pi, \alpha)}$$

$$= \sum_{z_1, \dots, z_n} R((z_i)_i) \log P((x_i)_i | \pi, \alpha)$$

$$= \log P((x_i)_i | \pi, \alpha)$$

$$= \mathcal{L}_{(x_i)_i}(\pi, \alpha)$$

$$\mathcal{L}(\pi, \alpha) = \mathcal{L}(r((z_i)_i), \pi, \alpha) + \text{KL}(r((z_i)_i) \parallel p((z_i)_i | (x_i)_i, \pi, \alpha))$$

≥ 0

Variational EM algorithm, VEM

Init:

VM step: $r((z_i)_i)$ is fixed. Maximise \mathcal{L} with respect to π, α .

VE step: π, α fixed. Maximise \mathcal{L} with respect to $r((z_i)_i)$.

stop when
 \mathcal{L} converges

\Leftrightarrow Minimise KL with respect to $r((z_i)_i)$

$\Leftrightarrow r((z_i)_i) = p((z_i)_i | (x_i)_i, \pi, \alpha)$
for GMM because we have analytical expressions!!! $(\Rightarrow \text{EM!!!})$

In the case of GMM:

(E-step) VE step: compute the τ_k (π, α fixed)

(M-step) VM step: $\hat{\pi}, \hat{\alpha} = \underset{\pi, \alpha}{\operatorname{argmax}} \mathcal{L}(R((z_i)_i); \pi, \alpha)$

$$\sum_{(z_i)_i} R((z_i)_i) \log p((x_i, z_i)_i | \pi, \alpha)$$

$$- \sum_{(z_i)_i} R((z_i)_i) \log R((z_i)_i)$$

$$= \underset{\pi, \alpha}{\operatorname{argmax}} \sum_{(z_i)_i} R((z_i)_i) \log p((x_i, z_i)_i | \pi, \alpha)$$

$$= \underset{\pi, \alpha}{\operatorname{argmax}} E_{\substack{Z_i \sim R \\ \forall i}} \left[\log p((x_i, Z_i)_i | \pi, \alpha) \right]$$

$\xrightarrow{\text{with}} R((z_i)_i) = p((z_i)_i | (x_i), \pi, \alpha)$

In the case of GMM: VEM reduces to EM

Init: $(\tau_{ik}^0)_{ik}$ (kmeans)

M-step: maximise \mathcal{L} with respect to $\pi, \alpha \Rightarrow \pi^0, \alpha^0$

E-step: maximise \mathcal{L} with respect to $R \Rightarrow (\tau_{ik}^1)_{ik}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{(n_i)_i}(\pi^0, \alpha^0) &= \mathcal{L}((\tau_{ik}^1)_{ik}; \pi^0, \alpha^0) \\ &\geq \mathcal{L}((\tau_{ik}^0)_{ik}; \pi^0, \alpha^0) \end{aligned}$$

M-step: maximise \mathcal{L} with respect to $\pi, \alpha \Rightarrow \pi^1, \alpha^1$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{(n_i)_i}((\tau_{ik}^1)_{ik}; \pi^1, \alpha^1) &\geq \mathcal{L}_{(n_i)_i}((\tau_{ik}^1)_{ik}; \pi^0, \alpha^0) \end{aligned}$$

E-step: maximise \mathcal{L} with respect to $R \Rightarrow (\tau_{ik}^2)_{ik}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{(n_i)_i}(\pi^1, \alpha^1) &= \mathcal{L}((\tau_{ik}^2)_{ik}; \pi^1, \alpha^1) \\ &\geq \mathcal{L}((\tau_{ik}^1)_{ik}; \pi^1, \alpha^1) \end{aligned}$$

Thus:
$$\begin{aligned} L_{(x_i)_i}(\pi^1, \varrho^1) &= L_{(\tau_{ik}^1)_{ik}; \pi^1, \varrho^1} \\ &\geq L_{(\tau_{ik}^1)_{ik}; \pi^1, \varrho^1} \\ &\geq L_{(\tau_{ik}^1)_{ik}; \pi^0, \varrho^0} \\ &= L_{(x_i)_i}(\pi^0, \varrho^0) \end{aligned}$$

so
$$L_{(x_i)_i}(\pi^1, \varrho^1) \geq L_{(x_i)_i}(\pi^0, \varrho^0)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \log p(\beta | x, y, \sigma^2) \\
&= \log p(y | x, \beta, \sigma^2) + \log p(\beta) \\
&\quad + c\lambda_2 \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} (y - X\beta)^T (\sigma^2 I_n)^{-1} (y - X\beta) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \beta^T \left(\frac{I_p}{\alpha} \right)^{-1} \beta + c\lambda_2 \\
&= -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \|y - X\beta\|^2 - \frac{\alpha}{2} \|\beta\|^2 + c\lambda_2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \arg \max_{\beta} \log p(\beta | x, y, \sigma^2) \\
&= \arg \min_{\beta} \left\{ \|y - X\beta\|^2 + \alpha \sigma^2 \|\beta\|^2 \right\}
\end{aligned}$$