

Chapter 1

General problem

1.1 Introduction

In this section we give details on our choices for the generic application confronted to both computation and communication walls on irregular context. This problem, Smoothed Particle Hydrodynamics, is described on the physics aspect and the difficulties involved in the resolution on supercomputers.

1.2 Combining irregular behaviors

1.3 Smoothed Particle Hydrodynamics

1.3.1 General description

Smoothed Particle Hydrodynamics (SPH) is an explicit numerical mesh-free Lagrangian method used to solve hydrodynamical partial differential equations (PDEs) by discretized it into a set of fluid elements called particles. This computational method was invented for the purpose of astrophysics simulations by Monaghan, Gingold and Lucy in 1977 [Luc77, GM77]. This first SPH work conserved mass and they later proposed a method which also conserves linear and angular moment [GM82]. The method was extended for general fluid simulation and many more fields from ballistics to oceanography. The development of new reliable, parallel and distributed tools for this method is a challenge for future HPC architectures with the upcoming Exascale systems.




Figure 1.1: SPH kernel W and smoothing length h representation

The method, as illustrated in Fig. 1.1, computes the evolution of physical quantities for every particle regarding its neighbors in the radius of its smoothing length h . The particles in this radius are then valued according to their distance using a smoothing function W , also called a kernel. The fundamental SPH formulation for any physical quantity A is then to compute with all the neighbors of b of a particle by:

$$A(\vec{r}) \simeq \sum_b \frac{m_b}{\rho_b} A(\vec{r}_b) W(|\vec{r} - \vec{r}_b|, h) \quad (1.1)$$

On a physics aspect, this method has several advantages: It can handle deformations, low densities, vacuum, and makes particle tracking easier. It also conserves mass, linear and angular momenta, and energy by its construction that implies independence of the numerical resolution. Another strong benefit of using SPH is its exact advection of fluid properties. Furthermore, the particle structure of SPH easily combines with tree methods for solving Newtonian gravity through N-body simulations. As a mesh-free method, it avoids the need of grid to calculate the spatial derivatives.

However, there are cons to consider using SPH: It is restricted to low-order rate of convergence on certain PDE formulations; It requires careful setup of initial distribution of particles; Further, it can be struggle to resolve turbulence-dominated flows and special care must be taken when handling high gradients such as shocks and surface structure of neutron stars. Many works are leading to handle more cases and to push the limitations of this method [DRZR17, LSR16, RDZR16].

In this work, we are solving Lagrangian conservation equations (Euler equations) for mass, energy and momentum of an ideal fluid [LL59] such that:

$$\frac{d\rho}{dt} = -\rho \nabla \cdot \vec{v}, \quad \frac{du}{dt} = \left(\frac{P}{\rho^2} \right) \frac{d\rho}{dt}, \quad \frac{d\vec{v}}{dt} = -\frac{\nabla P}{\rho} \quad (1.2)$$

with ρ the density, P the pressure, u the internal energy and v the velocity, where $d/dt = \partial_t + \vec{v} \cdot \nabla$ which is convective derivative.

By using the volume element $V_b = m_b/\rho_b$, we can formulate the Newtonian SPH scheme [Ros09] such that

$$\rho_a = \sum_b m_b W_{ab}(h_a) \quad (1.3)$$

$$\frac{du_a}{dt} = \frac{P_a}{\rho_a^2} \sum_b m_b \vec{v}_{ab} \cdot \nabla_a W_{ab} \quad (1.4)$$

$$\frac{d\vec{v}_a}{dt} = - \sum_b m_b \left(\frac{P_a}{\rho_a^2} + \frac{P_b}{\rho_b^2} \right) \nabla_a W_{ab} \quad (1.5)$$

where $W_{ab} = W(|\vec{r}_a - \vec{r}_b|, h)$ is the smoothing kernel. The equations we would like to solve allow for emergence of discontinuities from smooth initial data. At discontinuities, the entropy increases in shocks. That dissipation occurs inside the shock-front. The SPH formulation here is inviscid so we need to handle this dissipation near shocks. There are a number of way to handle this problem, but the most widespread approach is to add artificial viscosity (or artificial dissipation) terms in SPH formulation such that:

$$\left(\frac{du_a}{dt} \right)_{art} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_b m_b \Pi_{ab} \vec{v}_{ab} \cdot \nabla_a W_{ab} \quad (1.6)$$

$$\left(\frac{d\vec{v}_a}{dt} \right)_{art} = - \sum_b m_b \Pi_{ab} \nabla_a W_{ab} \quad (1.7)$$

In general, we can express the equations for internal energy and acceleration with artificial viscosity

$$\frac{du_a}{dt} = \sum_b m_b \left(\frac{P_a}{\rho_a^2} + \frac{\Pi_{ab}}{2} \right) \vec{v}_{ab} \cdot \nabla_a W_{ab} \quad (1.8)$$

$$\frac{d\vec{v}_a}{dt} = - \sum_b m_b \left(\frac{P_a}{\rho_a^2} + \frac{P_b}{\rho_b^2} + \Pi_{ab} \right) \nabla_a W_{ab} \quad (1.9)$$

Π_{ab} is the artificial viscosity tensor. As long as Π_{ab} is symmetric, the conservation of energy, linear and angular momentum is assured by the form of the equation and antisymmetry of the gradient of kernel with respect to the exchange of indices a and b . Π_{ab} may define different way but here we use [MG83] such as:

$$\Pi_{ab} = \begin{cases} \frac{-\alpha \bar{c}_{ab} \mu_{ab} + \beta \mu_{ab}^2}{\bar{\rho}_{ab}} & \text{for } \vec{r}_{ab} \cdot \vec{v}_{ab} < 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (1.10)$$

$$\mu_{ab} = \frac{\bar{h}_{ab} \vec{r}_{ab} \cdot \vec{v}_{ab}}{r_{ab}^2 + \epsilon \bar{h}_{ab}^2} \quad (1.11)$$

Using the usual form c_s as $c_s = \sqrt{\frac{\partial p}{\partial \rho}}$. The values of ϵ , α , and β have to be set regarding the problem targeted. Here, we use $\epsilon = 0.01 h^2$, $\alpha = 1.0$, and $\beta = 2.0$.

There are many possibilities for the smoothing function, called the kernel. As an example the Monaghan's cubic spline kernel is given by:

$$W(\vec{r}, h) = \frac{\sigma}{h^D} \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{3}{2} q^2 + \frac{3}{4} & \text{if } 0 \leq q \leq 1 \\ \frac{1}{4} (1 - q)^3 & \text{if } 1 \leq q \leq 2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (1.12)$$

where $q = r/h$, r the distance between the two particles, D is the number of dimensions and σ is a normalization constant with the values:

$$\sigma = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{3} & \text{for 1D} \\ \frac{10}{7\pi} & \text{for 2D} \\ \frac{1}{\pi} & \text{for 3D} \end{cases} \quad (1.13)$$

To sum up, the SPH resolution scheme and its routines are presented on algorithm 1. The Equation of State (EOS) and the integration are problem dependent and will be define for each test case in section ??.

Algorithm 1 SPH loop algorithm

- 1: **while** not last step **do**
 - 2: Compute density for each particle (1.3)
 - 3: Compute pressure using EOS
 - 4: Compute acceleration from pressure forces (1.9)
 - 5: Compute change of internal energy for acceleration (1.8)
 - 6: Advance particles after integration
 - 7: **end while**
-

The main downside for the implementation of this method is the requirement for local computation on every particle. The particles have to be grouped locally to perform the computation of (1.3), (1.8) and (1.9). A communication step is needed before and after (1.3) to get the local physical data to be able to compute (1.8) and (1.9). The tree data structure allows us to perform $O(N \log(N))$ neighbor search but also add a domain decomposition and distribution layer.

As the SPH method is used in a large panel of fields from astrophysics to fluid mechanic, there are numerous related works. We can cite a code developed in the LANL, 2HOT [War13] that introduced the Hashed Oct Tree structure used in our implementation. There is also GADGET-2 [Spr05], GIZMO [Hop14] and the most recent publication is GASOLINE [WKQ17] based on PKDGRAV, a specific tree+gravity implementation. Several implementations already implement GPU code and tree construction and traversal, one can cite GOTHIC [MU17], presenting

gravitational tree code accelerated using the latest Fermi, Kepler and Maxwell architectures. But a lot of GPU accelerated work still focused on fluid problems and not on astrophysical problems [HKK07, CDB⁺11]. We also note that these implementations focus on SPH problems and does not provide a general purpose and multi-physics framework like we intent to provide through FleCSPH and FleCSI.

1.3.2 Problems

Sod shock tube

Sedov blast wave

Fluid flow

Astrophysics: neutron stars coalescing

1.4 Conclusion

Bibliography

- [CDB⁺11] Alejandro C Crespo, Jose M Dominguez, Anxo Barreiro, Moncho Gómez-Gesteira, and Benedict D Rogers. Gpus, a new tool of acceleration in cfd: efficiency and reliability on smoothed particle hydrodynamics methods. *PloS one*, 6(6):e20685, 2011.
- [DRZR17] Zili Dai, Huilong Ren, Xiaoying Zhuang, and Timon Rabczuk. Dual-support smoothed particle hydrodynamics for elastic mechanics. *International Journal of Computational Methods*, 14(04):1750039, 2017.
- [GM77] Robert A Gingold and Joseph J Monaghan. Smoothed particle hydrodynamics: theory and application to non-spherical stars. *Monthly notices of the royal astronomical society*, 181(3):375–389, 1977.
- [GM82] RA Gingold and JJ Monaghan. Kernel estimates as a basis for general particle methods in hydrodynamics. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 46(3):429–453, 1982.
- [HKK07] Takahiro Harada, Seiichi Koshizuka, and Yoichiro Kawaguchi. Smoothed particle hydrodynamics on gpus. In *Computer Graphics International*, pages 63–70. SBC Petropolis, 2007.
- [Hop14] Philip F Hopkins. Gizmo: Multi-method magneto-hydrodynamics+ gravity code. *Astrophysics Source Code Library*, 2014.
- [LL59] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz. *Fluid mechanics*. 1959.
- [LSR16] SJ Lind, PK Stansby, and Benedict D Rogers. Incompressible–compressible flows with a transient discontinuous interface using smoothed particle hydrodynamics (sph). *Journal of Computational Physics*, 309:129–147, 2016.
- [Luc77] Leon B Lucy. A numerical approach to the testing of the fission hypothesis. *The astronomical journal*, 82:1013–1024, 1977.
- [MG83] J.J Monaghan and R.A Gingold. Shock simulation by the particle method sph. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 52(2):374 – 389, 1983.
- [MU17] Yohei Miki and Masayuki Umemura. Gothic: Gravitational oct-tree code accelerated by hierarchical time step controlling. *New Astronomy*, 52:65–81, 2017.
- [RDZR16] Huilong Ren, Zili Dai, Xiaoying Zhuang, and Timon Rabczuk. Dual-support smoothed particle hydrodynamics. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1607.08350*, 2016.
- [Ros09] Stephan Rosswog. Astrophysical smooth particle hydrodynamics. *New Astronomy Reviews*, 53(4):78 – 104, 2009.
- [Spr05] Volker Springel. The cosmological simulation code gadget-2. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 364(4):1105–1134, 2005.

- [War13] Michael S Warren. 2hot: an improved parallel hashed oct-tree n-body algorithm for cosmological simulation. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on High Performance Computing, Networking, Storage and Analysis*, page 72. ACM, 2013.
- [WKQ17] James W Wadsley, Benjamin W Keller, and Thomas R Quinn. Gasoline2: a modern smoothed particle hydrodynamics code. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 471(2):2357–2369, 2017.