MATH 498: Foundations of Machine Learning 2022 Project 2 Multiclass Classification

junhuuu@umich.edu xiaziy@umich.edu

April 22, 2022

Experimental Protocol

Suppose that someone wants to reproduce your results. Briefly describe the steps used to obtain the predictions starting from the raw data set downloaded from the project website. Use the following sections to explain your methodology. Feel free to add graphs or screenshots if you think it's necessary. The report should contain a maximum of 4 pages.

1 Tools

Fashion-MNIST is a dataset of Zalando's fashion article images and it's a slightly more challenging problem than regular MNIST. Here, 60,000 images are used to train the network and 10,000 images to evaluate how accurately the network learned to classify images. The images are 28x28 NumPy arrays, with pixel values ranging from 0 to 255. The labels are an array of integers, ranging from 0 to 9 corresponding to the class of clothing.

We need to use neuron networking to predict the label of the pictures. In order to do deep learning, we used Keras via tensorflow package. Keras is popular and well-regarded high-level deep learning API. It's built right into to TensorFlow — in addition to being an independent open source project. The Keras API supports this by specifying the "validation_data" argument to the model.fit() function when training the model, that will, in turn, return an object that describes model performance for the chosen loss and metrics on each training epoch.

2 Algorithm

We used CNN model as our algorithm to predict this muti-class classification. At the begining, we did the normalization for the whole data set. After carefully test different types and different number of layers of this deep learning. We finally chose the one with three major layers. The last two major layers are both combined by three small layers which are a convolution layer, a pooling layer, and a drop out layer. The first layer only consist the convolution layer. When choosing the loss function for out algorithm, we chose "parse categorical crossentropy", since this is what people used when classes of data set are mutually exclusive.

3 Features

In order to estimate the performance of a model for a given training run, we can further split the training set into a train and validation dataset. Performance on the train and validation dataset over each run can then be plotted to provide learning curves and insight into how well a model is learning the problem. Each training and test example is assigned to one of the following labels:0 T-shirt/top; 1 Trouser; 2 Pullover; 3 Dress; 4 Coat; 5 Sandal; 6 Shirt7 Sneaker; 8 Bag; 9 Ankle boot. Each row is a separate image. Column 1 is the class label.Remaining columns are pixel numbers (784 total).Each value is the darkness of the pixel (1 to 255). Since the image data in x_train and x_test is from 0 to 255, we need to rescale this from 0 to 1 to fit with tensorflow. To do this we need to divide the x_train and x_test by 255 to normalize data.

4 Parameters

There are several parameters that we choose to modify to see the prediction results, which are number of epochs, number of neurons, number of hidden layers, drop out rate, activation functions, validation split rate and batch size.

Firstly, we need to find out the suitable number of layers that we need to have. After several trial, we choose three layers as our final choice. For number of epochs, we tried for 20, 30, 40 and 50, and finally find out that 30 gives out the best accuracy among these three. Thus, we choose to stick to 30 as out number of epochs. Besides, choosing appropriate number of neurons in each layer also plays an important role in getting high accuracy score. We tried several different numbers including 32, 64, 128, 256, and 512 and chose different combinations of them. Finally, we chose 32 for the first layer, 64 for the second layer, and 128 for the last layer.

Then, we adjust the drop out rate to see the accuracy. We tried several drop out rate, ranging from 0.2 to 0.5, and then we found that 0.5 gave the highest accuracy. The activation function part, we chose to use the most commonly used combination, which is "softmax" in the last layer, and "reLu" for the rest of the layers. Furthermore, for validation split rate, we tried 0.1 and 0.2, and we found out 0.1 gave us bette result. Lastly, we also tried 128, 256, 512, 640 and 1024 for the batch size. It turned out that 512 is the most appropriate one that gave the highest accuracy score, and lowest loss.

We can explore about various optimization algorithms which compute weights gradients and update them in order to minimize loss. Few of them are Gradient Descent, Adam, Adagrad, Adadelta, Nestrov Adam, RMSProp etc. In our model, we choose adam as optimizer.

5 Lessons Learned

When choosing the parameters, we should be very careful of being overfitting. For example, when we increase the number of epochs from 30 to 40 or even to 50. We can see slight increase on training accuracy, but also slight decrease in testing accuracy. And according to the result on Kaggle, we can see that when there are too much epochs, it is possible that overfitting happens.

```
In []:
         import numpy as np
         import pandas as pd
         from sklearn.model selection import train test split
         from sklearn.metrics import classification report
         import tensorflow as tf
         from tensorflow.python import keras
         from tensorflow.python.keras.models import Sequential
         from tensorflow.python.keras.layers import Dense, Flatten, Conv2D, Dropout, M
         from IPython.display import SVG
         from keras.utils.vis utils import model to dot
         import seaborn as sns
         import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
         import tensorflow as tf
         from tensorflow import keras
         from tensorflow.keras import layers, models
         from tensorflow.keras.models import load model
         import os
         %matplotlib inline
In []:
         X train = np.load('training images.npy')
         y_train = np.load('training_labels.npy')
         X test = np.load('test images.npy')
In []:
         print(X train.shape)
         print(y_train.shape)
         print(X_test.shape)
        (60000, 28, 28)
        (60000,)
        (10000, 28, 28)
In []:
         X train = X train.astype('float32') # change integers to 32-bit floating po
         X test = X test.astype('float32')
         X train /= 255
                                               # normalize each value for each pixel f
         X test /= 255
         X train = X train.reshape((60000, 28, 28, 1))
         X_test = X_test.reshape((10000, 28, 28, 1))
In []:
         conv1 = layers.Conv2D(32, (3,3), activation='relu', input shape=(28,28,1) )
In []:
         conv2 = layers.Conv2D(64, (3,3), activation='relu')
```

```
In []:
       conv3 = layers.Conv2D(128, (3,3), activation='relu')
In []:
       max pool 1 = layers.MaxPooling2D((2,2))
       max pool 2 = layers.MaxPooling2D((2,2))
       max pool 3 = layers.MaxPooling2D((2,2))
In []:
       drop_1 = keras.layers.Dropout(0.5)
       drop 2 = keras.layers.Dropout(0.5)
       drop 3 = keras.layers.Dropout(0.5)
In [ ]:
       flat layer = layers.Flatten()
       fc = layers.Dense(128, activation='relu')
       output = layers.Dense(10, 'softmax')
In []:
       model = models.Sequential()
       model.add(conv1)
       # No Pooling Layer and Dropout layer for first Convolutional layer 'conv1'
       model.add(conv2)
       model.add(max_pool_2)
       model.add(drop 2)
       model.add(conv3)
       model.add(max pool 3)
       model.add(drop 3)
       model.add(flat layer)
       model.add(fc)
       model.add(output)
In [ ]:
       model.compile(optimizer='adam',
                   loss='sparse categorical crossentropy',
                   metrics=['accuracy'])
In [ ]:
       model.fit(X train, y train, epochs=30, batch size=512, shuffle=True, validati
       Epoch 1/30
       uracy: 0.5706 - val loss: 0.4784 - val accuracy: 0.8380
       uracy: 0.8188 - val loss: 0.3751 - val accuracy: 0.8753
       Epoch 3/30
       uracy: 0.8445 - val loss: 0.3416 - val accuracy: 0.8818
```

```
Epoch 4/30
uracy: 0.8606 - val loss: 0.3182 - val accuracy: 0.8948
Epoch 5/30
uracy: 0.8784 - val_loss: 0.2779 - val_accuracy: 0.9035
Epoch 6/30
uracy: 0.8875 - val loss: 0.2665 - val accuracy: 0.9085
Epoch 7/30
uracy: 0.8905 - val loss: 0.2466 - val accuracy: 0.9163
uracy: 0.8944 - val loss: 0.2470 - val accuracy: 0.9137
Epoch 9/30
uracy: 0.9013 - val_loss: 0.2275 - val_accuracy: 0.9193
Epoch 10/30
uracy: 0.9073 - val_loss: 0.2271 - val_accuracy: 0.9208
Epoch 11/30
106/106 [==============] - 54s 509ms/step - loss: 0.2446 - acc
uracy: 0.9113 - val_loss: 0.2269 - val_accuracy: 0.9185
Epoch 12/30
uracy: 0.9108 - val loss: 0.2100 - val accuracy: 0.9253
Epoch 13/30
curacy: 0.9151 - val loss: 0.2042 - val accuracy: 0.9285
Epoch 14/30
curacy: 0.9182 - val_loss: 0.2051 - val_accuracy: 0.9293
Epoch 15/30
106/106 [=============] - 98s 926ms/step - loss: 0.2161 - acc
uracy: 0.9198 - val loss: 0.2015 - val accuracy: 0.9282
Epoch 16/30
uracy: 0.9204 - val loss: 0.2002 - val accuracy: 0.9305
Epoch 17/30
curacy: 0.9234 - val loss: 0.1902 - val accuracy: 0.9342
Epoch 18/30
106/106 [============== ] - 100s 948ms/step - loss: 0.2037 - ac
curacy: 0.9238 - val loss: 0.1954 - val accuracy: 0.9317
uracy: 0.9266 - val loss: 0.1850 - val accuracy: 0.9358
Epoch 20/30
106/106 [==============] - 98s 923ms/step - loss: 0.1915 - acc
uracy: 0.9308 - val loss: 0.1871 - val accuracy: 0.9323
Epoch 21/30
```

```
uracy: 0.9297 - val loss: 0.1815 - val accuracy: 0.9357
     Epoch 22/30
      uracy: 0.9325 - val_loss: 0.1787 - val_accuracy: 0.9370
     Epoch 23/30
      uracy: 0.9332 - val_loss: 0.1821 - val_accuracy: 0.9360
     Epoch 24/30
      uracy: 0.9353 - val_loss: 0.1761 - val_accuracy: 0.9380
     Epoch 25/30
      uracy: 0.9345 - val loss: 0.1774 - val accuracy: 0.9355
     Epoch 26/30
      uracy: 0.9355 - val_loss: 0.1734 - val_accuracy: 0.9380
     Epoch 27/30
      106/106 [============== ] - 96s 907ms/step - loss: 0.1645 - acc
     uracy: 0.9384 - val_loss: 0.1735 - val_accuracy: 0.9373
      uracy: 0.9389 - val_loss: 0.1764 - val_accuracy: 0.9358
     Epoch 29/30
      uracy: 0.9408 - val_loss: 0.1741 - val_accuracy: 0.9360
     Epoch 30/30
      uracy: 0.9409 - val loss: 0.1756 - val accuracy: 0.9372
     <tensorflow.python.keras.callbacks.History at 0x7f89621894c0>
Out[]:
In [ ]:
      model.predict(X_test)
     array([[8.37147906e-02, 3.84798877e-05, 9.71522531e-04, ...,
Out[]:
           1.71396422e-07, 3.67848843e-05, 3.11473286e-06],
           [8.36527098e-11, 2.78041733e-14, 9.61827284e-11, ...,
           2.11799275e-07, 1.28576360e-11, 9.99996543e-01],
           [1.05089775e-05, 3.64192149e-14, 4.61700758e-08, ...,
           2.15900364e-09, 1.25583369e-07, 1.13255192e-04],
           [1.43292732e-06, 2.43102125e-08, 2.82539804e-05, ...,
           7.50819140e-09, 4.52166489e-07, 4.69638735e-07],
           [2.97577557e-04, 1.56008859e-08, 2.08313704e-01, ...,
           1.04591260e-08, 1.91139407e-05, 6.64540494e-07],
           [1.64137043e-06, 1.24163515e-08, 6.88587605e-08, ...,
           9.99725997e-01, 7.14284806e-06, 2.17379711e-04]], dtype=float32)
In [ ]:
      model.summary()
```

Output Shape

Param #

Model: "sequential_2"

Layer (type)

	conv2d_6 (Conv2D)	(None,	26, 26, 32)	320
	conv2d_7 (Conv2D)	(None,	24, 24, 64)	18496
	max_pooling2d_7 (MaxPooling2	(None,	12, 12, 64)	0
	dropout_7 (Dropout)	(None,	12, 12, 64)	0
	conv2d_8 (Conv2D)	(None,	10, 10, 128)	73856
	max_pooling2d_8 (MaxPooling2	(None,	5, 5, 128)	0
	dropout_8 (Dropout)	(None,	5, 5, 128)	0
	flatten_2 (Flatten)	(None,	3200)	0
	dense_4 (Dense)	(None,	128)	409728
	dense_5 (Dense)	(None,	10)	1290
	Total params: 503,690 Trainable params: 503,690			
	Non-trainable params: 0			
In []:		ain, y_	train)	
In []:			·	/step - loss: 0.1124 - a
	score = model.evaluate(X_tra	====== :ies = 1	=====] - 48s 25ms, model.predict(X_tes	st)
In []: In []:	score = model.evaluate(X_trains) 1875/1875 [====================================	====== cies = 1 ax(pred	=====] - 48s 25ms, model.predict(X_tes icted_classes_proba	st)
In []:	score = model.evaluate(X_trains) 1875/1875 [====================================	====== cies = 1 ax(pred	=====] - 48s 25ms, model.predict(X_tes icted_classes_proba	st)
In []: In []:	<pre>score = model.evaluate(X_tra 1875/1875 [====================================</pre>	====== cies = 1 ax(pred	=====] - 48s 25ms, model.predict(X_tes icted_classes_proba	st)