Princess Sarah Papa

BSIT 3F3

**(Ethical and Quality-Focused Approach):**

I would not sign off on the software if I believe it still has significant bugs, as releasing a faulty product could harm users, damage the company’s reputation, and lead to costly fixes later. Instead, I would communicate my concerns to my boss, explaining the potential risks of rushing the release, such as customer dissatisfaction, security vulnerabilities, and higher long-term costs. To address the situation, I would propose alternative solutions, such as a phased release, a risk assessment, or a short delay to fix critical issues. Additionally, I would document my objections to ensure transparency and protect myself from any future accountability. If my concerns were ignored, I would escalate the issue to higher management or the quality assurance team to ensure the right decision is made. Ultimately, my priority is to uphold software quality and integrity, ensuring that customers receive a reliable and well-tested product.

Julius Castillo

BSIT 3F3

**(Practical and Compromise Approach):**

Understanding the business pressure to meet deadlines, I would assess whether the remaining bugs are critical or minor. If the issues do not affect core functionality and can be patched in future updates without causing major disruptions, I might agree to sign off but with documented concerns. However, if the bugs pose significant risks to users, I would push for at least a short delay to address them. I would also suggest releasing a beta version to a limited audience to gather real-world feedback while buying time for further fixes. Ultimately, my goal would be to balance business needs with product quality, ensuring that any compromise does not lead to major failures or harm the company’s reputation.