

Why We Can Describe A Complex System's States With Exponential Family

Zhenyu Yang

August 2024

1 The Theoretical Framework

Suppose we have a probabilistic model to describe the system's states (\mathbf{X}) under given conditions (\mathbf{C}). Here we given the theoretical framework to derive the conditional probability density function $f(\mathbf{X} | \mathbf{C})$ by maximizing the conditional entropy.

First, start with the Lagrangian function \mathcal{L} :

$$\mathcal{L} = \int \left[- \int_S f(\mathbf{X} | \mathbf{C}) \ln f(\mathbf{X} | \mathbf{C}) d\mathbf{X} + \lambda(\mathbf{C}) \left(1 - \int_S f(\mathbf{X} | \mathbf{C}) d\mathbf{X} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i(\mathbf{C}) \left(\mathbf{g}_i(\mathbf{C}) - \int_S \alpha_i(\mathbf{X}) f(\mathbf{X} | \mathbf{C}) d\mathbf{X} \right) \right] d\pi(\mathbf{C}). \quad (1)$$

Where α are measurements and g are the expectations of the measurements.

To perform the variation with respect to $f(\mathbf{X} | \mathbf{C})$, let $\delta f(\mathbf{X} | \mathbf{C})$ be a small variation in $f(\mathbf{X} | \mathbf{C})$. We need to compute $\delta \mathcal{L}$:

$$\delta \mathcal{L} = \int \left[- \int_S \delta f(\mathbf{X} | \mathbf{C}) \ln f(\mathbf{X} | \mathbf{C}) d\mathbf{X} - \int_S f(\mathbf{X} | \mathbf{C}) \frac{\delta f(\mathbf{X} | \mathbf{C})}{f(\mathbf{X} | \mathbf{C})} d\mathbf{X} + \lambda(\mathbf{C}) \left(- \int_S \delta f(\mathbf{X} | \mathbf{C}) d\mathbf{X} \right) + \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i(\mathbf{C}) \left(- \int_S \alpha_i(\mathbf{X}) \delta f(\mathbf{X} | \mathbf{C}) d\mathbf{X} \right) \right] d\pi(\mathbf{C}). \quad (2)$$

Simplify the second term:

$$\delta \mathcal{L} = \int \left[- \int_S \delta f(\mathbf{X} | \mathbf{C}) (\ln f(\mathbf{X} | \mathbf{C}) + 1) d\mathbf{X} + \lambda(\mathbf{C}) \left(- \int_S \delta f(\mathbf{X} | \mathbf{C}) d\mathbf{X} \right) - \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i(\mathbf{C}) \int_S \alpha_i(\mathbf{X}) \delta f(\mathbf{X} | \mathbf{C}) d\mathbf{X} \right] d\pi(\mathbf{C}). \quad (3)$$

Combine the terms:

$$\delta\mathcal{L} = \int \left[\int_S \delta f(\mathbf{X} \mid \mathbf{C}) \left(-(\ln f(\mathbf{X} \mid \mathbf{C}) + 1) - \lambda(\mathbf{C}) - \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i(\mathbf{C}) \alpha_i(\mathbf{X}) \right) d\mathbf{X} \right] d\pi(\mathbf{C}). \quad (4)$$

For $\delta\mathcal{L} = 0$, the integrand must be zero for all $\delta f(\mathbf{X} \mid \mathbf{C})$:

$$-(\ln f(\mathbf{X} \mid \mathbf{C}) + 1) - \lambda(\mathbf{C}) - \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i(\mathbf{C}) \alpha_i(\mathbf{X}) = 0. \quad (5)$$

Solve this equation to get:

$$\ln f(\mathbf{X} \mid \mathbf{C}) = -1 - \lambda(\mathbf{C}) - \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i(\mathbf{C}) \alpha_i(\mathbf{X}). \quad (6)$$

Exponentiate both sides:

$$f(\mathbf{X} \mid \mathbf{C}) = \exp \left(-1 - \lambda(\mathbf{C}) - \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i(\mathbf{C}) \alpha_i(\mathbf{X}) \right). \quad (7)$$

Now apply the first constraint:

$$\int_S f(\mathbf{X} \mid \mathbf{C}) d\mathbf{X} = 1. \quad (8)$$

Substitute $f(\mathbf{X} \mid \mathbf{C})$:

$$\int_S \exp \left(-1 - \lambda(\mathbf{C}) - \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i(\mathbf{C}) \alpha_i(\mathbf{X}) \right) d\mathbf{X} = 1. \quad (9)$$

Factor out the constant term:

$$\exp(-1 - \lambda(\mathbf{C})) \int_S \exp \left(- \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i(\mathbf{C}) \alpha_i(\mathbf{X}) \right) d\mathbf{X} = 1. \quad (10)$$

Define:

$$Z(\mathbf{C}) = \int_S \exp \left(- \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i(\mathbf{C}) \alpha_i(\mathbf{X}) \right) d\mathbf{X}, \quad (11)$$

Then:

$$\exp(-1 - \lambda(\mathbf{C})) \cdot Z(\mathbf{C}) = 1. \quad (12)$$

Solve for $\lambda(\mathbf{C})$:

$$\exp(-1 - \lambda(\mathbf{C})) = \frac{1}{Z(\mathbf{C})}. \quad (13)$$

Take the natural logarithm:

$$-1 - \lambda(\mathbf{C}) = \ln \left(\frac{1}{Z(\mathbf{C})} \right) = -\ln Z(\mathbf{C}), \quad (14)$$

Thus:

$$\lambda(\mathbf{C}) = \ln Z(\mathbf{C}) - 1. \quad (15)$$

So, $f(\mathbf{X} | \mathbf{C})$ can be written as:

$$f(\mathbf{X} | \mathbf{C}) = \exp \left(-1 - (\ln Z(\mathbf{C}) - 1) - \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i(\mathbf{C}) \alpha_i(\mathbf{X}) \right), \quad (16)$$

Or:

$$f(\mathbf{X} | \mathbf{C}) = \frac{1}{Z(\mathbf{C})} \exp \left(- \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i(\mathbf{C}) \alpha_i(\mathbf{X}) \right). \quad (17)$$

Now apply the second constraint:

$$\int_S \alpha_i(\mathbf{X}) f(\mathbf{X} | \mathbf{C}) d\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{g}_i(\mathbf{C}), \quad (18)$$

We have:

$$\int_S \alpha_i(\mathbf{X}) \frac{1}{Z(\mathbf{C})} \exp \left(- \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i(\mathbf{C}) \alpha_i(\mathbf{X}) \right) d\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{g}_i(\mathbf{C}). \quad (19)$$

So:

$$\frac{1}{Z(\mathbf{C})} \int_S \alpha_i(\mathbf{X}) \exp \left(- \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i(\mathbf{C}) \alpha_i(\mathbf{X}) \right) d\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{g}_i(\mathbf{C}). \quad (20)$$

Therefore, we find:

$$Z(\mathbf{C}) = \int_S \exp \left(- \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i(\mathbf{C}) \alpha_i(\mathbf{X}) \right) d\mathbf{X}. \quad (21)$$

This provides the relationship between $\lambda(\mathbf{C})$ and $\mu_i(\mathbf{C})$, and the form of $f(\mathbf{X} | \mathbf{C})$.

2 Derivation of the Partial Differential Equation

Given the equation:

$$\frac{1}{Z(\mathbf{C})} \int_S \alpha_i(\mathbf{X}) \exp \left(- \sum_{j=1}^n \mu_j(\mathbf{C}) \alpha_j(\mathbf{X}) \right) d\mathbf{X} = E[\alpha_i(\mathbf{X}) | \mathbf{C}], \quad (22)$$

we need to derive:

$$\frac{\partial \mu_i(\mathbf{C})}{\partial \mathbf{C}_j} = -\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{C}_j} E[\alpha_i(\mathbf{X}) | \mathbf{C}]}{\text{Var}(\alpha_i(\mathbf{X}) | \mathbf{C})}. \quad (23)$$

We assume further that the measurements α are orthogonal with each other. First, we express the expectation and variance:

$$E[\alpha_i(\mathbf{X}) | \mathbf{C}] = \frac{1}{Z(\mathbf{C})} \int_S \alpha_i(\mathbf{X}) \exp \left(-\sum_{k=1}^n \mu_k(\mathbf{C}) \alpha_k(\mathbf{X}) \right) d\mathbf{X}, \quad (24)$$

where

$$Z(\mathbf{C}) = \int_S \exp \left(-\sum_{k=1}^n \mu_k(\mathbf{C}) \alpha_k(\mathbf{X}) \right) d\mathbf{X}. \quad (25)$$

The conditional variance is given by:

$$\text{Var}(\alpha_i(\mathbf{X}) | \mathbf{C}) = E[\alpha_i^2(\mathbf{X}) | \mathbf{C}] - (E[\alpha_i(\mathbf{X}) | \mathbf{C}])^2, \quad (26)$$

where

$$E[\alpha_i^2(\mathbf{X}) | \mathbf{C}] = \frac{1}{Z(\mathbf{C})} \int_S \alpha_i^2(\mathbf{X}) \exp \left(-\sum_{k=1}^n \mu_k(\mathbf{C}) \alpha_k(\mathbf{X}) \right) d\mathbf{X}. \quad (27)$$

Next, we find the partial derivative of the expectation with respect to \mathbf{C} :

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial C_j} E[\alpha_i(\mathbf{X}) | \mathbf{C}] = \frac{\partial}{\partial C_j} \left(\frac{1}{Z(\mathbf{C})} \int_S \alpha_i(\mathbf{X}) \exp \left(-\sum_{k=1}^n \mu_k(\mathbf{C}) \alpha_k(\mathbf{X}) \right) d\mathbf{X} \right). \quad (28)$$

Using the quotient rule, we get:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial C_j} \left(\frac{1}{Z(\mathbf{C})} \right) = -\frac{\frac{\partial Z(\mathbf{C})}{\partial C_j}}{Z(\mathbf{C})^2}. \quad (29)$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial C_j} E[\alpha_i(\mathbf{X}) | \mathbf{C}] &= -\frac{\frac{\partial Z(\mathbf{C})}{\partial C_j}}{Z(\mathbf{C})^2} \int_S \alpha_i(\mathbf{X}) \exp \left(-\sum_{k=1}^n \mu_k(\mathbf{C}) \alpha_k(\mathbf{X}) \right) d\mathbf{X} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{Z(\mathbf{C})} \frac{\partial}{\partial C_j} \left(\int_S \alpha_i(\mathbf{X}) \exp \left(-\sum_{k=1}^n \mu_k(\mathbf{C}) \alpha_k(\mathbf{X}) \right) d\mathbf{X} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Using the chain rule:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial C_j} \left(\int_S \alpha_i(\mathbf{X}) \exp \left(- \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_k(\mathbf{C}) \alpha_k(\mathbf{X}) \right) d\mathbf{X} \right) \\
&= \int_S \alpha_i(\mathbf{X}) \left(- \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial \mu_k(\mathbf{C})}{\partial C_j} \alpha_k(\mathbf{X}) \right) \cdot \exp \left(- \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_k(\mathbf{C}) \alpha_k(\mathbf{X}) \right) d\mathbf{X}.
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

Using the orthogonality condition:

$$\int_S \alpha_i(\mathbf{X}) \alpha_j(\mathbf{X}) \exp \left(- \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_k(\mathbf{C}) \alpha_k(\mathbf{X}) \right) d\mathbf{X} = \delta_{ij} \int_S \alpha_i^2(\mathbf{X}) \exp \left(- \mu_i(\mathbf{C}) \alpha_i(\mathbf{X}) \right) d\mathbf{X}. \tag{32}$$

Thus, we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial C_j} E[\alpha_i(\mathbf{X}) \mid \mathbf{C}] &= - \frac{\frac{\partial Z(\mathbf{C})}{\partial C_j}}{Z(\mathbf{C})^2} I_i(\mathbf{C}) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{Z(\mathbf{C})} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial \mu_k(\mathbf{C})}{\partial C_j} \int_S \alpha_i(\mathbf{X}) \alpha_k(\mathbf{X}) \exp \left(- \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_k(\mathbf{C}) \alpha_k(\mathbf{X}) \right) d\mathbf{X}.
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

Given the orthogonality of $\alpha_i(\mathbf{X})$ and $\alpha_j(\mathbf{X})$, we simplify the above into the following:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial C_j} E[\alpha_i(\mathbf{X}) \mid \mathbf{C}] = - \frac{\frac{\partial Z(\mathbf{C})}{\partial C_j}}{Z(\mathbf{C})^2} I_i(\mathbf{C}) - \frac{1}{Z(\mathbf{C})} \frac{\partial \mu_i(\mathbf{C})}{\partial C_j} \int_S \alpha_i^2(\mathbf{X}) \exp \left(- \mu_i(\mathbf{C}) \alpha_i(\mathbf{X}) \right) d\mathbf{X}. \tag{34}$$

The variance is:

$$\text{Var}(\alpha_i(\mathbf{X}) \mid \mathbf{C}) = \frac{1}{Z(\mathbf{C})} \int_S \alpha_i^2(\mathbf{X}) \exp \left(- \mu_i(\mathbf{C}) \alpha_i(\mathbf{X}) \right) d\mathbf{X} - \left(\frac{I_i(\mathbf{C})}{Z(\mathbf{C})} \right)^2. \tag{35}$$

Therefore, the partial differential equation simplifies to:

$$\frac{\partial \mu_i(\mathbf{C})}{\partial C_j} = - \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial C_j} E[\alpha_i(\mathbf{X}) \mid \mathbf{C}]}{\text{Var}(\alpha_i(\mathbf{X}) \mid \mathbf{C})}. \tag{36}$$

And the conditional probability density function is given as:

$$f(\mathbf{X} \mid \mathbf{C}) = \frac{1}{Z(\mathbf{C})} \exp \left(- \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i(\mathbf{C}) \alpha_i(\mathbf{X}) \right), \tag{37}$$

which is a member of exponential family,

where

$$Z(\mathbf{C}) = \int_S \exp \left(- \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_k(\mathbf{C}) \alpha_k(\mathbf{X}) \right) d\mathbf{X}. \quad (38)$$