
DESIGN PATTERNS

EXERCISES

[home](#) / [exercises](#) / [patterns](#)

[#exercises](#)

[#java](#)

[#patterns](#)

[#oop](#)

DESIGN PATTERNS

1. COMMAND PATTERN

In this exercise we will implement the **Command** pattern.

- Start by creating a new **Gradle/Java** project in **IntelliJ**.
- Also add **mockito** as a dependency by modifying the **dependencies** section in your **build.gradle** file:

```
testCompile group: 'org.mockito', name: 'mockito-core', version:
'2.25.1'
```

- Create a class called **StringDrink** (this will make some sense in a while) that passes the following test:


```
@Test
public void stringDrink() {
    StringDrink drink = new StringDrink("ABCD");
    assertEquals("ABCD", drink.getText());
    drink.setText("DCBA");
    assertEquals("DCBA", drink.getText());
}
```

- Create an interface named **StringTransformer** declaring the following method:

```
void execute();
```

- Create a class called **StringInverter** that implements the **StringTransformer** interface and passes the following test:

```
@Test
public void stringInverter() {
    StringDrink drink = new StringDrink("ABCD");
    StringInverter si = new StringInverter(drink);
    si.execute();
    assertEquals("DCBA", drink.getText());
}
```

Tip: String are immutable. Concatenating strings in order to construct a larger string is inefficient as a lot of strings have to be constructed. The smart way to implement this is to use a [StringBuffer](#) . You can also use **StringBuffer's** **reverse()** method.

- Create a class called **StringCaseChanger** that implements the **StringTransformer** interface and passes the following test:

```
@Test
public void stringCaseChanger() {
    StringDrink drink = new StringDrink("aBcD");
    StringCaseChanger cc = new StringCaseChanger(drink);
    cc.execute();
    assertEquals("AbCd", drink.getText());
}
```

Tip: Use the methods [Character.isLowerCase\(char\)](#) , [Character.toUpperCase\(char\)](#)  and [Character.toLowerCase\(char\)](#) .

- Create a class called **StringReplacer** that implements the **StringTransformer** interface and passes the following test:

```
@Test
public void stringReplacer() {
```

```
StringDrink drink = new StringDrink("ABCDABCD");
StringReplacer sr = new StringReplacer(drink, 'A', 'X');
sr.execute();
assertEquals("XBCDXBCD", drink.getText());
}
```

Tip: Use the method `String.replace(char, char)` .

- Create a class called **StringRecipe** that receives a list of **StringTransformers** and passes the following test:

```
@Test
public void stringRecipe() {
    StringDrink drink = new StringDrink( "AbCd-aBcD");


    StringInverter si = new StringInverter(drink);
    StringCaseChanger cc = new StringCaseChanger(drink);
    StringReplacer sr = new StringReplacer(drink, 'A', 'X');

    List<StringTransformer> transformers = new ArrayList<>();
    transformers.add(si);
    transformers.add(cc);
    transformers.add(sr);

    StringRecipe recipe = new StringRecipe(transformers);
    recipe.mix();

    assertEquals("dCbX-DcBa", drink.getText());
}
```

You have now implemented the **Command** pattern where the test is the **Client**, the **StringRecipe** is the **Invoker**, the **StringTransformer** is the command, the three concrete transformers are the **ConcreteCommands** and the **StringDrink** is the receiver:



Notice some benefits of this design:

- **StringRecipe** can apply any of the steps of the recipe (i.e., the **StringTransformer** implementations) without knowing any detail of how they are actually done.
- We can reuse the different available **StringTransformer** implementations to define as many recipes as we need.
- Adding new steps, to use in future recipes, doesn't require us to change any of the possibly already existing recipes or steps.
- As an **extra** (at home) exercise, create **undo** actions for each one of the commands so that the following test passes:

@Test

```

public void transformUndo() {
    StringDrink drink = new StringDrink( "AbCd-aBcD");

    StringInverter si = new StringInverter(drink);
    StringCaseChanger cc = new StringCaseChanger(drink);
    StringReplacer sr = new StringReplacer(drink, 'A', 'X');

    si.execute();
    cc.execute();
    sr.execute();

    sr.undo();
    assertEquals("dCbA-DcBa", drink.getText());

    cc.undo();
    assertEquals("DcBa-dCbA", drink.getText());
}
  
```

```

    si.undo();
    assertEquals("AbCd-aBcD", drink.getText());
}

```

2. COMPOSITE PATTERN

At this point it's easy to combine **StringTransformers** (the steps in our recipes) to assemble different **StringRecipes**. However, we expect that there will be some particular sequences of steps that appear in many different recipes. How can we reuse these recurring sequences of steps? The Composite pattern will help.

- Create a new class called **StringTransformerGroup** that passes the following test:

```

@Test
public void tranformerGroup() {
    StringDrink drink = new StringDrink( "AbCd-aBcD");

    StringInverter si = new StringInverter(drink);
    StringCaseChanger cc = new StringCaseChanger(drink);

    List<StringTransformer> transformers = new ArrayList<>();
    transformers.add(si);
    transformers.add(cc);

    StringTransformerGroup tg = new StringTransformerGroup(transformers);
    tg.execute();

    assertEquals("dCbA-DcBa", drink.getText());
}

```

- As you can see, a **StringTransformerGroup** is very similar to the **StringRecipe** class. The main difference is that we will make the **TransformerGroup** also implement the **StringTransformer** interface. This should make the following test pass:

```

@Test
public void tranformerComposite() {
    StringDrink drink = new StringDrink("AbCd-aBcD");
}

```

```

StringInverter si = new StringInverter(drink);
StringCaseChanger cc = new StringCaseChanger(drink);
StringReplacer sr = new StringReplacer(drink, 'A', 'X');

List<StringTransformer> transformers1 = new ArrayList<>();
transformers1.add(si);
transformers1.add(cc);
StringTransformerGroup tg1 = new
StringTransformerGroup(transformers1);

List<StringTransformer> transformers2 = new ArrayList<>();
transformers2.add(sr);
transformers2.add(cc);
StringTransformerGroup tg2 = new
StringTransformerGroup(transformers2);


List<StringTransformer> transformers3 = new ArrayList<>();
transformers3.add(tg1);
transformers3.add(tg2);

StringRecipe recipe = new StringRecipe(transformers3);
recipe.mix();

assertEquals("DcBx-dCbA", drink.getText());
}

```

You have now implemented the **Composite** pattern where the **StringTransformer** is the **Component**, and the **TransformerGroup** is the **Composite**:



Notice some benefits of this design:

- We are able to represent part-whole hierarchies.

- When assembling **StringRecipes**, we can use and combine irrespectively of their differences individual steps (of classes **StringCaseChanger**, **StringInverter** or **StringReplacer**) and sequences of steps (**TransformerGroup**).

3. OBSERVER PATTERN

We will now implement a bar where clients will be able to order drinks by specifying their recipes. However, our clients want to be notified every time their favorite bars go into happy hour. We can use the observer pattern for this.

- Create a new class named **Bar** declaring the following methods that passes the following test:

```
@Test
public void happyHour() {
    Bar bar = new StringBar();
    assertFalse(bar.isHappyHour());

    bar.startHappyHour();
    assertTrue(bar.isHappyHour());

    bar.endHappyHour();
    assertFalse(bar.isHappyHour());
}
```

- Create a new class called **StringBar** that extends the **Bar** class.
- Create a new interface called **BarObserver** declaring the following methods:

```
void happyHourStarted(Bar bar);
void happyHourEnded(Bar bar);
```

- Also, add the following methods to the **Bar** class and modify the constructor so that the observers **List** is initialized properly:

```

void addObserver(BarObserver observer) {
    observers.add(observer);
}

void removeObserver(BarObserver observer) {
    observers.remove(observer);
}

void notifyObservers() {
    for (BarObserver observer : observers)
        if (isHappyHour()) observer.happyHourStarted(this);
        else observer.happyHourEnded(this);
}

```

- Make sure the **Bar** class is calling the **notifyObservers** method when appropriate.
- Create a new interface called **Client** that extends the **BarObserver** and declares the following method:

```
void wants(StringRecipe recipe, StringBar bar);
```

- Create a new class called **HumanClient** (reasons for this will be apparent latter on) that implements the **Client** interface and passes the following tests (notice how we are using **mockito** and a **Mock** to verify if the observer methods are being called):

```

@Test
public void addObserver() {
    Bar bar = new StringBar();

    HumanClient clientMock = Mockito.mock(HumanClient.class);
    bar.addObserver(clientMock);

    Mockito.verify(clientMock, Mockito.never()).happyHourStarted(bar);
    Mockito.verify(clientMock, Mockito.never()).happyHourEnded(bar);

    bar.startHappyHour();
    Mockito.verify(clientMock, Mockito.times(1)).happyHourStarted(bar);
    Mockito.verify(clientMock, Mockito.never()).happyHourEnded(bar);

    bar.endHappyHour();
    Mockito.verify(clientMock, Mockito.times(1)).happyHourStarted(bar);
}

```



```
Mockito.verify(clientMock, Mockito.times(1)).happyHourStarted(bar);
}
```

```
@Test
```


```
public void removeObserver() {
    Bar bar = new StringBar();
```

```
    HumanClient clientMock = Mockito.mock(HumanClient.class);
    bar.addObserver(clientMock);
    bar.removeObserver(clientMock);
```

```
    bar.startHappyHour();
    bar.endHappyHour();
```

```
    Mockito.verify(clientMock, Mockito.never()).happyHourStarted(bar);
    Mockito.verify(clientMock, Mockito.never()).happyHourEnded(bar);
}
```

You have now implemented the **Observer** pattern where the **BarObserver** is the **Observer**, the **HumanClient** is the **ConcreteObserver**, the **Bar** is the **Subject**, and the **StringBar** is the **ConcreteSubject**:



Notice the following benefit of this design:

- The **Bar** class doesn't know anything about the **Client** class. It knows of **BarObservers** and that it needs to notify them when it goes in and out of happy hour, but knows nothing of what these **BarObservers** do (and how they do it) when it notifies them.

4. STRATEGY PATTERN

Our clients may want to adopt different approaches to their drink ordering. We can use the strategy pattern for this!

- Add a new method (**order**) to the **StringBar** class, so that clients can order their favorite **StringRecipes**, that passes the following test (as you can see we are using a method to facilitate creating a recipe):

```
private StringRecipe getRecipe(StringDrink drink) {
    StringInverter si = new StringInverter(drink);
    StringCaseChanger cc = new StringCaseChanger(drink);
    StringReplacer sr = new StringReplacer(drink, 'A', 'X');

    List<StringTransformer> transformers = new ArrayList<>();
    transformers.add(si);
    transformers.add(cc);
    transformers.add(sr);

    StringRecipe recipe = new StringRecipe(transformers);
    return recipe;
}
```

```
@Test
public void orderStringRecipe() {
    StringBar stringBar = new StringBar();
    StringDrink drink = new StringDrink("AbCd-aBcD");
    StringRecipe recipe = getRecipe(drink);

    stringBar.order(recipe);
    assertEquals("dCbX-DcBa", drink.getText());
}
```

- Now, create a new interface called **OrderingStrategy** declaring the following methods:

```
void wants(StringRecipe recipe, StringBar bar);
void happyHourStarted(StringBar bar);
void happyHourEnded(StringBar bar);
```

- Now let's create two new classes implementing the **OrderingStrategy** interface. One that orders drinks **immediately** after we want them, and one that **waits** for the happy hour to start (saving wanted drinks in a list). Let's call them **ImpatientStrategy** and **SmartStrategy**.
- Using **dependency injection** to inject a **OrderingStrategy** into a **HumanClient**, make the following tests pass:

```
@Test
```

```
public void impatientStrategy() {  
    StringBar stringBar = new StringBar();  
    StringDrink drink = new StringDrink("AbCd-aBcD");  
    StringRecipe recipe = getRecipe(drink);  
  
    ImpatientStrategy strategy = new ImpatientStrategy();  
    HumanClient client = new HumanClient(strategy);  
  
    // Recipe is ordered immediately  
    client.wants(recipe, stringBar);  
    assertEquals("dCbX-DcBa", drink.getText());  
}
```

```
@Test
```

```
public void smartStrategyStartOpened() {  
    StringBar stringBar = new StringBar();  
    StringDrink drink = new StringDrink("AbCd-aBcD");  
    StringRecipe recipe = getRecipe(drink);  
  
    SmartStrategy strategy = new SmartStrategy();  
    HumanClient client = new HumanClient(strategy);  
  
    // Recipe is ordered immediately as happy hour was already under way  
    stringBar.startHappyHour();  
    client.wants(recipe, stringBar);  
    assertEquals("dCbX-DcBa", drink.getText());  
}
```

```
@Test
```

```
public void smartStrategyStartClosed() {  
    StringBar stringBar = new StringBar();  
    StringDrink drink = new StringDrink("AbCd-aBcD");  
    StringRecipe recipe = getRecipe(drink);
```

```


SmartStrategy strategy = new SmartStrategy();
HumanClient client = new HumanClient(strategy);
stringBar.addObserver(client); // this is important!

client.wants(recipe, stringBar);
assertEquals("AbCd-aBcD", drink.getText());

// Recipe is only ordered here
stringBar.startHappyHour();
assertEquals("dCbX-DcBa", drink.getText());
}

```

You have now implemented the **Strategy** pattern where the **OrderingStrategy** is the **Strategy**, the **ImpatientStrategy** and **SmartStrategy** are the **ConcreteStrategies**, and the **Context** is the **HumanClient**:



Notice some benefits of this design:

- We can configure our **HumanClient** class with different ordering behaviors.
- The **HumanClient** doesn't need to know anything about the process of ordering or the data that it uses, it simply delegates this to the implementation of the **OrderingStrategy** class that is in use.
- Conditional logic is avoided by encapsulating each behavior in a different class and using polymorphism.

5. FACTORY-METHOD PATTERN

Humans are complicated! Fortunately, aliens are much simpler. There are only two different alien races known to frequent **StringBars**: the **Ferengi** and the **Romulans**.

Contrary to humans, that are configured with a different **OrderingStrategy** when they are born, all **Ferengi** use the **SmartStrategy**, while all **Romulans** use the **ImpatientStrategy**.

- Create an abstract **AlienClient** class like this one:

```
public abstract class AlienClient implements Client {
    private OrderingStrategy strategy;

    public AlienClient() {
        this.strategy = createOrderingStrategy();
    }

    @Override
    public void happyHourStarted(Bar bar) {
        strategy.happyHourStarted((StringBar) bar);
    }

    @Override
    public void happyHourEnded(Bar bar) {
        strategy.happyHourEnded((StringBar) bar);
    }

    @Override
    public void wants(StringRecipe recipe, StringBar bar) {
        strategy.wants(recipe, bar);
    }

    protected abstract OrderingStrategy createOrderingStrategy();
}
```

- Notice how we are leaving the decision to create an **OrderingStrategy** to the subclasses of this class by not providing an implementation for the **createOrderingStrategy** method.
- Now, create two subclasses of this class (for the **Ferengi** and **Romulan** alien races) so that the following tests pass:

```
@Test
public void ferengiAlreadyOpened() {
    StringBar stringBar = new StringBar();
    StringDrink drink = new StringDrink("AbCd-aBcD");
}
```

```
StringRecipe recipe = getRecipe(drink);

FerengiClient client = new FerengiClient();

// Recipe is ordered immediately
stringBar.startHappyHour();
client.wants(recipe, stringBar);
assertEquals("dCbX-DcBa", drink.getText());
}

@Test
public void ferengiStartClosed() {
    StringBar stringBar = new StringBar();
    StringDrink drink = new StringDrink("AbCd-aBcD");
    StringRecipe recipe = getRecipe(drink);

    FerengiClient client = new FerengiClient();
    stringBar.addObserver(client); // this is important!

    client.wants(recipe, stringBar);
    assertEquals("AbCd-aBcD", drink.getText());


    // Recipe is only ordered here
    stringBar.startHappyHour();
    assertEquals("dCbX-DcBa", drink.getText());
}

@Test
public void romulan() {
    StringBar stringBar = new StringBar();
    StringDrink drink = new StringDrink("AbCd-aBcD");
    StringRecipe recipe = getRecipe(drink);

    RomulanClient client = new RomulanClient();

    // Recipe is ordered immediately
    client.wants(recipe, stringBar);
    assertEquals("dCbX-DcBa", drink.getText());
}
```

You have now implemented the **Factory-Method** pattern where the **AlienClient** is the **Creator**, the two different alien races are the **ConcreteCreators**, the **OrderingStrategy** is the **Product** and the two different strategies are the **ConcreteProducts**:



Notice some benefits of this design:

- The **AlienClient** doesn't need to anticipate what is the implementation of **OrderingStrategy** that it must create.
- This responsibility is delegated to the several subclasses, so to change the ordering strategy that is created we only need to change one class.

Copyright © André Restivo