

Homework 1

| | |
|-------|-------------------------|
| 소유자 | Hyejun Park |
| 생성 일시 | @2025년 10월 22일 오후 12:57 |

Exercise 1.1 1D Poisson equation

▼ (a) compute the exact solution of (1). Add these to the code

$$-u''(x) = f(x)$$

for $x \in (0, 1)$, $u(0) = a$, $u(1) = b$

(a) Given the boundary conditions $a = b = 0$, and the right hand side functions $f(x) = 1$ and $f(x) = \sin(\pi x)$, compute the exact solution of (1). Add these to the code

CASE $f(x) = 1$

If $f(x) = 1$,

$$u''(x) = -1$$

Integrate :

$$u(x)' = -x + C_1$$

$$u(x) = -\frac{1}{2}x^2 + C_1x + C_2$$

Boundary condition:

- $x = 0$, $u(0) = 0 \rightarrow C_2$
- $x = 1$, $u(1) = 1/2 \rightarrow C_1$

Thus,

$$u(x) = -\frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{2}x = -\frac{1}{2}x(x - 1)$$

CASE $f(x) = \sin(\pi x)$

$$-u''(x) = \sin(\pi x)$$

$$u''(x) = -\sin(\pi x)$$

$$u'(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \cos(\pi x) + C_1$$

$$u(x) = \frac{1}{\pi^2} (\sin(\pi x)) + C_1 x + C_2$$

Boundary Conditions

- $x = 0 \rightarrow u(0) = 0 \rightarrow C_2 = 0$
- $x = 1 \rightarrow \sin(\pi) / \pi^2 + C_1$
 - $\sin(\pi) = 0 \rightarrow C_1 = 0$

Thus,

$$u(x) = \frac{\sin(\pi x)}{\pi^2}$$

In code, this is represented as below :

```
# right-hand-side function and exact solution
if (testfunction == "const"):
    testproblem["f"] = lambda x: x * 0 + 1 # f(x) = 1
    testproblem["uexact"] = lambda x: 0.5 * x * (1 - x) # u(x) = 1/2x(1 - x)
elif (testfunction == "sin"):
    testproblem["f"] = lambda x: np.sin(np.pi * x) # f(x) = sin pi x
    testproblem["uexact"] = lambda x: np.sin(np.pi * x) / (np.pi ** 2) # u(x) = sin pi x / pi^2
```

▼ (b) solve type == full

We want to code up the reduced system (1.21) from the lecture notes.

First, we do it the "naive" way using a `dense matrix` representation. In the given code (solver type== "full"), the diagonal and the off-diagonals are added to a numpy (full) matrix and the system is solved with `np.linalg.solve()`

Reduced System(1.21)

PDE $\rightarrow Au = b$

Divide $[0,1]$ to $N+1$ sections

\rightarrow We get $N+2$ dots

$0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N, x_{N+1} = 1 \rightarrow N \times N$ matrix

$$D_c = \frac{u_{i+1} - 2u_i + u_{i-1}}{dx^2}, h = \frac{1-0}{N+1}$$

$$-\frac{u_{i+1} - 2u_i + u_{i-1}}{dx^2} = f(x_i)$$

$$-u_{i-1} + 2u_i - u_{i+1} = dx^2 f(x_i)$$

We can represent above in python like below :

```
rhs = dx**2 * f(x[1:-1])
# x[1:-1] : x1 ~ tail
# f(x[1:-1]) : calc f(x) at x
```

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & -1 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ \vdots \\ u_N \end{bmatrix} = h^2 \begin{bmatrix} f(x_1) \\ f(x_2) \\ \vdots \\ f(x_N) \end{bmatrix}$$

```
l = -np.ones(N-1) # left diag. (-1)
d = 2*np.ones(N) # center diag. (2)
r = -np.ones(N-1) # right diag. (-1)
```

so the full `get_rhs_diag` should look like :

```
def get_rhs_diag(f,N,x,dx):
    # create right-hand-side vector
    # x[0] = xL, x[N+1] = xR, 내부점 x[1:N]
    rhs = dx**2 * f(x[1:-1])
```

```

# compute diagonals of matrix and store each of them in a vector,
# which we will use later on to set up the matrix
l = -np.ones(N-1)
d = 2*np.ones(N)
r = -np.ones(N-1)

return rhs, l, d, r

```

Full matrix solver code

"full" : solve with dense matrix

```

# solve with full matrix
if solver_type == "full":

    # create full matrix
    matrix = np.zeros((N, N)) # init with 0 NxN array
    # zip : pairs elements from two lists together
    # [l,d,r] : left, center, right diagonal values
    # [-1,0,1] : offsets(position) for diagonals → 0: main diagonal, -
    1: lower diagonal, 1: upper diagonal
    # np.diag(entries,offset) : add entries vectors to offset diagonals
    of matrix
    for entries, offset in zip([l, d, r], [-1, 0, 1]):
        matrix += np.diag(entries, offset) # add each diagonal to the
        matrix → tridiagonal matrix

    # note: the result of the last three lines can also be accomplish
    ed
    # matrix = functools.reduce(
    #     lambda a, b: a + np.diag(b[0], b[1]), zip([l, d, r], [-1, 0, 1]), n
    p.zeros((N, N)))

    # solve
    solution = np.linalg.solve(matrix, rhs)

```

Visualize

- $l = [-1, -1, -1, -1] \Rightarrow$ left diag.

- $d = [2,2,2,2] \Rightarrow$ center diag.
- $r = [-1,-1,1,1] \Rightarrow$ right diag.

How this code works :

1. NxN Matrix
2. $\text{matrix} += \text{np.diag}(l,-1) \Rightarrow$ fill out the left diagonals with -1
3. $\text{matrix} += \text{np.diag}(l,2) \Rightarrow$ fill out the center diagonals with 2
4. $\text{matrix} += \text{np.diag}(r,-1) \Rightarrow$ fill out the right diag. with -1

so it will look like this :

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

get_rhs_diag(f,N,x,dx)

▼ (c) Solve for the mesh res.

Run the code implemented in (b) to solve the two test problems defined in (a).

Solve for the mesh resolutions $N \in [20,40,80,160,320,640,1280]$ and compute the maximum norm of the error $\max_i |u_i - u(x_i)|$. What convergence order do you get?

▼ Code

Tester

```
import numpy as np
import Poisson_template as program

testproblems = ["const", "sin"] # choose
parameters = program.define_default_parameters()

# 2 . N values given
```

```

N_values = [20, 40, 80, 160, 320, 640, 1280]

for problem_name in testproblems:
    print(f"\nSolving test problem: {problem_name}")
    testproblem = program.get_testproblem(problem_name)

    err_max_list = []

    for N in N_values:
        # solver exec.
        err_max, _ = program.my_driver("full", testproblem, parameters,
N)
        # err_max, _ = program.my_driver("sparse", testproblem, param
eters, N)
        err_max_list.append(err_max)

    # max err
    print("N\tMax error")
    for N, err in zip(N_values, err_max_list):
        print(f"{N}\t{err:.2e}")

    # (log-log)
    err_max_array = np.array(err_max_list)
    rate = np.log(err_max_array[:-1]/err_max_array[1:])/np.log(2)
    print("Convergence rates:", rate)

```

Result

```

Solving test problem: sin
Error in Max norm:    1.89e-04
Solved in:           4.82e-05 seconds
Error in Max norm:    4.96e-05
Solved in:           4.51e-05 seconds
Error in Max norm:    1.27e-05
Solved in:           1.05e-04 seconds
Error in Max norm:    3.21e-06
Solved in:           2.06e-04 seconds
Error in Max norm:    8.09e-07

```

Solved in: 6.06e-04 seconds
 Error in Max norm: 2.03e-07
 Solved in: 1.43e-02 seconds
 Error in Max norm: 5.08e-08
 Solved in: 2.56e-02 seconds

| N | Max error |
|------|-----------|
| 20 | 1.89e-04 |
| 40 | 4.96e-05 |
| 80 | 1.27e-05 |
| 160 | 3.21e-06 |
| 320 | 8.09e-07 |
| 640 | 2.03e-07 |
| 1280 | 5.08e-08 |

Convergence rates: [1.92867986 1.96412327 1.98201217 1.99099437 1.99549438 1.99774683]

→ as N increase, max error decrease

→ convergence order = 2 ($p = \frac{\log(error_{i+1}/error_i)}{\log(h_{i+1}/h_i)}$)

Solving test problem: const
 Error in Max norm: 7.63e-17
 Solved in: 3.04e-04 seconds
 Error in Max norm: 6.80e-16
 Solved in: 5.98e-05 seconds
 Error in Max norm: 1.12e-15
 Solved in: 9.51e-05 seconds
 Error in Max norm: 1.79e-15
 Solved in: 1.86e-03 seconds
 Error in Max norm: 7.04e-15
 Solved in: 1.07e-03 seconds
 Error in Max norm: 1.38e-14
 Solved in: 1.04e-02 seconds
 Error in Max norm: 3.43e-14
 Solved in: 3.64e-02 seconds

| N | Max error |
|----|-----------|
| 20 | 7.63e-17 |


```
40    6.80e-16
80    1.12e-15
160   1.79e-15
320   7.04e-15
640   1.38e-14
1280  3.43e-14
Convergence rates: [-3.15527823 -0.72514016 -0.67137725 -1.9746146
8 -0.96835437 -1.31609902]
```

→ for const : the numerical solution is essentially exact (error ≈ 0), so the computed convergence rates are meaningless or dominated by floating-point noise

▼ (d) add timer

Add timers around the solution step. What do you observe regarding runtime? The Gaussian elimination for a $N \times N$ matrix is in $O(N^3)$. Using the runtime, compare the cost of solving the reduced system to a Gaussian elimination: is it the same, better, or worse? Hint: For timing, you can use, e.g., the functions `t = time.time()` and `t solution = time.time() - t.`

I have already added timer during solving for(c), and the result is posted on (c).

- Time increase as N increase

Comparison Part

- Gaussian elimination for a $N \times N$ matrix is in $O(N^3)$
- In this code, because we use `solution = np.linalg.solve(matrix, rhs)`, the run time is still $O(N^3)$. Therefore, it is the same. However, if we change this to Thomas algorithm we roughly get $O(N)$ code.

▼ (e) solve sparse

We want to examine the question: Does the usage of sparse matrices reduce the time to solution? For this task, you need to implement the case `solver type == "sparse"`.

A useful package for sparse linear algebra in Python is `scipy`. From here, you could use, e.g., the functions `scipy.sparse.csr_matrix()`, `scipy.sparse.diags()`, and `scipy.sparse.linalg.spsolve()`.

How do the timings compare with the approach using the dense matrix?

▼ Code

```
elif solver_type == "sparse":
    # create sparse tridiagonal matrix
    offsets = [-1, 0, 1]
    data = [l, d, r]
    sparse_matrix = diags(data, offsets, format='csr')

    # start timer
    t0 = time.time()

    # solve sparse system
    solution = spsolve(sparse_matrix, rhs)

    # end timer
    t_solution = time.time() - t0
```

▼ Output

- compared to “full”
- For small to moderate N , the runtime of the sparse solver is comparable to the dense solver.
- However, as N grows larger, the sparse solver is expected to outperform the dense one due to reduced computational complexity and memory usage

```
Solving test problem: sin
Error in Max norm:    1.89e-04
Solved in:           3.39e-05 seconds
Error in Max norm:    4.96e-05
Solved in:           2.57e-05 seconds
```

Error in Max norm: 1.27e-05
Solved in: 3.08e-05 seconds
Error in Max norm: 3.21e-06
Solved in: 4.08e-05 seconds
Error in Max norm: 8.09e-07
Solved in: 7.87e-05 seconds
Error in Max norm: 2.03e-07
Solved in: 1.21e-04 seconds
Error in Max norm: 5.08e-08
Solved in: 2.18e-04 seconds

| N | Max error |
|------|-----------|
| 20 | 1.89e-04 |
| 40 | 4.96e-05 |
| 80 | 1.27e-05 |
| 160 | 3.21e-06 |
| 320 | 8.09e-07 |
| 640 | 2.03e-07 |
| 1280 | 5.08e-08 |

Convergence rates: [1.92867986 1.96412327 1.98201217 1.99099437
1.99549438 1.99774683]
(base) → homework1 git:(main) ×

Solving test problem: const
Error in Max norm: 6.25e-17
Solved in: 8.85e-04 seconds
Error in Max norm: 7.22e-16
Solved in: 3.70e-05 seconds
Error in Max norm: 1.08e-15
Solved in: 3.79e-05 seconds
Error in Max norm: 1.64e-15
Solved in: 4.91e-05 seconds
Error in Max norm: 6.80e-15
Solved in: 7.99e-05 seconds
Error in Max norm: 1.44e-14
Solved in: 1.23e-04 seconds
Error in Max norm: 3.37e-14
Solved in: 2.36e-04 seconds

| N | Max error |
|---|-----------|
|---|-----------|

| | |
|----|----------|
| 20 | 6.25e-17 |
|----|----------|

| | |
|----|----------|
| 40 | 7.22e-16 |
|----|----------|

| | |
|----|----------|
| 80 | 1.08e-15 |
|----|----------|

| | |
|-----|----------|
| 160 | 1.64e-15 |
|-----|----------|

| | |
|-----|----------|
| 320 | 6.80e-15 |
|-----|----------|

| | |
|-----|----------|
| 640 | 1.44e-14 |
|-----|----------|

| | |
|------|----------|
| 1280 | 3.37e-14 |
|------|----------|

Convergence rates: [-3.53051472 -0.5849625 -0.59724083 -2.05399489 -1.08572987 -1.22377896]

Exercise 1.2 Different Quotient

▼ Definitions

Definitions

Forward difference:

$$D^+ f(x) = \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h}$$

Backward difference:

$$D^- f(x) = \frac{f(x) - f(x-h)}{h}$$

Central difference (first derivative):

$$D_c^1 f(x) = \frac{f(x+h) - f(x-h)}{2h}$$

Central difference (second derivative):

$$D_c^2 f(x) = \frac{f(x+h) - 2f(x) + f(x-h)}{h^2}$$

▼ (a) Show that $D^+ D^- = D_2^c$

Compute $D^+ D^- f(x)$:

Apply D^- :

$$g(x) := D^- f(x) = \frac{f(x) - f(x-h)}{h}$$

Apply D^+ :

$$D^+(g(x)) = \frac{g(x+h) - g(x)}{h}$$

- $g(x+h) = D^- f(x+h) = \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h}$
- $g(x) = D^- f(x) = \frac{f(x) - f(x-h)}{h}$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} D^+ D^- f(x) &= \frac{\frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h} - \frac{f(x) - f(x-h)}{h}}{h} \\ &= \frac{f(x+h) - 2f(x) + f(x-h)}{h^2} \end{aligned}$$

which is same the central difference

▼ (b) Show that $D_c^1 D_c^1 = D_c^2$

Compute $D_c^1 D_c^1 f(x)$:

$$g(x) := D_c^1 f(x) = \frac{f(x+h) - f(x-h)}{2h}$$

Apply D_c^1 :

$$D_c^1 g(x) = \frac{g(x+h) - g(x-h)}{2h}$$

- $g(x+h) = \frac{f(x+2h) - f(x)}{2h}$
- $g(x-h) = \frac{f(x) - f(x-2h)}{2h}$

Then,

$$D_c^1 D_c^1 f(x) = \frac{\frac{f(x+2h)-f(x)}{2h} - \frac{f(x)-f(x-2h)}{2h}}{2h}$$

$$= \frac{f(x+2h) - 2f(x) + f(x-2h)}{(2h)^2}$$

▼ (c) How can you modify D_c^1 to obtain D_c^2 in part (b)?

Use D_c^1 with step size $2h$

$$D_c^1|_{h \rightarrow 2h} = \frac{f(x+2h) - f(x-2h)}{4h}$$

Exercise 1.3 1D linear advection equation

▼ (a) Complete compute_dt

CFL Condition:

$$v = \frac{a\Delta t}{\Delta x} \leq CFL$$

Thus, dt (time gap) should be :

$$\Delta t = CFL * \frac{\Delta x}{a}$$

which will be written as :

```
def compute_dt(CFL, a, dx):
    dt = CFL * dx / a
    return dt
```

▼ (b) Update Codes

update_ftcs(U,a,dt,dx)

FTCS formula :

$$u_i^{n+1} = u_i^n - \frac{a\Delta t}{2\Delta x}(u_{i+1}^n - u_{i-1}^n)$$

- index out of Bounds : U[0], U[-1]
 - we need i+1, i-1 with the formula
 - i=1 → i-1 = 0 OK
 - i=N → i+1 = N+1 OK
 - so update with U[1 :-1]

```
def update_ftcs(U, a, dt, dx):
    # 저장용 복사
    U_temp = U.copy()
    U_temp[1:-1] = U[1:-1] - (a * dt / (2*dx)) * (U[2:] - U[:-2])
    U[:] = U_temp
```

update upwind

Upwind formula when $a > 0$:

$$u_i^{n+1} = u_i^n - \frac{a\Delta t}{\Delta x}(u_i^n - u_{i-1}^n)$$

```
def update_upwind(U, a, dt, dx):
    U_temp = U.copy()
    U_temp[1:-1] = U[1:-1] - (a * dt / dx) * (U[1:-1] - U[:-2])
    U[:] = U_temp
```

▼ (c) Compute error

$$err_{max} = \max_i |U_i - u_{exact}(x_i, t)|$$

```
def compute_error(x, time, uexact, U):
    U_exact = uexact(x, time)
    err_max = np.max(np.abs(U - U_exact))
    return err_max
```

▼ (d) Test

$$u_0(x) = \sin(2\pi x)$$

What do you observe for FTCS and for upwind? Estimate the convergence order of the upwind scheme using a convergence table.

▼ Test Code

```
"""
Run tests for 1D linear advection:
- Compare FTCS and Upwind schemes
- Check convergence for Upwind
- Investigate CFL effects
"""

import os
import numpy as np
import advection_template as adv

def main():
    # Ensure results folder exists
    if not os.path.exists("results"):
        os.makedirs("results")

    testproblem = adv.get_testproblem()

    # --- Part D: FTCS and Upwind comparison ---
    print("=== FTCS Scheme ===")
    parameters = adv.define_default_parameters()
    parameters["Nrefine"] = 2 # N = 40, 80, 160
    adv.my_driver("FTCS", testproblem, parameters, parameters["N"])

    print("=== Upwind Scheme ===")
    adv.my_driver("upwind", testproblem, parameters, parameters["N"])

    # --- Part E: CFL influence for Upwind ---
    CFL_values = [0.8, 1.0, 1.2]
```



```

N = 40

for CFL in CFL_values:
    print(f"\n--- Upwind Scheme with CFL = {CFL} ---")
    parameters = adv.define_default_parameters()
    parameters["CFL"] = CFL
    parameters["Nrefine"] = 0 # single run
    adv.my_driver("upwind", testproblem, parameters, N)

# --- Part 3: Show convergence table ---
print("\nConvergence table stored in 'results/error.txt':")
if os.path.exists("results/error.txt"):
    with open("results/error.txt") as f:
        print(f.read())
else:
    print("No convergence table found. Run with Nrefine > 0.")

if __name__ == "__main__":
    main()

```

▼ result

```

base) → homework1 git:(main) × python advection_de_test.py
=== FTCS Scheme ===

```

```
# START PROGRAM
```

```

Taking time step 1:  update from 0.000000  to 0.020000
Taking time step 2:  update from 0.020000  to 0.040000
Taking time step 3:  update from 0.040000  to 0.060000
Taking time step 4:  update from 0.060000  to 0.080000
Taking time step 5:  update from 0.080000  to 0.100000
Taking time step 6:  update from 0.100000  to 0.120000
Taking time step 7:  update from 0.120000  to 0.140000
Taking time step 8:  update from 0.140000  to 0.160000
Taking time step 9:  update from 0.160000  to 0.180000
Taking time step 10: update from 0.180000  to 0.200000
Taking time step 11: update from 0.200000  to 0.220000
Taking time step 12: update from 0.220000  to 0.240000

```

| | | |
|----------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| Taking time step 13: | update from 0.240000 | to 0.260000 |
| Taking time step 14: | update from 0.260000 | to 0.280000 |
| Taking time step 15: | update from 0.280000 | to 0.300000 |
| Taking time step 16: | update from 0.300000 | to 0.320000 |
| Taking time step 17: | update from 0.320000 | to 0.340000 |
| Taking time step 18: | update from 0.340000 | to 0.360000 |
| Taking time step 19: | update from 0.360000 | to 0.380000 |
| Taking time step 20: | update from 0.380000 | to 0.400000 |
| Taking time step 21: | update from 0.400000 | to 0.420000 |
| Taking time step 22: | update from 0.420000 | to 0.440000 |
| Taking time step 23: | update from 0.440000 | to 0.460000 |
| Taking time step 24: | update from 0.460000 | to 0.480000 |
| Taking time step 25: | update from 0.480000 | to 0.500000 |
| Taking time step 26: | update from 0.500000 | to 0.520000 |
| Taking time step 27: | update from 0.520000 | to 0.540000 |
| Taking time step 28: | update from 0.540000 | to 0.560000 |
| Taking time step 29: | update from 0.560000 | to 0.580000 |
| Taking time step 30: | update from 0.580000 | to 0.600000 |
| Taking time step 31: | update from 0.600000 | to 0.620000 |
| Taking time step 32: | update from 0.620000 | to 0.640000 |
| Taking time step 33: | update from 0.640000 | to 0.660000 |
| Taking time step 34: | update from 0.660000 | to 0.680000 |
| Taking time step 35: | update from 0.680000 | to 0.700000 |
| Taking time step 36: | update from 0.700000 | to 0.720000 |
| Taking time step 37: | update from 0.720000 | to 0.740000 |
| Taking time step 38: | update from 0.740000 | to 0.760000 |
| Taking time step 39: | update from 0.760000 | to 0.780000 |
| Taking time step 40: | update from 0.780000 | to 0.800000 |
| Taking time step 41: | update from 0.800000 | to 0.820000 |
| Taking time step 42: | update from 0.820000 | to 0.840000 |
| Taking time step 43: | update from 0.840000 | to 0.860000 |
| Taking time step 44: | update from 0.860000 | to 0.880000 |
| Taking time step 45: | update from 0.880000 | to 0.900000 |
| Taking time step 46: | update from 0.900000 | to 0.920000 |
| Taking time step 47: | update from 0.920000 | to 0.940000 |
| Taking time step 48: | update from 0.940000 | to 0.960000 |
| Taking time step 49: | update from 0.960000 | to 0.980000 |
| Taking time step 50: | update from 0.980000 | to 1.000000 |

Have reached time tend; stop now

The PostScript backend does not support transparency; partially transparent artists will be rendered opaque.

Error in maximum norm: 4.80e-01

=== Upwind Scheme ===

START PROGRAM

| | | |
|----------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| Taking time step 1: | update from 0.000000 | to 0.020000 |
| Taking time step 2: | update from 0.020000 | to 0.040000 |
| Taking time step 3: | update from 0.040000 | to 0.060000 |
| Taking time step 4: | update from 0.060000 | to 0.080000 |
| Taking time step 5: | update from 0.080000 | to 0.100000 |
| Taking time step 6: | update from 0.100000 | to 0.120000 |
| Taking time step 7: | update from 0.120000 | to 0.140000 |
| Taking time step 8: | update from 0.140000 | to 0.160000 |
| Taking time step 9: | update from 0.160000 | to 0.180000 |
| Taking time step 10: | update from 0.180000 | to 0.200000 |
| Taking time step 11: | update from 0.200000 | to 0.220000 |
| Taking time step 12: | update from 0.220000 | to 0.240000 |
| Taking time step 13: | update from 0.240000 | to 0.260000 |
| Taking time step 14: | update from 0.260000 | to 0.280000 |
| Taking time step 15: | update from 0.280000 | to 0.300000 |
| Taking time step 16: | update from 0.300000 | to 0.320000 |
| Taking time step 17: | update from 0.320000 | to 0.340000 |
| Taking time step 18: | update from 0.340000 | to 0.360000 |
| Taking time step 19: | update from 0.360000 | to 0.380000 |
| Taking time step 20: | update from 0.380000 | to 0.400000 |
| Taking time step 21: | update from 0.400000 | to 0.420000 |
| Taking time step 22: | update from 0.420000 | to 0.440000 |
| Taking time step 23: | update from 0.440000 | to 0.460000 |
| Taking time step 24: | update from 0.460000 | to 0.480000 |
| Taking time step 25: | update from 0.480000 | to 0.500000 |
| Taking time step 26: | update from 0.500000 | to 0.520000 |
| Taking time step 27: | update from 0.520000 | to 0.540000 |
| Taking time step 28: | update from 0.540000 | to 0.560000 |
| Taking time step 29: | update from 0.560000 | to 0.580000 |
| Taking time step 30: | update from 0.580000 | to 0.600000 |

```
Taking time step 31:  update from 0.600000  to 0.620000
Taking time step 32:  update from 0.620000  to 0.640000
Taking time step 33:  update from 0.640000  to 0.660000
Taking time step 34:  update from 0.660000  to 0.680000
Taking time step 35:  update from 0.680000  to 0.700000
Taking time step 36:  update from 0.700000  to 0.720000
Taking time step 37:  update from 0.720000  to 0.740000
Taking time step 38:  update from 0.740000  to 0.760000
Taking time step 39:  update from 0.760000  to 0.780000
Taking time step 40:  update from 0.780000  to 0.800000
Taking time step 41:  update from 0.800000  to 0.820000
Taking time step 42:  update from 0.820000  to 0.840000
Taking time step 43:  update from 0.840000  to 0.860000
Taking time step 44:  update from 0.860000  to 0.880000
Taking time step 45:  update from 0.880000  to 0.900000
Taking time step 46:  update from 0.900000  to 0.920000
Taking time step 47:  update from 0.920000  to 0.940000
Taking time step 48:  update from 0.940000  to 0.960000
Taking time step 49:  update from 0.960000  to 0.980000
Taking time step 50:  update from 0.980000  to 1.000000
Have reached time tend; stop now
The PostScript backend does not support transparency; partially tra
nsparent artists will be rendered opaque.
Error in maximum norm:  9.40e-02
```

```
Error in maximum norm:
FTCS: 4.80e-01
Upwind: 9.40e-02
```

FTCS vs Upwind Observation

- The **FTCS scheme** shows a much **larger error** than the upwind scheme for this advection problem.
- The **Upwind scheme** is more stable and accurate for this test case.

▼ (e) CFL Observation

Solve the equation using the upwind scheme with the same initial data for $N = 40$ and $v \in \{0.8, 1, 1.2\}$. What do you observe? Which behavior was expected from the lecture?

Using the same test source code from (d),

- Upwind CFL = 0.8 : max error ≈ 0.094
- Upwind, CFL = 1.0 : max error $\approx \mathbf{3.77e-15}$
- Upwind, CFL = 1.2 : max error ≈ 0.0988 (gets larger again)

Thus,

- CFL $\leq 1.0 \rightarrow$ stable, small error
- CFL $> 1.0 \rightarrow$ unstable, error increases

▼ full result

--- Upwind Scheme with CFL = 0.8 ---

START PROGRAM

| | | |
|----------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| Taking time step 1: | update from 0.000000 | to 0.020000 |
| Taking time step 2: | update from 0.020000 | to 0.040000 |
| Taking time step 3: | update from 0.040000 | to 0.060000 |
| Taking time step 4: | update from 0.060000 | to 0.080000 |
| Taking time step 5: | update from 0.080000 | to 0.100000 |
| Taking time step 6: | update from 0.100000 | to 0.120000 |
| Taking time step 7: | update from 0.120000 | to 0.140000 |
| Taking time step 8: | update from 0.140000 | to 0.160000 |
| Taking time step 9: | update from 0.160000 | to 0.180000 |
| Taking time step 10: | update from 0.180000 | to 0.200000 |
| Taking time step 11: | update from 0.200000 | to 0.220000 |
| Taking time step 12: | update from 0.220000 | to 0.240000 |
| Taking time step 13: | update from 0.240000 | to 0.260000 |
| Taking time step 14: | update from 0.260000 | to 0.280000 |
| Taking time step 15: | update from 0.280000 | to 0.300000 |
| Taking time step 16: | update from 0.300000 | to 0.320000 |
| Taking time step 17: | update from 0.320000 | to 0.340000 |
| Taking time step 18: | update from 0.340000 | to 0.360000 |
| Taking time step 19: | update from 0.360000 | to 0.380000 |
| Taking time step 20: | update from 0.380000 | to 0.400000 |

Taking time step 21: update from 0.400000 to 0.420000
Taking time step 22: update from 0.420000 to 0.440000
Taking time step 23: update from 0.440000 to 0.460000
Taking time step 24: update from 0.460000 to 0.480000
Taking time step 25: update from 0.480000 to 0.500000
Taking time step 26: update from 0.500000 to 0.520000
Taking time step 27: update from 0.520000 to 0.540000
Taking time step 28: update from 0.540000 to 0.560000
Taking time step 29: update from 0.560000 to 0.580000
Taking time step 30: update from 0.580000 to 0.600000
Taking time step 31: update from 0.600000 to 0.620000
Taking time step 32: update from 0.620000 to 0.640000
Taking time step 33: update from 0.640000 to 0.660000
Taking time step 34: update from 0.660000 to 0.680000
Taking time step 35: update from 0.680000 to 0.700000
Taking time step 36: update from 0.700000 to 0.720000
Taking time step 37: update from 0.720000 to 0.740000
Taking time step 38: update from 0.740000 to 0.760000
Taking time step 39: update from 0.760000 to 0.780000
Taking time step 40: update from 0.780000 to 0.800000
Taking time step 41: update from 0.800000 to 0.820000
Taking time step 42: update from 0.820000 to 0.840000
Taking time step 43: update from 0.840000 to 0.860000
Taking time step 44: update from 0.860000 to 0.880000
Taking time step 45: update from 0.880000 to 0.900000
Taking time step 46: update from 0.900000 to 0.920000
Taking time step 47: update from 0.920000 to 0.940000
Taking time step 48: update from 0.940000 to 0.960000
Taking time step 49: update from 0.960000 to 0.980000
Taking time step 50: update from 0.980000 to 1.000000

Have reached time tend; stop now

The PostScript backend does not support transparency; partially transparent artists will be rendered opaque.

Error in maximum norm: 9.40e-02

--- Upwind Scheme with CFL = 1.0 ---

START PROGRAM

Taking time step 1: update from 0.000000 to 0.025000
Taking time step 2: update from 0.025000 to 0.050000
Taking time step 3: update from 0.050000 to 0.075000
Taking time step 4: update from 0.075000 to 0.100000
Taking time step 5: update from 0.100000 to 0.125000
Taking time step 6: update from 0.125000 to 0.150000
Taking time step 7: update from 0.150000 to 0.175000
Taking time step 8: update from 0.175000 to 0.200000
Taking time step 9: update from 0.200000 to 0.225000
Taking time step 10: update from 0.225000 to 0.250000
Taking time step 11: update from 0.250000 to 0.275000
Taking time step 12: update from 0.275000 to 0.300000
Taking time step 13: update from 0.300000 to 0.325000
Taking time step 14: update from 0.325000 to 0.350000
Taking time step 15: update from 0.350000 to 0.375000
Taking time step 16: update from 0.375000 to 0.400000
Taking time step 17: update from 0.400000 to 0.425000
Taking time step 18: update from 0.425000 to 0.450000
Taking time step 19: update from 0.450000 to 0.475000
Taking time step 20: update from 0.475000 to 0.500000
Taking time step 21: update from 0.500000 to 0.525000
Taking time step 22: update from 0.525000 to 0.550000
Taking time step 23: update from 0.550000 to 0.575000
Taking time step 24: update from 0.575000 to 0.600000
Taking time step 25: update from 0.600000 to 0.625000
Taking time step 26: update from 0.625000 to 0.650000
Taking time step 27: update from 0.650000 to 0.675000
Taking time step 28: update from 0.675000 to 0.700000
Taking time step 29: update from 0.700000 to 0.725000
Taking time step 30: update from 0.725000 to 0.750000
Taking time step 31: update from 0.750000 to 0.775000
Taking time step 32: update from 0.775000 to 0.800000
Taking time step 33: update from 0.800000 to 0.825000
Taking time step 34: update from 0.825000 to 0.850000
Taking time step 35: update from 0.850000 to 0.875000
Taking time step 36: update from 0.875000 to 0.900000
Taking time step 37: update from 0.900000 to 0.925000


```
Taking time step 38:  update from 0.925000  to 0.950000
Taking time step 39:  update from 0.950000  to 0.975000
Taking time step 40:  update from 0.975000  to 1.000000
Have reached time tend; stop now
The PostScript backend does not support transparency; partially tra
nsparent artists will be rendered opaque.
Error in maximum norm:  3.77e-15
```

--- Upwind Scheme with CFL = 1.2 ---

```
# START PROGRAM
```

```
Taking time step 1:  update from 0.000000  to 0.030000
Taking time step 2:  update from 0.030000  to 0.060000
Taking time step 3:  update from 0.060000  to 0.090000
Taking time step 4:  update from 0.090000  to 0.120000
Taking time step 5:  update from 0.120000  to 0.150000
Taking time step 6:  update from 0.150000  to 0.180000
Taking time step 7:  update from 0.180000  to 0.210000
Taking time step 8:  update from 0.210000  to 0.240000
Taking time step 9:  update from 0.240000  to 0.270000
Taking time step 10: update from 0.270000  to 0.300000
Taking time step 11: update from 0.300000  to 0.330000
Taking time step 12: update from 0.330000  to 0.360000
Taking time step 13: update from 0.360000  to 0.390000
Taking time step 14: update from 0.390000  to 0.420000
Taking time step 15: update from 0.420000  to 0.450000
Taking time step 16: update from 0.450000  to 0.480000
Taking time step 17: update from 0.480000  to 0.510000
Taking time step 18: update from 0.510000  to 0.540000
Taking time step 19: update from 0.540000  to 0.570000
Taking time step 20: update from 0.570000  to 0.600000
Taking time step 21: update from 0.600000  to 0.630000
Taking time step 22: update from 0.630000  to 0.660000
Taking time step 23: update from 0.660000  to 0.690000
Taking time step 24: update from 0.690000  to 0.720000
Taking time step 25: update from 0.720000  to 0.750000
Taking time step 26: update from 0.750000  to 0.780000
```


Taking time step 27: update from 0.780000 to 0.810000
Taking time step 28: update from 0.810000 to 0.840000
Taking time step 29: update from 0.840000 to 0.870000
Taking time step 30: update from 0.870000 to 0.900000
Taking time step 31: update from 0.900000 to 0.930000
Taking time step 32: update from 0.930000 to 0.960000
Taking time step 33: update from 0.960000 to 0.990000
Taking time step 34: update from 0.990000 to 1.000000
Have reached time tend; stop now
The PostScript backend does not support transparency; partially transparent artists will be rendered opaque.
Error in maximum norm: 9.88e-02

Convergence table stored in 'results/error.txt':
No convergence table found. Run with Nrefine > 0.