Report on Substitution Cipher Decryption

1. Introduction

In this coursework, I was tasked with deciphering a ciphertext that had been encrypted using a substitution cipher. The goal was threefold: (a) determine the encryption key (i.e., the mapping from plaintext letters to ciphertext letters), (b) explain how this key was discovered, and (c) discuss how my approach compares with the method used in Lab 1.

Interesting Observation:

An unexpected discovery was that the decrypted text was a historical account of Mary, Queen of Scots' trial, a conspiracy case against Queen Elizabeth I that led to Mary's execution in 1587. This result not only made the decryption compelling but also demonstrated how historical texts can be encoded and later reconstructed using cryptographic techniques.

--- Decrypted Plaintext --on the morning of saturday october queen mary entered the crow ded courtroom at fother inghay castleyears of imprison matter than the contract of the contraentandtheonsetofrheumatismhadtakentheirtollyetsheremaineddignifiedcomposedandindisputablyregalassist edbyherphysicianshemadeherwaypastthejudgesofficialsandspectatorsandapproachedthethronethatstoodhalfw ayalongthelongnarrowchambermaryhadassumedthatthethronewasagestureofrespecttowardherbutshewasmistaken $the throne symbolized the absent queen elizabeth {\tt mary sene} {\tt my and prosecutor {\tt mary wasgently guided away from the throne symbolized the absent {\tt my and {\tt$ eandtowardtheoppositesideoftheroomtothedefendantsseatacrimsonvelvetchairmaryqueenofscotswasontrialfo ${\sf rtreasonshehadbeenaccusedofplottingtoassassinatequeenelizabethinordertotaketheenglishcrownforherself$ sirfranciswalsing hamelizabeth sprincipal secretary had already arrested the other conspirators extracted confectors and the conspirators are the conspirators and the constant of the constssions and executed the mnowhep lanned to prove that mary was at the hear to fthe plot and was therefore equally to blame a sion same and the content of the plot and the content of thendequallydeservingofdeathwalsinghamknewthatbeforehecouldhavemaryexecutedhewouldhavetoconvincequeenel ${\sf izabethofherguiltalthoughelizabethdespised mary shehad several reasons for being reluctant to see her put to deat$ hfirstmarywasascottishqueenandmanyquestionedwhetheranenglishcourthadtheauthoritytoexecuteaforeignhea dofs tates econd executing mary mightes tablish an awkward precedent if the state is allowed to kill one queen then perhaps and the state is allowed to kill one queen then perhaps and the state is allowed to kill one queen the state is allowed to kill one allowed to kill one queen the state is allowed to kill one allowed to apsrebelsmighthavefewerreservationsaboutkillinganothernamelyelizabeththirdelizabethandmarywerecousin sand their blood tie made elizabeth all the more sque a mishabout or dering the execution in shortelizabeth would sanction mary sexecution on ly if walsing ham could prove be yon dany hint of doubt that she had been part of the assassination part of the assassination part of the part of the1 ot the conspirators were agroup of youngenglish catholic noblemen intenton removing elizabeth a protest and representation of the conspirators $1 a cing her with {\tt mary afellow} catholic it {\tt was apparent} to the court that {\tt mary was afigure} he {\tt adfortheconspirators} but it {\tt the conspirator} has {\tt mary was afigure} he {\tt adfortheconspirator} has {\tt the conspirator} has {\tt the$ $was not clear that she had given her blessing to the conspiracy in fact {\tt maryhada} uthorized the plot the challenge for {\tt was} not clear that {\tt she had given her blessing} to the conspiration {\tt she had given her blessing} to {\tt the conspiration} and {\tt the constant} is {\tt the constant} and {\tt the constant} and {\tt the constant} are {\tt th$ ${\sf singhamwastodemonstrate}$ actearlink between mary and the plotters on the morning of her trial mary ${\sf satalone}$ in the doc kdressedinsorrowfulblackvelvetincasesoftreasontheaccusedwasforbiddencounselandwasnotpermittedtocallw itnessesmarywasnotevenallowedsecretariestohelpherpreparehercasehoweverherplightwasnothopelessbecause ${\sf shehadbeencarefultoensurethatallhercorrespondence with the conspirators had been written incipher the ciphert$ ${\sf urned}$ herwords into a meaning less series of symbols and mary believed that even if walsing ham had captured the letters $he could have no idea of the {\it meaning} of the {\it words} within the {\it miftheir} contents {\it were amy stery} then the {\it letters} could not be$ ${\sf usedasevidenceagainstherhoweverthisalldependedontheassumptionthathercipherhadnotbeenbrokenunfortunat}$ elyformarywalsinghamwasnotmerelyprincipalsecretarybutalsoenglandsspymasterhehadinterceptedmaryslette ${f rstotheplotters}$ and he knew exactly who might be capable of deciphering the ${f mthere}$ the mass phelippes was then at ions for emost texpertonbreaking codes and for years he had been deciphering the messages of those who plotted against queen elizabeth and the contract of $th the reby providing the evidence needed to condemn the {\tt mifhecould} decipher the {\tt incriminating} letters between {\tt maryable} and {\tt mifhecould} decipher the {\tt incriminating} letters between {\tt maryable} and {\tt incriminating} letters between {\tt incriminating} letters b$ ndtheconspiratorsthenherdeathwouldbeinevitableontheotherhandifmaryscipherwasstrongenoughtoconcealher secrets then the rewas a chance that she might survive not for the first time alife hungon the strength of a cipher secrets the remaining of the contract of

2. Methodology

My Python script automates substitution cipher decryption by combining:

- Frequency analysis
- Pattern-based refinement
- Parallel hill-climbing optimization
- Trigram-based scoring

The decrypted text was evaluated using **trigram-based scoring**, which assigns **higher scores** to **decryptions resembling natural English** based on letter triplet frequencies.

A. Frequency Analysis

I first applied **letter frequency analysis**, mapping the most frequent **ciphertext letters** to common English letters (**e**, **t**, **a**, **o**, **etc.**), providing a **rough initial key mapping**.

```
# 1) Frequency Analysis for Initial Key
def frequency_analysis_key(ciphertext):
   c_count = Counter(ch for ch in ciphertext.lower() if ch.isalpha())
   most_common_cipher_letters = [p[0] for p in c_count.most_common()]
    # If some letters never appear, add them to the end
    for alpha in letters:
        if alpha not in most_common_cipher_letters:
           most_common_cipher_letters.append(alpha)
    # Build mapping: ciphertext's highest freq letter -> 'e', 2nd -> 't', etc.
    # (english_freq_order is your best guess ordering for plaintext frequencies)
    key_map = {}
    for i, ciph letter in enumerate(most common cipher letters):
        if i < len(english freq order):
           key_map[ciph_letter] = english_freq_order[i]
            # If we run out of positions, map leftover letters arbitrarily
            key_map[ciph_letter] = random.choice(letters)
    return key_map
```

B. Pattern Matching

Next, I refined the mapping by **randomly swapping letters** and testing if the **trigram score improved**.

This step corrected common words like "the", improving accuracy.

```
def refine_with_pattern(ciphertext, key_map):
   Example approach:
   - We look for bigrams/trigrams or a known word (e.g. "the") in the plaintext.
    - If substituting certain pairs of letters yields more occurrences of these words,
    that might be an improvement.
   original_score = score_text(decrypt(ciphertext, key_map))
   best_map = dict(key_map)
   best score = original score
   TRIES = 10000
    for _ in range(TRIES):
       a, b = random.sample(letters, 2)
       cipher_for_a = None
       cipher_for_b = None
       for k, v in best_map.items():
                cipher for a = k
           elif v == b:
                cipher_for_b = k
       if cipher for a is None or cipher for b is None:
           continue
       test_map = dict(best_map)
       test_map[cipher_for_a], test_map[cipher_for_b] = b, a
       test_score = score_text(decrypt(ciphertext, test_map))
       if test_score > best_score:
           best score = test score
           best_map = test_map
    return best_map
```

C. Parallelized Refinement

I then ran a parallel refinement procedure, where multiple processes:

- 1. Performed additional swaps
- 2. Scored decryptions
- 3. Selected the best mapping

```
# 3) Parallel refinement worker function
def parallel_refine_worker(args):
   Each worker:
   - Takes ciphertext + an initial key_map
   - Does some random swaps or small hill climbing
    - Returns best local result
   ciphertext, initial_map, rounds = args
   best_map = dict(initial_map)
   best_score = score_text(decrypt(ciphertext, best_map))
    for _ in range(rounds):
       a, b = random.sample(letters, 2)
       cipher for a = None
       cipher_for_b = None
        for k, v in best_map.items():
           if v == a:
                cipher_for_a = k
           elif v == b:
               cipher_for_b = k
        if not cipher_for_a or not cipher_for_b or cipher_for_a == cipher_for_b:
           continue
       new_map = dict(best_map)
       new_map[cipher_for_a], new_map[cipher_for_b] = b, a
       new_score = score_text(decrypt(ciphertext, new_map))
       if new_score > best_score:
           best_map = new_map
           best_score = new_score
    return best_map, best_score
```

D. To evaluate decryption quality, I used **trigram-based scoring**. A **trigram** is a **three-letter sequence**, and its frequency in English helps determine how **realistic** a decrypted text appears.

```
#sample text for trigram scoring
sample_text = """In the grand halls of history, civilizations have risen and fallen, leaving behind echoes of their achievements
The written word has been the cornerstone of knowledge, preserving ideas across generations. From the philosophers
of ancient Greece to the scholars of the Renaissance, the pursuit of wisdom has been relentless.
Scientific discoveries have reshaped human existence. The understanding of gravity, the laws of motion, and
now thrives on the principles of biology and chemistry, extending human life beyond what was once imaginable.
Great minds such as Isaac Newton, Albert Einstein, and Marie Curie have illuminated the path of discovery, challenging conventions and revolutionizing thought. Literature, too, has played its role in shaping society,
offering profound insights into the human condition. The words of Shakespeare, Austen, and Orwell
continue to inspire and provoke deep reflection.
Meanwhile, the industrial revolution transformed economies, leading humanity from agrarian societies to
technological marvels. The steam engine, electricity, and the advent of computers propelled civilization into an era of unprecedented progress. Yet, with every leap forward, ethical dilemmas have emerged,
forcing society to confront the consequences of innovation.
autonomous vehicles. The balance between convenience and privacy has become a topic of global debate,
as technological advancements shape the future.
understanding persists, uniting humanity in an ongoing narrative of growth and enlightenmen
Through the study of history, science, and literature, we continue to decode the past, navigate the present,
and anticipate the future.
sample_text = sample_text.lower()
sample_text = sample_text.town()
sample_text_clean = "".join(ch for ch in sample_text if ch.isalpha() or ch.isspace())
sample_text_joined = "".join(sample_text_clean.split())
def get trigrams(text):
    trigrams = {}
    for i in range(len(text)-2):
        tri = text[i:i+3]
        trigrams[tri] = trigrams.get(tri, 0) + 1
trigrams_sample = get_trigrams(sample_text_joined)
total_trigrams = sum(trigrams_sample.values())
trigram_probs = {
    tri: math.log(count / total_trigrams) for tri, count in trigrams_sample.items()
min_log_prob = math.log(1.0 / (total_trigrams * 100)) # penalty for unseen trigrams
def score text(plaintext):
    pt = "".join(ch for ch in plaintext.lower() if ch.isalpha())
    for i in range(len(pt) - 2):
        tri = pt[i:i+3]
        s += trigram_probs.get(tri, min_log_prob)
```

3. Results & Observations

Through repeated attempts, the algorithm successfully derived the correct encryption key by first determining the decryption key and then inverting it. This allowed me to fully restore the original plaintext. Below is the final 100% accurate plaintext letters to ciphertext letters (encryption key):

```
=== Substitution Cipher Decryption Results ===
Best Overall Score: -28790.99559182848

Encryption key (plaintext to ciphertext): zqhlgmdfwcjnkovpeyxtrisbua
Decryption key (ciphertext to plaintext): zxjgqhecvkmdflnpbuwtyoisra
```

So far, in all test runs, the code has consistently found the correct decryption key within the automated five retries, demonstrating its **robustness** and **reliability**. This highlights the effectiveness of **stochastic search methods**, where **random swaps and trigrambased scoring** refine the key until an **optimal mapping** is found. Even when the first attempt is imperfect, **subsequent refinements consistently converge on the correct plaintext**.

4. Comparison with Lab 1

While Lab 1 also relied on **frequency analysis**, this coursework extends the approach with **trigram-based scoring** and **iterative refinement** instead of single-letter analysis. **Pattern matching** improves letter substitutions, identifying **common bigrams and trigrams**.

Additionally, parallel processing significantly accelerates decryption by running multiple hill-climbing attempts simultaneously. In contrast, Lab 1's manual trial-and-error adjustments were slower and less scalable. By combining randomization, pattern detection, and parallelism, this approach proves more efficient and accurate.

5. Conclusion

I successfully recovered the encryption key by first determining the decryption key and then inverting it. This was achieved through frequency analysis, pattern matching, and parallel refinement, ensuring high accuracy. Compared to Lab 1, my method leveraged trigram-based scoring and parallel processing, leading to faster convergence and more reliable decryption. This demonstrates how randomization, statistical analysis, and computational efficiency enhance substitution cipher decryption.