

# QUANTIFYING THE UNQUANTIFIABLE

by

UNCC PhD Student

A dissertation submitted to the faculty of  
The University of North Carolina at Charlotte  
in partial fulfillment of the requirements  
for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in  
Computing and Information Systems

Charlotte

2013

Approved by:

---

Dr. My Advisor

---

Dr. Committee Member 1

---

Dr. Committee Member 2

---

Dr. Committee Member 3

---

Dr. Committee Member 4



## ABSTRACT

UNCC PHD STUDENT. Quantifying the Unquantifiable. (Under the direction of  
DR. MY ADVISOR)

In this dissertation, I convince you that I should be allowed to graduate.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES	v
LIST OF TABLES	vi
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION: A REALLY LONG CHAPTER TITLE THAT SPANS MULTIPLE LINES SHOULD BE SINGLE-SPACED IN THE TEXT	1
1.0.1 Evaluation of Creativity Support Tools	1
CHAPTER 2: APPENDIX	3
REFERENCES	4

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 1: Novelty-Impact Space of Creativity (Along with some extra text  
that makes multiple lines)

2

## LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 1: Overview of Creativity Support Tools	2
---	---

## CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION: A REALLY LONG CHAPTER TITLE THAT SPANS MULTIPLE LINES SHOULD BE SINGLE-SPACED IN THE TEXT

There is a substantial body of work in HCI that guides the evaluation of productivity support tools. Shneiderman compared the growing community of researchers developing and studying creativity support tools to the earlier rise of researchers working on productivity support tools [1]. He said that researchers in CSTs are “moving from the comparatively safe territory of productivity support tools to the more risky frontier of creativity support tools.” Shneiderman noted that one of the challenges that makes CST research ‘risky’ is that there are no obvious measures of success [1].

### 1.0.1 Evaluation of Creativity Support Tools

While there is an extensive history of evaluating creativity, the evaluation of tools to support creativity is a much newer field of study. As previously discussed, Shneiderman noted that the evaluation of creativity support tools is challenging because there are no obvious metrics for researchers to quantify [1].

Table 1: A summary of creativity support tools, including examples from research and industry.

Category	Example
Visualization & Simulation	Tableau, D3, netLogo
Concept Mapping & Information Collage	combinFormation, Visio, Omnigraffle
Architectural & Design	AutoCAD, Rhino3D
Mathematics	SPSS, MatLab, WolframAlpha
Software development environments	Eclipse, Visual Studio
Video Editing	Final Cut Pro, iMovie
Drawing/Painting	Illustrator, InkScape, CorelDraw
Animation	Flash, Maya, SoftImage, Houdini
Music	GarageBand, Zya, Sequel, NodeBeat
Photography	Photoshop, Lightroom
Wikis, Blogs, & Online Presence	MediaWiki, WordPress, DreamWeaver
Writing & Presentation	Google Docs, MS Word, Prezi




Figure 1: The creativity literature contains classifications of creative contributions across two dimensions: the Novelty-Impact space. Highly novel contributions are more rare, contributions with minimal novelty are more frequent.



## CHAPTER 2: APPENDIX

## REFERENCES

- [1] B. Shneiderman. Creativity support tools: accelerating discovery and innovation. *Communications of the ACM*, 50(12):20–32, 2007.