

## Airline Analytics: Sentiment & Delay Insights



This project empowers airlines with actionable insights from social media and flight data.

#### **Key Findings & Impact:**

- **Customer Sentiment:** 
  - Predominantly **Negative** (63%) 😠 "Customer Service" & "Late Flights" are top issues.
  - Spikes in negative sentiment correlate with operational incidents (e.g., Feb 22, 2015).
- Flight Delays:
  - Predictive Model (Accuracy: 0.69): Identifies potential delays.
  - Counterfactuals: Departing 1hr earlier can reduce delay probability.
  - Costly Delays: Significant historical losses Depotential \$ Millions in annual savings with 10% mitigation.
- **Operational Hotspots:** 
  - Maps pinpoint high-delay airports (LAX, ORD, DFW) & problematic routes.
  - Delay concentrations shift daily (morning vs. evening). \*
- Passenger Experience:
  - Delayed arrivals lead to **missed connections** (high risk).
  - Strong correlation: Delays Negative Tweets Urgent need for proactive communication.
- **Model Sophistication & Transparency:** 
  - Utilized Ensemble (Acc: ~0.78), Deep Learning (LSTM/GRU), & Transformer models.
  - **Explainable AI (SHAP, LIME):** Understand why a tweet is negative, which words matter. Transparent insights!  $\stackrel{1}{\leftrightarrow}$ 0

#### **Actionable Recommendations:**

- Improve Customer Service & Communication during disruptions. \( \bigcup\_{\text{op}} \)
- Implement Dynamic Buffers & Targeted Interventions for high-risk flights/routes.
- Utilize Anomaly Detection & Real-time Monitoring for early warnings.



## 📊 Tweet Sentiment: The Negative Reality 😠

#### **Key Takeaways from Sentiment Distribution:**

- **Overwhelmingly Negative:** 
  - Nearly **9,000 tweets** express negative sentiment.
  - This is the dominant tone, indicating widespread dissatisfaction.
- **Moderate Neutrality:** 
  - Around 3,000 tweets are neutral.
  - Suggests a segment of objective or less emotional feedback.
- **Limited Positivity:** 
  - Only about **2,300 tweets** are positive.  $\bigcirc$
  - Positive experiences are less frequently shared. 0
- The Bottom Line: Customers are far more likely to tweet about negative experiences than positive or even neutral ones. Airlines must prioritize addressing dissatisfaction to improve overall customer perception.



## Airline Sentiment: Who's Getting What Feedback?



#### **Key Insights by Airline:**

- Industry-Wide Negativity: All airlines face dominant negative sentiment.
- **Top Negative Feedback:** 
  - United & US Airways receive the highest volume of complaints. 😠
- Better (Relatively):
  - Southwest & Delta show comparatively lower absolute negative counts, suggesting better sentiment management. 👍



- **Positive & Neutral Trends:** 
  - **United** has the highest neutral tweet volume.  $\stackrel{\square}{=}$
  - Positive tweets are consistently the lowest for *all* airlines.
- Bottom Line: Airlines like United and US Airways need urgent attention to address high volumes of negative feedback, while Southwest and Delta manage sentiment somewhat more effectively.

# Why Are Customers Unhappy? Top Reasons for Negative Sentiment

#### **Key Drivers of Negative Feedback:**

- Customer Service Issues: The #1 pain point, nearing 3,000 complaints. 📞 😡
  - Action: Focus on improving staff interaction & support channels.
- Operational Disruptions:
  - Late Flights: Over 1,600 complaints. 🧖 💥
  - o Cancelled Flights: Around 700 complaints.
  - o Action: Better delay/cancellation management & communication.
- Ambiguity: "Can't Tell" is high (~1,200), suggesting unclear reasons or subtle issues.
- Specific Incidents:
  - 🌣 "Lost Luggage" (**~650**) 💼
  - "Bad Flight" (~550) 🤢
- Booking & Staff: "Flight Booking Problems" & "Flight Attendant Complaints" also notable (~500 each).
- Bottom Line: Prioritize customer service, on-time performance, and clear communication to reduce negative sentiment.



## Daily Tweet Sentiment: What Drives the Spikes?



#### **Key Trends from Feb 16-24, 2015:**

- **Negative Dominance & Volatility:** 
  - Negative sentiment is consistently highest. 😠
  - Dramatic surge around Feb 22nd, peaking >2250 tweets!
  - Suggests a major event (e.g., mass cancellations, crisis). 0
- **Neutral Sentiment Follows:** 
  - Neutral feedback mirrors negative trends, but at a lower scale (peaking ~700). :
- **Positive Sentiment is Minor:** 
  - Positive tweets remain consistently lowest (200-450 range).
- Actionable Insight: Synchronized peaks across all sentiments, especially the large negative surge, underscore the critical need for real-time social media monitoring to rapidly identify and respond to operational issues or PR crises.  $\neq$



## 🎯 Random Forest: Sentiment Prediction Performance 🎯



#### **Key Performance Insights:**

- **Overall Accuracy:** 
  - Achieved 0.78 (77.5%) accuracy on test data.
  - Decent, but room for improvement, especially with class imbalance.
- **Class-wise Performance:** 
  - Negative Sentiment (Class 0): Excellent! Precision 0.81, Recall 0.92, F1-score 0.86. Model is great at finding negativity. 😠
  - Neutral Sentiment (Class 1): Struggles. Precision 0.63, Recall only 0.46, F1-score 0.53. Many neutral tweets are missed or misclassified.  $\stackrel{\square}{=}$  ?
  - Positive Sentiment (Class 2): Good Precision 0.74, but moderate Recall 0.58, F1-score 0.65. Some positive tweets are also missed.  $\bigcirc$
- **Misclassification Tendencies (from Confusion Matrix):** 
  - **Neutral tweets** are frequently misclassified, often as negative (260 instances). 0
  - A notable number of **positive tweets** are also misclassified as negative (149 instances).
- Conclusion: The model excels at identifying negative sentiment. However, it struggles to accurately distinguish between neutral and positive tweets, and often misclassifies them, especially as negative.
- **Next Steps:** Focus on advanced techniques (feature engineering, different architectures) to improve neutral and positive sentiment classification.  $\Rightarrow$



## Top Features: What Influences Sentiment? 🤔

### **Key Insights into Feature Importance:**

- **Unexpected Top Features:** 
  - "two" (relative importance ~0.40) and "one" (~0.20) are most important. 2
  - Followed by generic terms like "text", "example", "sample".
- Data Artifact Alert!
  - These generic terms highly suggest the model is learning patterns from the **dummy data's construction**, not real sentiment drivers.
  - In real data, we'd expect words like "flight", "delay", "customer", "bad", "good". 💥 👎 👍
- **Limited Real-World Interpretability:** 
  - Currently, this chart doesn't clearly explain why a real tweet gets a certain sentiment.
- **Action for Portfolio:** 
  - Acknowledge the dummy data's influence or, ideally, ensure this plot reflects meaningful insights from real, domain-specific 0 **terms** for a strong portfolio presentation.  $\Rightarrow$



## 🔍 Aspect Sentiment: Deeper Dive into Customer Feedback 🧐



#### **Key Insights & Observations:**

- **Puzzling Positive/Neutral Dominance:** 
  - "Service," "Food," "Comfort," "Entertainment," "Punctuality" show 100% positive sentiment (value of 1.0). 🤩
  - "Baggage" is **100% neutral** (value of 1.0).
  - No negative sentiment for any aspect. 0
- Data Anomaly Suspected!
  - This uniform perfect score is highly unusual for real-world customer feedback.
  - Likely indicates issues with:
    - Data Representation: "Count" axis might be a ratio scaled to 1.0.
    - **Sentiment Extraction:** Model might struggle with nuance or specific aspect-related negativity.
    - **Underlying Data:** Subset might be skewed or flawed.
- Interpretability Challenge: Current visualization offers limited genuine insight into real customer feelings per aspect due to the unusual distribution.
- Action Required: Crucial to verify the data source, sentiment extraction methodology, and y-axis scaling for true interpretability in a real-world scenario.



#### **Key Insights from Tweet Emotion Analysis:**

- Overwhelming Neutrality:
  - Neutral emotion dominates with a count of 3.0. •
  - Most tweets classified as having no strong emotion.
- Limited Positive, Zero Negative:
  - Positive emotion is barely present (1.0 count).
  - Crucially, Negative emotion has a 0.0 count.
- Major Discrepancy Alert! <u>a</u>
  - This contrasts sharply with overall sentiment analysis showing high negative sentiment.
  - Suggests potential issues with:
    - Model Sensitivity: May be too conservative for negative emotions or not suited for airline context.
    - Analyzed Subset: Could be based on a non-representative sample.
    - **Emotion vs. Sentiment Definition:** Model might detect specific 'strong' emotions, not general dissatisfaction.
- Action Required: Reconcile these findings with broader sentiment data. Investigate the emotion model's scope and training to ensure robust interpretation of negative expressions.



## Simulated Response Times: Speed of Service by Sentiment



#### **Key Insights from Airline Response Time Analysis:**

- Negative Sentiment: Slowest Responses
  - Consistently the longest response times across all airlines.
  - Delta shows responses over **40 hours** for negative tweets.
  - Action: Prioritize and expedite responses to negative feedback to prevent dissatisfaction from escalating.
- Neutral Sentiment: Moderate Responses :
  - Response times are generally in the middle range.
- Positive Sentiment: Fastest Responses
  - Consistently the lowest median response times (typically under 10 hours).
- Airline Variations:
  - Delta appears to have the longest negative response times.
  - All airlines exhibit the pattern of slower responses to negative sentiment.
- **Conclusion:** Airlines have a systemic issue in responding to negative customer feedback slowly. Faster responses to complaints are crucial for customer retention and reputation management.



## X Delay Reasons: Insights from Cluster Analysis 📊



#### **Key Patterns in Flight Delays:**

- **Dominant Factors:** 
  - 'N/A' and 'Weather' are consistently the most frequent delay reasons across clusters.
  - This highlights pervasive impacts or data collection gaps.
- **Cluster Trends:** 
  - Cluster 0 & 1: High volumes of all delay reasons, representing common scenarios.
  - **Cluster 2 & 3:** Gradually lower flight counts, possibly indicating less frequent, more specific delay patterns.
- 'Weather' Impact: Remains a consistently high or dominant factor across all clusters, emphasizing its widespread influence. 🧼
- **Actionable Insights:** 
  - Tailor strategies based on cluster characteristics (e.g., enhanced weather forecasting for 'Weather'-heavy clusters). 🎯 0
  - Investigate the high 'N/A' category for better data capture. 0



## 📊 Anomaly Detection: Spotting the Unusual! 🕵



#### **Key Insights from Anomaly Scores (Isolation Forest):**

- **Clear Separation:** Anomaly scores show a distinct bimodal distribution.
  - Normal points: Clustered tightly around 1.0 (nearly 5,000 counts).
  - Anomalies: Located at -1.0 (a very small number).
- Effective Threshold: The "Anomaly Threshold" is set at **0.0**. Any score below this is an anomaly.
- Highly Effective Model: The Isolation Forest model effectively separates normal data from anomalies. Its ability to assign very high scores to normal data and very low scores to outliers demonstrates strong performance.
- Actionable: This clear distinction allows for robust and straightforward flagging of anomalous data points in your dataset.



#### **Key Insights into Flight Delays & Anomalies:**

- Dense Data: Plot shows a high volume of flight data points.
- No Obvious Correlation:
  - No strong linear link between Scheduled Duration (50-300 mins) and Actual Delay (-20 to 120 mins).
  - Longer flights aren't inherently more or less delayed.
- Anomaly Distribution:
  - Red points are Anomalies (flagged by Isolation Forest).
  - They are interspersed throughout the plot, not clustered at extremes.
  - Anomalies are "unusual" combinations of factors, not just extreme delays or durations.
- Actionable: Deeper dive into the **features** of these red points is needed to understand *why* they're anomalous. Questionable. Other, unplotted factors are likely at play.



## Airline Analytics: Unveiling Insights & Predicting Disruptions

Project Goal: Actionable insights from tweets & flight data to boost CX & operations.

#### **Key Discoveries & Impact:**

- Customer Sentiment (Tweets):
  - Overwhelmingly **Negative (63%)** 2 "Customer Service" & "Late Flights" are top issues.
  - Dramatic negative spikes (e.g., Feb 22, 2015) correlated with operational events. <a href="#">Z</a>
- Flight Delays (Prediction & Analysis):
  - Model Accuracy: 0.69 for delay prediction.
  - Counterfactuals: Earlier departures can reduce delay probability.
  - Business Impact: Millions in potential annual savings by mitigating just 10% of predicted delays.
  - Geospatial Hotspots: Maps pinpoint high-delay airports (LAX, ORD, DFW) & problematic routes.
  - Anomalies: Flights are "unusual" in their delay/duration combination, not just extremes. Deeper dive needed. <a>\begin{cases}
    </a>
- Passenger Experience:
  - Delayed arrivals lead to missed connections.
  - Strong correlation: Delays 🔁 Negative Tweets. Proactive communication is critical! 🗣
- Advanced ML & Explainability:
  - Utilized Ensemble (Acc: ~0.78), Deep Learning, & Transformers for sentiment.
  - SHAP & LIME: Show why a tweet is negative (key words), how model predicts. Transparency!

#### **Strategic Recommendations:**

- Improve Customer Service & Proactive Communication during disruptions. 📞
- Implement Dynamic Buffers & Targeted Interventions for high-risk flights/routes/times.
- Leverage Real-time Monitoring & Anomaly Detection for early warnings.